330 Gray's Inn Road

Produced by XCO2 for 330 Gray's Inn Road Ltd.

February 2023



CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
INTRODUCTION	8
PLANNING POLICY & LEGISLATION	10
METHODOLOGY	11
RESULTS: BASELINE ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS	14
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	20
BIBLIOGRAPHY	25
APPENDIX 1: PHOTOGRAPHS	27
APPENDIX 2: LOCATION PLAN WITH RED LINE BOUNDARY	32
APPENDIX 3: HABITAT MAP	33
APPENDIX 4: PLANNING POLICY AND BIODIVERSITY LEGISLATION	34
APPENDIX 5: NATIVE PLANTING OPTIONS	40
APPENDIX 6: NESTING PROVISION FOR BIRDS	44
APPENDIX 7: LIGHTING FOR BATS	45

	<u> </u>	02		
	01	02		
Remarks	Draft	For planning		
Prepared by	MW	MW		
Checked by	SG	SG		
Authorised by	JF	JF		
Date	27/01/2023	28/02/2023		
Project reference	9.370	9.370		



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) was carried out on 330, Grays Inn Road, Kings Cross, London, WC1X 8DA (TQ 30562 82803). A previous report was undertaken by D.F. Clark Bionomique Ltd. in 2019 and this report is an update of that previous work. A site visit took place on 15th December 2022 and the 2019 report updated to reflect this.

The report aims to provide advice regarding ecological constraints and opportunities arising from the proposed development of the site, and includes, if relevant, recommendations for further surveys. Where further surveys are recommended, these will ideally be undertaken in support of the planning application as results shall provide further specifications for mitigation and/or European Protected Species licencing requirements.

The proposed development site consisted of several buildings, hardstanding, introduced shrub and a walnut tree (with a small patch of amenity grassland underneath it). There were no areas that qualify as habitats of principle importance under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006.

The site falls within 2km of two statutory designated sites of local importance. There are 52 non statutory designated sites within 2km. There are no predicted impacts from the proposed development on these protected areas

The three potential roost features (PRFs) on the buildings which were identified in the 2019 PEA were still present and in the same condition (as of 15/12/22). There were an additional two new PRF features on the buildings (one large cavity in the roof and one large cavity in the wall) which had appeared since 2019 (see Appendix 1 photos). The PRF on the mature walnut tree was still present and in similar condition and two other new cavities of similar size had appeared on the same tree. There was also a third small developing branch cavity. The PRFs on buildings were all assessed as having low bat roost potential and the tree features as moderate potential (except for one feature, which had negligible bat roost potential at time of survey but is likely to develop over time). Further bat emergence surveys are recommended in Spring 2023 to follow on from this report.

The tree and introduced shrub onsite have potential to support nesting birds. The rest of the site does not have the potential to support protected species.

In order to provide an ecological enhancement for birds on the site, it is recommended that bird boxes be incorporated into the design. Two Schwegler 1B nest boxes with 26mm and 32mm holes should be placed on the site at a height of approximately 4-7m in a sheltered north or east-facing direction. Further details on placement and where to purchase the boxes can be found in Appendix 7. In addition other features need to be incorporated into the design in lieu of the loss of the walnut tree and associated amenity grassland.

The development requires the removal of one walnut tree Juglans regia. This 11m mature tree is a non-native tree species that potentially supports only a very small number of insects (four according to http://www.countrysideinfo.co.uk/woodland_manage/tree_value.htm) and is thus of very limited value in terms of its ecological function. The development cannot go ahead without removing the tree, but the overall landscape strategy of the proposed development will enhance net biodiversity and replace this tree with another native tree species which is of considerably more value to biodiversity. It is suggested to replace the walnut tree by more biodiverse species including Silver Birch Betula Pendula as well as Scotch Pine to increase biodiversity and resilience of the tree range (please refer to the landscape architect proposals for further details).

Care must be taken to prevent pollution from entering the surrounding area from the site during the construction and operational phases of the development.

This report should be read in full to identify potential impacts on protected/notable species and habitats, species and habitats of principal importance, statutory and non-statutory designated sites, and any further actions required.

CONCLUSIONS

The development works has the potential to impact on roosting bats that could be roosting within buildings and a tree on site as well as nesting birds. The development works do not have the potential to impact reptiles, great crested newts, badgers, dormice, otter, water vole or white-clawed crayfish.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

If protected species presence are identified during any of the below recommended surveys, further survey work and / or appropriate impact avoidance and mitigation measures may need to be incorporated into designs. For any European Protected Species (e.g. bats, and great crested newt), a licence may need to be obtained from Natural England prior to works being carried out. Full recommendations are given within the final section of this report.

Table 1: Key Recommendations

Species/Habitats	Recommendations for Further Survey	Timings
Bats	There are extensive records of bats in the area. It is recommended that dusk emergence surveys are conducted on the buildings present on site. If bats are found to be using the buildings during the survey, then a further two (total of three) surveys, including a dawn re-entry survey should be conducted to inform an application for a European Protected Species Mitigation license.	May to September inclusive
	Endoscope survey of walnut tree in the centre of the site, found to be of moderate bat roost potential. Survey should be carried out by a licensed ecologist.	May to September
Species/Habitats	Recommended enhancements	Timings
Soft Landscaping	The loss of the mature walnut tree is offset by biodiversity enhancement in other parts of the development site, namely inclusion of another native tree species which is of considerably more value to biodiversity, including Silver Birch Betula Pendula as well as Scotch Pine (refer to landscape proposals). Planting of climbers can be attached to sections of trellis on external walls of buildings, sections of fence and other walls and structures to increase the space available for wildlife. Climber planting should incorporate at least three species, such as: honeysuckle (Lonicera periclymenum), ivy (Hedera helix), common jasmine (Jasminum officinale), golden hop (Humulus lupulus 'Aureus') and old man's beard (Clematis vitalba). Where non-native species are to be included within the soft landscaping scheme, these can also be chosen for their wildlife benefit. The 'RHS Perfect for Pollinators' label can be used as a useful guide when selecting non-native plants. Wildlife-friendly plantings will provide a degree of compensatory habitat for any vegetation removed in addition to an ecological enhancement where high value habitats are included within the design scheme.	Design/Construction Phase

Birds	Two Schwegler 1B nest boxes with 26mm and 32mm entrances holes should be incorporated. Unless there are trees or buildings which shade the box during the day, face the box between north and east. Boxes should be placed facing north or north-west at a height of 4m-7m.	Design/Construction Phase
-------	---	------------------------------

INTRODUCTION

SITE DESCRIPTION

The proposed development site measures approximately 0.67 hectares. A map showing the site boundaries can be seen in Appendices 2 and 3.

The site is bound to the north in part by the UCL Ear Institute and in part by Wicklow Street and railway cuttings to the east; Swinton Street to the south and Gray's Inn Road runs along the site's western boundary. The site sits towards the centre of the growing Knowledge Quarter within the eastern section of the area. Within the immediate vicinity the prevailing development is characterised by a mix of commercial, residential and hotel uses.

The site is currently occupied a number of buildings which make up the Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear (RNTNE) Hospital. The hospital closed in October 2019 when services transferred to the new Royal National ENT and Eastman Dental Hospitals on Huntley Street, London, WC1E 6DG.

The surrounding area is largely urban with commercial and residential buildings and associated gardens. The A201 Swinton Street road runs along the site's southern boundary with Wicklow Street to the north. The A501 runs along the western boundary, with railway tracks leading to and from the Kings Cross train station (240 metres to the northwest) running along the eastern boundary. Patches of woodland are found to the south-west where the Friends of St George's fields (304 metres) and Coram's Fields (415 metres) are located. Myddleton Square Gardens are located approximately 600 metres to the east and contain further woodland habitats. Further areas of woodland are located approximately 900 meters to the north-east, 920 metres to the south; 700 metres to the south-west and 850 metres to the south-west. Regent's canal runs approximately 605 metres to the north.

DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

A S73 amendment application is being submitted for the proposed scheme at 330 Gray's Inn Road to reflect amendments to the previously consented scheme. The development description is outlined below.

Variation of Condition 2, 18, 31, 41 and 54 of planning permission ref 202/553/P for the 'Redevelopment of the former Royal National Throat. Nose and Ear Hospital site, comprising: Retention of 330 Gray's Inn Road and a two storey extension above for use as hotel (5 above ground storeys in total), demolition of all other buildings, the erection of a part 13 part 9 storey building plus upper and lower ground floors (maximum height of 15 storeys) for use as a hotel (including a cafe and restaurant); covered courtyard; external terraces; erection of a 7 storey building plus upper and lower ground floors (maximum height of 9 storeys) for use as office together with terraces; erection of a 10 storey building plus upper and lower ground floors (maximum height of 12 storeys) for use as residential on Wicklow Street and office space at lower ground and basement floors; erection of a 5 storey building plus upper and lower ground floors (maximum height of 7 storeys) for use as residential on Swinton Street and associated residential amenity space; together with a gymnasium; new basement; rooftop and basement plant; servicing; cycle storage and facilities; refuse storage; landscaping and other ancillary and associated works.' NAMELY to enable amendments to the approved drawings list to enable an uplift in office/labs floorspace, a reduction in affordable workspace, amendments to the landscape design of the residential garden, a revised entrances on Wicklow Street, a revised arrangement to the loading bay on Wicklow Street, reconfiguration at basement level of the office/labs building, and increased cycle parking provision, and additional basement level, reconfiguration of the roof level plant and enclosures, the addition of flues in addition to other associated works.

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This survey report aims to:

- Identify key ecological constraints to the project;
- Accurately assess and record the existing habitats on site;
- Identify habitats and/or structures that have the potential to support protected/priority/notable/invasive species and make recommendations for further surveys where appropriate;
- Identify any statutory/non-statutory designated sites within the zone of influence of the proposed development;
- Summarise the overall ecological value of the site in the context of legislation, planning policy and other relevant indicators of importance.
- Where possible at this stage, set out the mitigation measures required to ensure compliance with nature conservation legislation and address any potentially significant ecological effects;
- Where possible at this stage, identify appropriate enhancement measures.

PLANNING POLICY & LEGISLATION

OVERVIEW

In surveying and assessing the biodiversity features present on and near the site, regard has been given to relevant biodiversity legislation and the planning context of the development proposal. Reference has been made to established planning principles, all relevant national and local planning policies, local biodiversity objectives and targets, and green infrastructure strategies, along with any relevant supplementary planning documents.

Appendix 4 provides a more detailed summary of planning policy and biodiversity legislation information.

METHODOLOGY

SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT & ZONE OF INFLUENCE

The survey site included the habitats within the proposed construction zone (red-line boundary), and where possible the survey boundary extended just beyond the construction zone.

'The 'zone of influence' for a project is the area over which ecological features may be affected by biophysical changes as a result of the proposed project and associated activities' (CIEEM, 2018). The potential impacts of a development are not always limited to the boundaries of the site concerned, and for there to be an impact upon land that is outside of the site boundaries, there needs to be a source of impact, a pathway and a receptor.

In order to determine the zone of influence of the proposed development on ecological features (receptors), the potential key activities that can generate ecological impacts have been considered for the construction and operational phases of the development.

These impacts have then been considered in the context of pathways available to potential receptors on and off-site. Receptors considered will include any relevant statutory or non-statutory nature conservation designations to a distance of 2km for those at a national or local level, and to 5km for those at an international level. Protected species under national and international legislation, as well as Habitats and Species of Principal Importance for conservation under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 have also been considered. An assessment of the presence of or the potential presence of invasive plant and animal species was also made during the site visit.

The zone of influence of the project should be reviewed if the project changes to ensure that it is still relevant.

DESK STUDY

The Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website managed by Natural England was consulted on the 22nd December 2022 to obtain information about:

- Statutory designated sites of European/international importance such as Ramsar Sites, Special Protection Areas (SPA) and Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) to a radius of 5km;
- Statutory designated sites of national importance such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) within a 2km radius of the site;
- The potential for the proposed development site to be present within a SSSI Impact Risk Zone and the effect that this could have on the proposed development;
- European Protected Species Mitigation (EPSM) licences that have been issued to a distance of 2km from the proposed site;
- Ponds within 250 metres of the site.

Aerial imagery (*Google maps*; 22nd December 2022) was used in order to provide an indication of land-use in the surrounding area and the connectivity of habitats on and adjacent to the proposed development site.

We used the Greenspace Information for Greater London (GIGL) database information in the previous report (D.F. Clark Bionomique Ltd. 2019) to identify Local Wildlife protected/priority/otherwise notable species recorded within a 2km radius of the application site.

DESK STUDY LIMITATIONS

Information regarding aerial photography, European Protected Species Mitigation licences and protected areas is accurate to the date the records were retrieved, and last updated.

Records from biological records centres help understand the species that are or may be present in and around the study area. However, survey effort is variable between areas and many records are not submitted to records centres. Therefore, biological records centres cannot confirm absence of a species, and have only been used in this report in conjunction with other techniques to build up a picture of a study area.

There were no other known limitations to the desk study.

FIELD SURVEY

A single daytime site visit was carried out on 15th December 2022. The weather conditions on the day of the visit were clear, a temperature of -2°C, some snow on ground, wind 0 (Beaufort).

The survey was conducted following the standard methodology for Phase 1 Habitat Survey (JNCC, 2010). Vegetation communities were assessed through the identification of individual plant species, which were then grouped, classified and mapped based on standardised habitat descriptions.

Habitat suitable for protected/notable species, species of principal importance, or evidence of these species was also recorded, along with location information.

FIELD SURVEY LIMITATIONS

The survey was undertaken during the winter which can in some circumstances restrict conclusions that can be drawn. The site itself is nearly all comprised of built areas and the limitations of bat activity are recognised further on in the report with the recommendation of future work.

There were no other known limitations to the survey.

ASSESSMENT

The ecological value of the site and potential ecological impacts of the proposed development have been assessed in accordance with industry standard guidelines (CIEEM, 2013; CIEEM, 2018). Detailed assessments have not been recommended for widespread, unthreatened and resilient features. However, recommendations have still been made to safeguard biodiversity as a whole, as per the European Union Biodiversity Strategy 2020 (CIEEM, 2018).

Key ecological features that require consideration during the development process include: statutory/non-statutory designated nature conservation sites, county biodiversity lists, Biodiversity Action Plan lists, red-listed, rare and legally protected species. These categories have been used to assist in making value judgements within the report. Further, geographical context has also been considered, with international/European importance being the highest value for conservation, followed by: national, regional, metropolitan, borough and local importance (as lowest value) (CIEEM, 2018). Finally, it will be assumed that a statutory designation holds a higher ecological value than a non-statutory designation.

The field survey included an assessment of the site's potential to support any legally protected species. Where best practice guidelines exist, these were used to assess the likelihood that individual species will be present using habitat

suitability ratings, for example *Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines* (Collins, 2016). These have been used as a guide to inform any need for further surveys in respect of species which are present or have the potential to be present on site.

Historic data has only been considered if dated within the last ten years.

RESULTS: BASELINE ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS

ZONE OF INFLUENCE

Two Local Nature Reserves (LNR) are found within 2km of the site (Table 1). The proposed development is isolated from both by a network of roads and buildings. There is no pathway by which pollutants may enter these sites. The Zone of Influence (ZoI) is limited to the site boundaries and areas just beyond.

DESIGNATED SITES

The MAGIC website indicated that there are no sites of European/international significance within a 5km radius of the proposed site (Table 1).

There are two designated sites of local importance (Table 1) within a 2km radius of the site.

The site does not fall within a SSSI Impact Risk Zone and there are no automatic recommendations for the Local Planning Authority to consult with Natural England regarding the likely risks of the development on nearby statutory designated sites.

There are 52 SINCs within 2km of the boundary of the site and the 10 closest are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Results of the UK/local statutory designated sites desk study.

Name	Designation	Distance & Direction (approximate)	Size (ha)	Grid Ref	Reasons for designation
UK/local designatio	ns				
Camley Street Nature Reserve	Local Nature Reserves (LNR)	750m (NW)	0.84	TQ 299 834	Features a flowering meadow, pond and marsh areas, coppiced deciduous woodland, mixed woodland, dipping pond with boardwalk. Also features stag beetle, kingfisher (Alcedo atthis) and other bird species.
Barnsbury Wood	Local Nature Reserve (LNR)	1.3km (NE)	0.32	TQ 308 842	Smallest LNR in London. Features sycamore (<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>); ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsioi</i>); lime (<i>Tilia cordata</i>) and horse chestnut (<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>). Also provides habitats for long-tailed tit (<i>Aegithalos caudatus</i>); lesser stag beetle (<i>Dorcus parallelipedus</i>) and sixteen-spot laybird (<i>Tytthaspis sedecimpunctata</i>).

Table 3: Results of the non-statutory designated sites desk study (Closest 10 SINCs out of 52).

Name	Designation	Distance & Direction (approximate)	Size (ha)	Grid Ref	Reasons for designation
Non-statutory desig	nated sites				
CaL05 Calthorpe Community Gardens	SINC	300m (S)	0.44	TQ 306 825	Community garden with a good range of wildlife habitats
EsL28 Winton Primary School Gardens	SINC	400m (N)	0.03	TQ 306 832	Small school nature garden recently refurbished. Pond/lake, semi-improved grassland present
Cal08 St Andrews Gardens	SINC	400m (N)	0.66	TQ 307 824	Former churchyard now park with tree and shrub species.
CaL14 Coram's Field	SINC	490 (SW)	2.7	TQ 305823	Park with acid grassland, amenity grassland. Hedge planted shrubbery, pond/lake, scattered trees.
IsBII05 Claremont Square Reservoir	SINC	540m (NE)	0.68	TQ 311 830	Planted shrubbery , semiimproved neutral grassland
IsL20 Islington Square	SINC	620m (SW)	0.39	TQ 311 825	Amenity grassland, planted shrubbery, scattered trees
M095 Camley Street Natural Park	SINC	750m (NW)	0.8	TQ 300 834	Pond/lake, reed bed, secondary woodland, semi=improved grassland.
IsL39 Skinner Street Open Space	SINC	920M (SW)	0.38	TQ 314 824	Amenity grassland, lawn, mature trees.
IsL30 Barnard Park	SINC	970m (NE)	3.58	TQ 310 837	Species poor amenity grassland with scattered trees. Nesting opportunities for birds
lsL40 Spa Fields Gardens	SINC	840m (SW)	0.84	TQ 313 824	Landscaped park with amenity grasslands, ornamental flower beds, ornamental grape vines and scattered trees.

HABITATS

A plan showing the habitats found on-site can be seen in Appendix 3. Photographs of the site can be found in Appendix 1.

BUILDINGS

There were several buildings ranging from single-storey to six-stories high that made up the majority of the site. The buildings were mostly medical facilities with flat roofs. The buildings could be split into eight sections (see Appendix 3):

Table 4: Description of buildings

Building	Description	
1	Four-storey brick building with a gambrel roof used as the Audiology Centre.	
2	Two-storey brick building with flat roof with bitumen lining.	
3	Single-storey security guard post with flat roof.	
4	Three single-storey storage buildings, each with pitched roofs made of corrugated metal.	
5	Four- storey brick building with flat roof used as a nurse's home.	
6	Multiple brick buildings ranging from two-storey to six-storeys.	
7	Multiple brick buildings ranging from one to six-storeys, Make up the main Ear, Nose and Throat hospital and feature flat roofs.	

INTRODUCED SHRUBS AND BROADLEAVED TREE

A small patch of introduced shrub was located centrally enclosed on all sides by buildings. There was also a small area of amenity grassland with a single walnut tree (*Juglans regia*) located in the centre (see Photos in Appendix 1).

HARDSTANDING

The rest of the site featured hardstanding areas used for parking, and other operational purposes.

SPECIES

The below information will include a combination of desk study and field information. Value judgements will be included with regards to the species present or possibly present on site.

AMPHIBIANS

There are no ponds showing on MAGIC (*magic.defra.co.uk*; accessed on 22nd December 2022) within 250m of the site.

No European Protected Species Mitigation licences (EPSM) have been issued for great crested newt (*Triturus cristatus*) within 2km of the site in the last 10 years.

The GIGL does not have any records of great crested newts (GCN) within 2km from the last 10 years. There are extensive records of common frog (*Rana temporaria*), with the most recent record being 1.4km away to the south-east from 2018. Common toads (*Bufo bufo*) have been found 1.3km to the north-west in 2017 (most recent record).

The site featured no ponds or areas of standing water. Much of the site was either buildings or hardstanding. The patch of shrubs in the centre were enclosed by buildings on all sides. A wall running along the southern boundary and the railway line to the east act as further barriers. It is highly unlikely that GCN can access the site.

The surrounding area is urban with commercial and residential dwellings, with no suitable habitats for GCN.

Due to the lack of available habitats, the site is considered to be of negligible potential for GCN or other amphibians.

BATS

There have been two EPSM licences issued for bats within 2km of the site. The most recent record was for the destruction of a soprano pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pygmaeus*) resting place in approximately 1.2km away to the west in 2017. An EPSM license was issued for the destruction of a common pipistrelle (*Plpistrellus pipistrellus*) resting place approximately 1.4km away to the south-west in 2015.

A search of the GIGL database (from 2019) revealed extensive records of bats within 2km of the site from the last 10 years, with pipistrelles making up the majority of observations.

- Common pipistrelles recorded 409m away to the SW (closest records) in 2016.
- Soprano pipistrelles recorded 1km away to the west in 2017 (most recent record).
- Nathusius pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus nathusii*) recorded 1.6km away to the north-west in 2012 (most recent record).
- Three records of noctules (*Nyctalus noctula*) recorded 626m away to the north (closest record) in 2011.
- One Leisler's bat (*Nyctalus leisleri*) was recorded 1.8km away to the north in 2011.
- Three Daubenton's bats (*Myotis daubentonii*) recorded approximately 725 metres away to the north in 2009 (closest record).

The three potential roost features on the buildings which were identified in the 2019 PEA were still present and in the same condition (see Appendix 1 photos). There were an additional 2 new PRF features on the buildings (1 large cavity in the roof and 1 large cavity in the wall) which had appeared since then. The PRF on the mature walnut tree was still present and in similar condition and two other new cavities of similar size had appeared on the same tree. There was also a third small developing branch cavity. The **PRFs on buildings** were all assessed as having **low bat roost potential** and the **tree features** as **moderate potential** (except for feature I, which had negligible bat roost potential at time of survey but is likely to develop over time). **Further bat emergence surveys are recommended**.

HAZEL DORMICE

There are no EPSM licences for hazel dormouse (*Muscardinus avellanarius*) from the last 10 years within 2km of the site. No records of hazel dormice exist within a 2km radius of the site from the last 10 years.

The GIGL database did not have any records of hazel dormouse.

There were no hedgerows or woodland areas on-site that would provide foraging or nesting habitats for dormice, and no connectivity to suitable areas off-site. No evidence of hazel dormice was found on the site during the survey and it is highly unlikely that they will be found.

The site is considered to be of negligible potential for dormice.

OTTER AND WATER VOLE

The GIGL database did not have any records for water voles (*Arvicola amphibius*) within 2km of the site for the last 10 years. There was one record of an otter (*Lutra lutra*) approximately 727 metres away to the north in 2013 along Regents Canal.

There are no ditches or running water bodies anywhere on site, and as such is not suitable for these species.

The immediate surrounding area does not feature suitable habitats for this species. Regent's Canal which runs 605 metres to the north, has the potential to support otters and water voles, however there are no routes or pathways by which the site could be accessed.

Overall the site is considered to be of negligible potential for otters and water voles.

INVERTEBRATES

The GIGL database has records of invertebrate species such as stag beetles (*Lucanus cervus*) approximately 457 metres to the southwest in 2016; the marbled white butterfly (*Melaorgia galothea serena*) approximately 1.5km to the east in 2016; horse chestnut moth (*Pachycnemia hippocastanaria*) approximately 906 metres to the north-west in 2014 (closest records).

The site has limited potential to support invertebrate species. Any found would be common and widespread to the area.

REPTILES

The GIGL database does not hold any records of protected reptiles within 2km of the site from the last 10 years.

The hardstanding and shrub areas are unsuitable for reptiles as they would not provide foraging, hibernating or basking opportunities for reptiles. No reptiles were seen during the survey. The surrounding urban environment is similarly unsuitable, however the river could provide habitats. This area is cut off from the site by the network of roads and buildings, and so it is unlikely that any reptiles would be able to access the site.

Overall, the site was of negligible potential for reptiles.

BIRDS

There are extensive records of bird species recorded within 2km of the site from the last 10 years. These included kingfisher (*Alcedo atthis*) 828m to the south-west in 2016 (closest record); black redstart (*Phoenicurus ochruros*) 1km to south-west in 2014 (most recent); goldcrest (*Regulus regulus*) 341m to the north-west;

There is one tree and a small area of scrub on site, and the buildings would provide little opportunity for nesting birds. The walnut tree in the centre and introduced shrub around it represented the only suitable nesting habitats on site.

Any birds species accessing the site from the wider area are likely to be common and widespread.

The site provides low potential for nesting birds.

BADGERS

The GIGL database has no records of badgers within 2km from the last 10 years.

No evidence of badgers (tracks, fur, latrines, setts) were found on-site at the time of the survey. There is some potential for badgers to access the site from the surrounding area but any such instances would be transitional as there is negligible potential habitat for badgers to build their setts in.

WHITE-CLAWED CRAYFISH

The GIGL database has no records of white-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) however there is one record of the invasive red-clawed crayfish (*Procambarus clarkia*) approximately 880m away to the north-west around Regent's Canal from 2014.

The site is of negligible potential for white-clawed crayfish due to the lack of any running waterbodies.

INVASIVE PLANTS

No invasive plant species were seen during the time of the survey.

OTHER PROTECTED/NOTABLE SPECIES

Hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*) were recorded in the surrounding area, with the most recent record being approximately 1.9km away to the west in 2017. There were limited suitable habitats for hedgehogs to hibernate or forage in onsite, with any suitable habitats located centrally. The walls to the south and railway to the west, along with the road network make it unlikely that hedgehogs will access the site.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

GENERAL

The following section includes information regarding the ecological constraints and opportunities, recommendations for mitigation and any further survey works required.

Opportunities to enhance biodiversity have been noted below, and the 'mitigation hierarchy' followed (BS 42020:2013). The 'mitigation hierarchy' seeks first to avoid impacts, then mitigate unavoidable impacts, as a last resort compensation is recommended for unavoidable residual impacts (BS 42020:2013).

ZONE OF INFLUENCE

Standard pollution prevention control measures are recommended during the works. These measures should be reflected in working method statements and be communicated to all staff. Working method statements that include standard pollution prevention controls that all staff are aware of, understand and implement, will mean that any pollution incidents will be unlikely during construction and if they do occur, should be predominantly limited to the construction zone boundaries and those areas just beyond.

Emergency plans should be in place and practised in absence of a real incident to ensure that they are suitable and sufficient, and provide training to staff.

Where working near water, useful guidance on how to avoid a pollution event is provided by the Scottish, Welsh and Northern Irish relevant government agencies: http://www.netregs.org.uk/media/1303/gpp-5-works-and-maintenance-in-or-near-water.pdf

The effectiveness and implementation of environmental control measures should be continually monitored and reviewed. If unsure about the relevant controls required, gaining the advice of a specialist is recommended.

Care must be taken to ensure no run-off of pollution from the construction and operational phases of the development are allowed to enter the drainage network to the south of the site, and into the River Thames and the nearby RAMSAR site and SPA area, as well as the SSSI and LNR.

DESIGNATED SITES

The development is not close to any sites of European or international significance, nor is it within any SSSI risk zones.

The Camley Street Nature Reserve and Barnsbury Wood LNRs are located 750m and 1.3km away to the north-west and north-east (respectively). These are unlikely to see a significant increase in foot traffic.

HABITATS

The habitats present are of limited value for wildlife e.g.shrub, amenity grassland and bare ground.

Where possible, the mature walnut tree should be retained and protected during construction in accordance with the advice of an arboriculturalist, and in line with the British Standard: 'BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'.

The proposed re-development provides an opportunity to enhance the ecological value of the site. It is recommended that locally appropriate, native flowering and fruiting shrubs, trees, and climbers that are beneficial to wildlife are included in the soft landscaping of the development (see Appendix 5).

Planting of climbers can be attached to sections of trellis on external walls of buildings, sections of fence and other walls and structures to increase the space available for wildlife. Climber planting should incorporate at least three species, such as: honeysuckle *Lonicera periclymenum*, ivy *Hedera helix*, common jasmine *Jasminum officinale*, golden hop *Humulus lupulus* 'Aureus' and old man's beard *Clematis vitalba*.

Where non-native species are to be included within the soft landscaping scheme, these can also be chosen for their wildlife benefit. For example, species such as lavender *Lavandula* sp, *Hebe* (especially late-autumn/winter flowering varieties such as 'Autumn Glory' and 'Great Orme'), and rosemary *Rosemarinus officinalis* provide good wildlife benefits. The RHS '*Perfect for Pollinators*' label can be used as a useful guide when selecting non-native plants. Wildlifefriendly plantings will provide a degree of compensatory habitat for any vegetation removed in addition to an ecological enhancement where high value habitats are included within the design scheme.

Prior to planting, more detailed horticultural instructions should be referred to for each plant species selected. This will help to ensure that the planting scheme is suitably located and managed and thus will remain viable post-development.

SPECIES

AMPHIBIANS

Great crested newt, their breeding sites, and their places of shelter and rest are protected under Regulation 41 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and Schedule 5, Section 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Under the terms of this legislation, it is an offence for anyone intentionally to kill, injure or disturb a great crested newt, or to possess one (whether live or dead) without licence. It is also an offence to damage, destroy or obstruct access to any place used by great crested newt for shelter. This includes terrestrial habitat areas.

There are no ponds or waterbodies on site, or within 250m of the site. The site would not support the terrestrial phases of GCN and as a result no further surveys are necessary. If any GCN are encountered at any time during the construction phase, the work should stop and an ecologist contacted for advice.

BATS

All bat species in England and Wales, and their resting and breeding places (roosts), are afforded protection under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Under this legislation it is an offence for anyone to intentionally or recklessly kill or injure a bat, or disturb a roosting bat. It is also an offence to damage, destroy or obstruct access to any place used by bats for shelter, whether they are present or not.

The three potential roost features (PRFs) on the buildings which were identified in the 2019 PEA were still present and in the same condition (as of 15/12/22). There were an additional two new PRF features on the buildings (one large cavity in the roof and one large cavity in the wall) which had appeared since 2019 (see Appendix 1 photos). The PRF on the mature walnut tree was still present and in similar condition and two other new cavities of similar size had appeared on the same tree. There was also a third small developing branch cavity. The **PRFs on buildings** were all assessed as having **low bat roost potential** and the **tree features** as **moderate potential** (except for one feature, which had negligible bat roost potential at time of survey but is likely to develop over time). Further bat emergence surveys are recommended in Spring 2023 to follow on from this report.

If buildings are found to be home to roosting bats, then a further two surveys (a total of three) including one dawn reentry survey will be required to inform the application of a European Protected Species Mitigation licence.

The walnut tree in the patch of amenity grassland at the centre of the site was of moderate bat roost potential, and such would require further survey. It is recommended that an endoscope survey be conducted by a licensed ecologist. This would involve inspecting the cavities featured on the branches of the tree for droppings, urine stains or bats themselves, to establish the presence or likely absence of roosting bats.

The surrounding area provides some foraging habitats for commuting bats, which could be affected by increased light and noise pollution from construction activities. However this is likely to be localised and temporary (BCT/ILE 2009). Any lighting on the site associated with the development should be directed downwards to where it is needed, with hoods, cowls, louvres, or shields used to direct the light to the intended area only. Measures to reduce the impacts of lighting need particular consideration with respect to areas where trees have been found to have bat potential or near foraging and commuting areas such as; hedgerows, woodland and boundary flowing drains. Further lighting advice can be found in Appendix 7.

HAZEL DORMICE

Hazel dormice and their resting and breeding places are afforded protection under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Under this legislation it is an offence for anyone to intentionally or recklessly kill or injure a dormouse, or disturb a dormouse in its place of shelter. It is also an offence to damage, destroy or obstruct access to any place used by dormice for shelter, whether they are present or not.

There are no suitable foraging or nesting habitats for hazel dormice on-site. There is no connectivity to any suitable areas off-site.

No further surveys for hazel dormice are necessary.

OTTERS AND WATER VOLES

Otters, and their breeding and resting places, are fully protected by the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Water voles are protected from killing, injury and disturbance whilst occupying a place of shelter or protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). This protection also prohibits any reckless or intentional damage, destruction or obstruction of any structure or place that water voles may be using for shelter or protection.

The banks of the Thames lie approximately 1.3km to the south and would provide some suitable areas for otters and water voles. However the site features no such habitats or methods by which these species could access it. It is not anticipated that the development works will come within 10m of the banks of the canal, and as such no further surveys are necessary.

INVERTEBRATES

No invertebrates protected by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, under schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), or classified as Species of Principal Importance in England under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 were observed during the site visit.

The habitats present on-site are of poor quality for invertebrates, and any species present would be common and widespread. As a result no detailed invertebrate surveys are necessary.

Including soft landscaping to comprise native or wildlife-friendly planting (as above), e.g. with nectar-rich flowers will be attractive to a range of invertebrate species (e.g. bees and butterflies).

REPTILES

Common and widespread UK reptile species - common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*), slow worm (*Anguis fragilis*), grass snake (*Natrix natrix helvetica*) and adder (*Vipera berus*) are protected from killing and injury under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). The onsite habitats are not considered suitable for sand lizard (*Lacerta agilis*) or smooth snake (*Coronella austriaca*), which are protected under both the WCA and the Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2017. All native UK reptile species are also listed as species of principal importance (SPI) under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006.

The habitat on-site is considered to be of negligible potential for reptiles. The patch of amenity grassland and shrub are unlikely to provide adequate habitats. No further surveys are necessary.

If reptiles are encountered during the construction works, then work should stop and an ecologist contacted for advice.

BIRDS

Nesting birds and their nests, eggs and chicks are protected from damage or destruction under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Birds listed on Schedule 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) are also protected from disturbance at, on or near a nest.

No bird nests were observed on the buildings or the tree at the time of the survey in 2019 and no birds recorded on the visit on 15th December 2022 (although outside of the breeding season). However the tree does have the potential to be used as a nesting site. Introduced shrubs in the central area around the tree provides further potential nesting habitats for birds.

No further surveys are necessary at this time, but it is recommended that when the tree and introduced shrubs need to be removed (as part of the development) that this is carried out outside the nesting season (March to September inclusive). If this is not possible, then a nesting bird check would be required by a suitably experienced ecologist, at most 48 hours prior to the commencement of the works. Should nests be found nesting on site, the works should stop and an ecologist contacted for advice.

In order to provide an ecological enhancement for birds on the site, it is recommended that bird boxes be incorporated into the design. Two Schwegler 1B nest boxes with 26mm and 32mm holes should be placed on the site at a height of approximately 4-7m in a sheltered north or east-facing direction. Further details on placement and where to purchase the boxes can be found in Appendix 6.

BADGERS

Badgers and their setts are afforded protection under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992 (as amended). This legislation includes protection against damage to badger setts and against interference and disturbance of badgers whilst they are occupying a sett.

If any badger setts are discovered within 30m of the site, or badgers are found to be using the site regularly for foraging, then there is potential for the proposed scheme to impact upon this species and an impact

avoidance/mitigation strategy should be devised. If any active badger setts are found within the footprint for the proposed works and these cannot be retained and protected, it will be necessary to apply to Natural England for a licence to close said sett(s).

There were no large mammal burrows or badger signs such as latrines, track marks or fur found during the walkover. There is a chance that badgers may access the site from the surrounding area but such instances are only likely to be transitional as it does not offer any foraging opportunities.

No further surveys for badgers are necessary.

INVASIVE PLANTS

Some plant species are controlled under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) (e.g. Japanese knotweed and giant hogweed), making it illegal to plant or cause these plants to grow in the wild. Strict control of the disposal of affected soil and plant material is required.

Giant hogweed (*Heracleum mantegazzianum*) was recorded approximately 980 metres to the north-east in 2014 (most recent record). Japanese knotweed was recorded approximately 1.8km to the north-west in 2013 at the closest.

No invasive plant species were observed during the walkover in 2019 or 2022 and as such no further action is needed.

OTHER LEGALLY PROTECTED/NOTABLE SPECIES

All wild mammals receive some protection under the Wild Mammals (Protection) Act 1996. This act includes offenses of crushing and asphyxiation of any wild mammal with intent to inflict unnecessary suffering.

European hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*) are listed under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (NERC). The UK population has been in decline over recent years. Hedgehogs will commonly be found in urban environments though are unlike to be able to access the site. No further surveys are necessary.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Amphibian and Reptile Groups UK (2010) ARG UK Advice Note 5: Great Crested Newt Habitat Suitability Index.

Bat Conservation Trust/Institute of Lighting Engineers (2009) Bats and Lighting in the UK: Bats and the Built Environment Series

Bat Conservation Trust (2012) Bats and Buildings: Bats and the Built Environment Series. Available from: http://www.bats.org.uk/pages/accommodating_bats_in_buildings.html

Bat Conservation Trust (2014) Artificial lighting and wildlife. Interim guidance: Recommendations to help minimise the impact of artificial lighting.

Bat Conservation Trust (2018) *Guidance Note 08/18 Bats and Artificial Lighting in the UK: Bats and the Built Environment series.* Bat Conservation Trust; Institution of Lighting Professionals.

Bright, P., Morris, P., Mitchell-Jones., T. (2006). *Dormouse Conservation Handbook: 2nd Edition*. English Nature.

BSI (2013) Biodiversity - Code of practice for planning and development. BS 42020: 2013.

CIEEM (2013) *Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal.* Revised 2nd Edition. Chartered Institute for Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

CIEEM (2017) *Guidelines on Ecological Report Writing.* Chartered Institute for Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

CIEEM (2018) *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater, Coastal and Marine.* Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.

Collins, J. (2016) *Bat surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines 3rd edition. The* Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Dean, M., Strachan, R., Gow, D., and Andrews, R. (2016) *The Water Vole Mitigation Handbook (Mammal Society Mitigation Guidance Series).* Eds Fiona Mathews and Paul Chanin. Mammal Society, London.

Gunnell, K., Grant, G., Williams, C. (2012) Landscape and urban design for bats and biodiversity. Bat Conservation Trust.

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (2010). *Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey - a Technique for Environmental Audit.* Reprinted by JNCC, Peterborough.

Mitchell-Jones, A. J. (2004) Bat Mitigation Guidelines. English Nature. Accessed 09/01/2017: http://roost.bats.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/EnglishNature_BatMitigationGuidelines_2004.pdf

Oldham R.S., Keeble J., Swan M.J.S. & Jeffcote M. (2000). Evaluating the suitability of habitat for the Great Crested Newt (*Triturus cristatus*). *Herpetological Journal 10 (4), 143-155.*

Stone, E.L. (2013) Bats and lighting: Overview of current evidence and mitigation. Available from: http://www.bats.org.uk/data/files/Bats_and_Lighting_-_Overview_of_evidence_and_mitigation_-_2014_UPDATE.pdf (accessed 09/01/2017).

Strachan, R., Moorhouse, T., Gelling, M. (2011) *Water Vole Conservation Handbook. (3rd Ed.).* Wildlife Conservation Research Unit, Abingdon.

Waite, M. (2005) Bat roost creation opportunities in Greater London. London Biodiversity Partnership/Greater London Authority.

WEBSITES

Natural England's MAGIC <u>www.magic.defra.gov.uk</u>

APPENDIX 1: PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure 1: Site entrance on Gray's Inn Road, Western side of the site

Previously Identified PRFs (from 2019 PEA), Still Existing



Figure 2: Feature A. Small hole in wooden paneling, north facing (previously identified and still in the same condition)



Figure 3: Feature B. Decayed wall cladding, West facing (previously identified and still in the same condition)



Figure 4: Feature C. Small hole in (asbestos?) roof, west facing (previously identified and still in the same condition)



Figure 5: Feature D. Cavity in trunk of mature walnut tree (previously identified and still in the same condition)

New PRFs



Figure 6: Feature E. Large cavity in roof, South facing, new feature



Figure 7: Feature F. Large cavity in wall, South facing, new feature



Figure 8: Feature G. Large cavity in walnut tree developing, new feature.



Figure 9: Feature H. Large cavity in walnut tree developing, new feature.



Figure 10: Feature I. Small cavity in walnut tree developing, new feature. At time of survey this feature was assessed as being too shallow to be a PRF yet but this will likely change as it hollows out over time.

APPENDIX 2: LOCATION PLAN WITH RED LINE BOUNDARY



Figure 11: Location Plan with Red Line Boundary

APPENDIX 3: HABITAT MAP

Potential bat roost features (PRFs) labelled A-I. See Appendix 1 for photos of individual feature descriptions.



Figure 12: Habitat Map

APPENDIX 4: PLANNING POLICY AND BIODIVERSITY LEGISLATION

NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY

The UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework forms the government response to the 2010 Convention on Biological Diversity, and replaces the UK Biodiversity Action Plan with five internationally agreed strategic goals and targets, including reducing pressures on biodiversity and safeguarding ecosystems, species and genetic diversity. The government's Biodiversity 2020 strategy aims to halt the loss of biodiversity and the degradation of ecosystem services by 2020, to include restoration where feasible. These are used as a guide for decision makers such as local authorities to fulfil their obligations under sections 40 and 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 to have regard to the purpose of conserving biodiversity in carrying out their duties.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2018 states the 'planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by...minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures'. Further, the NPPF states that 'when determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principles:

- a) if significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused;
- b) development on land within or outside a Site of Special Scientific Interest, and which is likely to have an adverse effect on it (either individually or in combination with other developments), should not normally be permitted. The only exception is where the benefits of the development in the location proposed clearly outweigh both its likely impact on the features of the site that make it of special scientific interest, and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest;
- c) development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists; and
- d) development whose primary objective is to conserve or enhance biodiversity should be supported; while opportunities to incorporate biodiversity improvements in and around developments should be encouraged, especially where this can secure measurable net gains for biodiversity.'

The NPPF also states that 'the following should be given the same protection as habitats sites:

- e) potential Special Protection Areas and possible Special Areas of Conservation;
- f) listed or proposed Ramsar sites; and
- g) sites identified, or required, as compensatory measures for adverse effects on habitats sites, potential

Special Protection Areas, possible Special Areas of Conservation, and listed or proposed Ramsar sites.'

LOCAL PLANNING POLICY

CAMDEN LOCAL PLAN (2017)

POLICY A3: BIODIVERSITY

The Council will protect and enhance sites of nature conservation and biodiversity. We will:

- a) designate and protect nature conservation sites and safeguard protected and priority habitats and species;
- b) grant permission for development unless it would directly or indirectly result in the loss or harm to a designated nature conservation site or adversely affect the status or population of priority habitats and species;
- c) assess developments against their ability to realise benefits for biodiversity through the layout, design and materials used in the built structure and landscaping elements of a proposed development, proportionate to the scale of development proposed;
- d) secure improvements to green corridors, particularly where a development scheme is adjacent to an existing corridor;
- e) seek to improve opportunities to experience nature, in particular where such opportunities are lacking;
- f) require the demolition and construction phase of development, including the movement of works vehicles, to be planned to avoid disturbance to habitats and species and ecologically sensitive areas, and the spread of invasive species;
- g) secure management plans, where appropriate, to ensure that nature conservation objectives are met; and
- h) work with The Royal Parks, The City of London Corporation, the London Wildlife Trust, friends of park groups and local nature conservation groups to protect and improve open spaces and nature conservation in Camden
- i) c. seek the protection of other features with nature conservation value, including gardens, wherever possible:

TREES AND VEGETATION

The Council will protect, and seek to secure additional, trees and vegetation. We will:

- j) resist the loss of trees and vegetation of significant amenity, historic, cultural or ecological value including proposals which may threaten the continued wellbeing of such trees and vegetation;
- k) require trees and vegetation which are to be retained to be satisfactorily protected during the demolition and construction phase of development in line with BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to Design, Demolition and Construction' and positively integrated as part of the site layout;
- expect replacement trees or vegetation to be provided where the loss of significant trees or vegetation or harm to the wellbeing of these trees and vegetation has been justified in the context of the proposed development;
- m) expect developments to incorporate additional trees and vegetation wherever possible.

ECOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Our supplementary planning document Camden Planning Guidance on sustainability sets out when the Council will require ecological surveys, the level and scope of detail required and the times in which they should be carried out. These surveys are used to identify important habitat features. It is expected that an ecology scoping survey will be required on all major sites unless the Council has specifically agreed it is not.

ENHANCING NATURE CONSERVATION VALUE

On larger schemes where development is considered to place a significant additional demand on natural greenspace, the Council will seek the provision of new natural greenspace within the site. Our Camden Planning Guidance on amenity sets out the size of scheme this relates to and how much greenspace will be sought based on the occupancy of the development. The layout and type of new habitats should take into account the site's role in buffering and connecting nature sites and wildlife corridors. Habitats and wildlife features should be integrated throughout the site, rather than being isolated pockets of nature

Where on-site provision is not possible, the impact should be mitigated through works to create, reinstate or enhance habitats nearby. Enhancements will be secured through the use of planning conditions and where appropriate, planning obligations. Strategic projects will potentially be funded through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).

In many developments, it should be feasible to incorporate biodiversity enhancing measures. These can deliver a wide range of environmental and social benefits. This includes retrofits of existing buildings, subject to impacts on heritage assets and amenity. Potential responses including biodiverse-rich landscaping, sustainable urban drainage systems, 'species features' such as bird and bat boxes, artificial roosts for bats, tree planting and green roofs and walls. The Council will negotiate the provision of biodiverse living roofs in all suitable developments. Front gardens also provide an opportunity to provide soft landscaping (planting) which can improve biodiversity as well as enhancing the character and attractiveness of the area.

Developers and landowners should also give consideration to the need for species to move between different types of habitats. The Council will seek opportunities to secure green corridors as part of developments and through public realm improvements. Areas that could provide these corridors include land adjacent to railway lines and the Regent's Canal, where existing vegetation can be enhanced or new vegetation provided, and sites adjoining existing open spaces.

All enhancement measures, including the provision of natural greenspace, should contribute to the delivery of the BAP and green infrastructure strategies. As highlighted in Policy A2 Open space, the Mayor of London is supporting the development of a multi-functional network of accessible spaces and natural features (the All-London Green Grid).

STATUTORY AND NON-STATUTORY DESIGNATIONS

Areas of land can be designated to legally protect a number of species and their habitats, as well as landscape and cultural aspects of the countryside. There are a number of different designations that can be applied with varying levels of protection.

RAMSAR WETLANDS OF INTERNATIONAL IMPORTANCE

Ramsar sites are of international importance for the quality of their wetland habitats and features. They are designated under the Ramsar Convention, with the first sites designated in 1976. All Ramsar sites in England are also European conservation sites and protected through the European legislation that protects SACs and SPAs (see below).

SPECIAL AREAS OF CONSERVATION AND SPECIAL PROTECTION AREAS

Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) are of European wide importance and strictly protected sites under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. These regulations consolidate all the various amendments made to the Conservation (Natural Habitats etc.) Regulations 1994 (England and Wales). The regulations transpose the Council of the European Communities Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora into national law.

The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 provide for the designation and protection of Natura 2000 sites. The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 provides provision for the implementation of the protection of such sites in coastal/marine areas.

SITES OF SPECIAL SCIENTIFIC INTEREST

Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) represent the best wildlife and geological sites in the country and are of national importance. SSSIs are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

A list of operations likely to damage the SSSI is provided to the landholder who must get permission from the regulator before carrying out any listed activity. Operations/developments adjacent to the SSSI can also have a negative impact and may also require permission from the regulator before being carried out. Natural England's online mapping tool: MAGIC.gov.uk provides SSSI Impact Risk Zones and lists types of developments within the Impact Risk Zones that could have an impact upon adjacent SSSIs.

AREAS OF OUTSTANDING NATURAL BEAUTY

An Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) is a precious landscape with distinctive character and natural beauty. There are 36 AONBs in England protected by the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act of 1949.

AONBs often include flora and fauna of high quality and interest, as well as historical and cultural associations and scenic views.

NATIONAL NATURE RESERVES

Sections 16-29 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949 in England establish National Nature Reserves, provisions strengthened by the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

A National Nature Reserve (NNR) is an area which is one of the best examples of a particular type of habitat/s. These areas are of national importance for conservation and are given strict protection against damaging operations. Any damaging operations which need to be carried out must be authorised by the designating body.

These protected areas also have strong protection against development on and around it.

LOCAL NATURE RESERVES

Local Nature Reserves are statutory designations made under Section 21 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949, and amended by Schedule 11 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006, by principal local authorities.

To qualify as a Local Nature Reserve, the site must be of importance for wildlife, geology, education or public enjoyment.

Local Nature Reserves (LNRs) are of local, but not necessarily national importance and are almost always owned by local authorities with good public access and facilities.

LNRs can be given protection against damaging operations, and has protection against development on and around it. Protection to the sites are usually through the Local Plan (produced by the planning authority), and are often supplemented by local by-laws.

The level and type of protection afforded to the LNR is decided locally and varies from site to site.

LOCAL NON-STATUTORY DESIGNATIONS

The Local Planning Authority for any given area can designate certain areas as of being of local conservation interest. This is the lowest tier of conservation designation and the level of protection provided varies from area to area.

The Local Plan designates a certain level of protection for such areas in the planning process, giving limited protection against developments of certain types.

The name for locally designated sites varies from area to area. One name for such a designation is: a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC).

PROTECTED SPECIES LEGISLATION

The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), the Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2017 and the Protection of Badgers Act 1992 (as amended) confer various degrees of legal protection on species including bats, reptiles, great crested newts, otters, dormice, water voles, badgers and birds. (A full list of protected species and their specific legal protection is provided within the schedules of the legislation.) This legal protection overrides all planning decisions.

The level of protection afforded to protected species varies dependent on the associated legislation.

In general, European Protected Species (EPS) (e.g. bats, great crested newt, dormice and otter) are afforded the highest level of protection. Any person who deliberately captures, injures or kills an EPS, deliberately disturbs an EPS or who damages or destroys a breeding site or resting place is guilty of an offence.

Furthermore, any person who intentionally or recklessly disturbs an animal whilst it is occupying a structure / place used for shelter / protection and who obstructs access to any structure or place that an animal uses for shelter or protection is also guilty of an offence.

The level of protection afforded to species listed on the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) varies considerably. 'Fully protected species,' such as water vole, are afforded the highest level of protection. Any person who intentionally kills, injures, or takes 'fully protected species,' or who intentionally or recklessly damages or destroys a structure or place used for shelter / protection, disturbs the animal whilst occupying a structure / place used for shelter and protection or obstructs access to any structure / place used for shelter or protection is likely to have committed an offence. Other species, such as common reptiles, are afforded less protection and for these species it may only be an offence to intentionally or recklessly kill or injure animals. All active bird nests, eggs and young are protected from destruction and Schedule 1 listed birds are also protected from disturbance whilst breeding.

Under certain circumstances licences can be granted by the Statutory Nature Conservation Organisation

(Natural England in England) to permit actions that would otherwise be unlawful under The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), the Conservation of Habitats & Species Regulations 2017 and the Protection of Badgers Act 1992 (as amended).

In addition to the above legislation, the Wild Mammals (Protection) Act (1996) provides protection for all wild mammals from certain cruel acts including crushing and asphyxiation, which can have relevance for methods employed during site clearance works.

Further, there is a requirement for local planning authorities to consider Species (and Habitats) of Principal Importance listed under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 when making planning decisions.

APPENDIX 5: NATIVE PLANTING OPTIONS

TREES AND SHRUBS

All of the plants recommended below are of recognized benefit to wildlife. This may be via the production of nectar for insects, berries and seeds for birds and mammals, foliage to support a range of insects, early flowering to provide an early source of nectar for insects, or provision of nesting, roosting and overwintering cover for a range of wildlife.

CLIMBERS

Walls and fences provide a surface upon which a variety of plants can thrive, and provide alternative habitat for roosting, nesting and feeding. The species highlighted below are native or recommended by wildlife organizations. Some are evergreen, and will cover an unsightly wall or fence, softening the appearance of a new development.

WILDFLOWERS

Native wildflower mixes (if applicable) can also provide a large number of additional species and can be found for a variety of meadow soils as well as woodland glades, woodland edges, hedgerows and ponds. The species listed in such mixes can also be used separately within any planting scheme. Removing the topsoil in fertile areas or over time regular mowing and removal grass cuttings reduces the vigour of grasses that compete with wildflowers. Always leave an area of grassland unmown preferably one third in a rotational cut to provide for wildlife.

Table 5: Native Planing Options

Native Trees		Native Climbers	
Acar campactra	Field manle	Hadara haliy	har
Acer campestre	Field maple	Hedera helix	lvy
Alnus glutinosa	Alder	Lonicera periclymenum	Honeysuckle
Betula pendula	Silver birch		
Веша рениша	Silver bildi		
Betula pubescens	Downy birch		
Buxus sempervirens	Box		
zande cempermene			
Calluna vulgaris	Heather		
Castanea sativa	Sweet chestnut	Native Wildflowers	
Carpinus betulus	Hornbeam	Wet & Damp Areas	
Chaenomeles spp.	Quince	Fritillaria meleagris	Fritillary
Cornus sanguinea	Dogwood	Caltha palustris	Marsh marigold
Corylus avellana	Hazel	Cardamine pratensis	Lady's smock
Crataegus monogyna	Hawthorn	Lychnis flos-cuculi	Ragged robin
Crataegus oxyacantha	Midland hawthorn	Lotus pedunculatus	Greater birdsfoot trefoil
Cytisus scoparius	Broom	Succisa pratensis	Devils bit scabious
Erica cinerea	Bell heather	Hypericum perforatum	Perforate St John's Wort
Erica tetralix	Cross leaved heather	Heavy Clay Soils	
Euonymus europaeus	Spindle	Leontodon hispidus	Rough hawkbit
Fagus sylvatica	Beech	Rumex acetosa	Common sorrel
Frangula alnus	Alder buckthorn	Geranium pratense	Meadow cranesbill
Hypericum androsaemum	Tutsan	Centaurea nigra	Common knapweed
Hypericum calycinum	St John's Wort	Centaurea scabiosa	Greater knapweed
llex aquifolium	Holly	Ononis spinosa	Spiny restharrow

Native Trees		Native Climbers	
Juniperus communis	Juniper	Moist Soils	
Lariy dagidua	European Larch	Latus corpiculatus	Common hirdefact trofeil
Larix decidua	European Larch	Lotus corniculatus	Common birdsfoot trefoil
Ligustrum vulgare	Privet	Ajuga reptans	Bugle
Malus domestica	Apple	Sanguisorba minor	Salad burnet
5:			
Pinus sylvestris	Scots pine	Ranunculus acris	Meadow buttercup
Populus alba	White poplar	Silene latifolia	White campion
Populus nigra	Black poplar	Trifolium pratense	Red clover
Potentilla fruticosa	Shrubby cinquefoil	Primula veris	Cowslip
Prunus avium	Wild cherry	Leucanthemum vulgare	Oxeye daisy
Prunus domestica	Wild plum	Medicago lupulina	Black medick
Prunus padas	Bird cherry	Rhinanthus minor	Yellow rattle
Prunus spinosa	Blackthorn	Anthyllis vulneraria	Kidney vetch
Pyrus communis	Pear	Galium verum	Lady's bedstraw
1 yrus communis	1 641	Canam veram	Eddy o Bedoliuw
Pyrus pyraster	Wild pear	Daucus carota	Wild carrot
Quercus spp	Oaks	Knautia arvensis	Field scabious
Rosa arvensis	Field rose	Prunella vulgaris	Selfheal
		Tranena vaigans	
Rosa rubiginosa	Sweet briar	Vicia cracca	Tufted vetch
Rosa spinosissima	Burnet rose	Lathyrus pratensis	Meadow vetchling
Rhamnus catharticus	Buckthorn	Achillea millefolium	Yarrow
Maining Californicus	Buckulofff	Acrimea millerollam	Tarrow
Rubus idaeus	Raspberry	Light Sandy Soils	
Salix caprea, S.cinerea, S.fragilis, S.pentandra	Willows	Myosotis arvensis	Field forget-me-not
Sambucus nigra	Elder	Trifolium dubium	Lesser trefoil

Native Trees		Native Climbers	
Sorbus aucuparia	Rowan	Campanula rotundifolia	Harebell
Sorbus aria	Whitebeam	Hypericum perforatum	Perforate St Johns Wort
Sorbus torminalis	Wild Service Tree		= Early Flowering
Taxus baccata	Yew		= Late Flowering
Tilia europaea	Lime		
Ulex europaeus	Gorse		
Ulmus procera	English Elm		
Viburnum opulus	Guelder Rose		

APPENDIX 6: NESTING PROVISION FOR BIRDS

BIRDS

Nest boxes can be free standing (placed on buildings or trees) or integrated into the brickwork of buildings. If purchased as free standing, nest boxes should be made from woodcrete because this experiences less temperature fluctuations than wood and is longer lasting. Usually integrated boxes can be matched to rest of the brickwork of a building.

Place nest boxes between 2.5 and 5.5m from ground level, although heights vary between species and this should be checked prior to placement. The higher end of the height range should be chosen where cats may be a risk to chicks or adult birds at the nest. Nest boxes must be in a cool, secluded location, out of reach of cats. The boxes should be sited between north and east facing. Exposed/windy locations are to be avoided. Boxes should not be illuminated so siting them near street lights should be avoided. Following the lighting advice for bats in Appendix 8 will also benefit nesting birds. If boxes are stand-alone, they should be tilted down slightly to reduce issues with driving rain. The boxes should also be sited near vegetation to encourage use by birds.

Table 6: Nesting Provision for Birds

Species	Special Features Required	Example Photos	Potential Sources
Starling	Entrance hole of 45mm diameter		Free standing: CJ Wildlife: Birdfood.co.uk e.g. WoodStone® Starling Nest Box Integrated: NHBS: Ecosury Ecological Consultants – Starling Box – Smooth Brick ☐ Birdbrickhouses.co.uk
House Sparrow	Should be sited in loose colonies of two-three boxes in close proximity. Entrance hole of 32mm diameter. Should not be sited near nest boxes for other bird species.		Free standing: CJ Wildlife: Birdfood.co.uk: WoodStone® Estella House Sparrow Nest Box Integrated: Birdbrickhouses.co.uk Woodstone Build-in Swift Nest Box.
Swift	Need to be sited in colonies. Needs to be 6-7m above ground level in the eaves of a building.		Integrated boxes: Birdbrickhouses.co.uk NHBS: 17a Schwegler Swift Nest Box Triple Cavity; 16 Schwegler Swift Box; Woodstone Buildin Swift Nest Box.
Black Redstart	Open fronted nest boxes are suitable for this bird and ideally should be near water and brownfield habitats/green/brown roofs.		Integrated boxes: Birdbrickhouses.co.uk NHBS: Woodstone Build-in Open Nest Box Free standing: NHBS: 1N Schwegler Deep Nest Box

APPENDIX 7: LIGHTING FOR BATS

LIGHTING RECOMMENDATIONS

Most bat species find artificial lighting very disturbing as they are adapted to low light conditions (Gunnell *et al.*, 2012). To avoid increasing predation risk and loss of suitable roosting, foraging and commuting habitats for bats, both on and immediately adjacent to the site, consider the following lighting recommendations (Gunnell *et al.*, 2012; Bat Conservation Trust, 2018):

- Reduce light intensity as far as possible. Light levels post-development should be considered in the context of
 light levels pre-development. Use the minimum amount of lighting for safety and minimise light spill. Eliminate
 bare bulbs and upward pointing light. It is recommended that artificial lighting does not directly illuminate any
 features or habitats of value to foraging bats such as hedgerows or treelines, waterbodies etc. Bat roosting
 sites should not be lit.
- Where appropriate, use lighting design software and professional lighting designers to predict light spill.

 Postinstallation checks ensure the lighting installation is in accordance with the design and predictions were accurate, and mitigations successful.
- Limit the height of lighting columns. Occasionally a higher lighting column may be preferred to reduce horizontal spill or number of columns required.
- Use as steep a downward angle of light as possible and/or use a shield, hood, cowl, louvre that directs the light below the horizontal plane. Avoid lighting above 90° and 100° (e.g. with horizontal cut off units) and keep ideally under 70° above the horizontal. Directional accessories can be installed post-installation as a last resort to reduce light spill.
- Planting (e.g. hedgerows/trees) can minimise light spill, or man-made features can block light from certain directions. The effectiveness will depend on pre-development light surveys/modelling to understand the extent and level of light around the site. Use temporary close boarded fencing until vegetation matures to shield sensitive areas from lighting.
- Limit the times lights are on to provide dark periods using modern lighting control methods e.g. during peak bat activity periods (0 to 1.5 hours after sunset and 1.5 hours before sunrise) where this does not conflict with health and safety and security requirements.
- Use narrow spectrum light sources to lower the range of species affected by lighting and light sources should emit minimal ultra-violet (UV) light. Metal halide or mercury light sources emit high UV light. Low pressure sodium lights are a preferred option to high pressure sodium or mercury lamps.
- Avoid white and blue wavelengths. Warm-white wavelength lights are a good alternative (ideally <2700Kelvin). White LED lights do not emit UV but can affect bats. LED lamps allow for directional lighting and most luminaires are full cut-off. Lights should peak at over 550nm or use glass lantern covers to filter UV light. Further, altering the spacing between luminaires can allow for dark areas and reduce the impacts on bats.
- Lighting required for security/safety should use sensor activated lamps of no more than 2000 lumens (150 Watts). Low wattage lamps are preferable (<70W). 'Variable aim' luminaires can allow the angle of the beam to be altered to reduce impacts. Security lighting should be set on motion sensors and short (1 minute) timers.
- Lighting for pedestrians should be low level, directional and below 3 lux at ground level (preferably below 1 lux).
- Glazing should be restricted or redesigned wherever the ecologist and lighting professional determine there is a likely significant effect upon key bat habitat and features. Where windows and glass facades etc. cannot be avoided, low transmission glazing treatments may be suitable to achieve reduced illuminance targets. Products available include: retrofit window films and factory tinted glazing. 'Smart glass' can be set to automatically obscure on a timer during the hours of darkness, and automatic blinds can also be used.
- Use asymmetric beam floodlights, orientated so the glass is parallel to the ground to avoid horizontal spill. See http://www.nationaltrust.org.uk/main/w-bat05_events.pdf for further information.

