				Printed on: 01/03/2023
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/0825/T	Susanne Griffin	28/02/2023 22:29:37	OBJNOT	Rear garden:
				I notice that the 'garden' with apparently compromised 'amenity value' due to the two trees, otherwise appears on the photographs to have had paving slabs put all over the outside space, which once was aa garden. I can see that the two trees have been badly sited right up against what looks like a very old wall that looks like the mortar requires repair, just as on many other walls along the road, that don't necessarily have trees close by. What would the most environmentally sensitive and appropriate course of action be in this case?
				I object to the unnecessary removal of trees generally. Despite not being native to the UK, these particular trees have been used for resting and roosting by local birdlife and in summer do help to provide some greenery that is visible from other houses and helps break up the mass of built-up extensions in the in-between space at the rear of York Way and facing towards Marquis Road.
				In particular, I am very concerned for the well-being of the local sparrow population that began to be seen here during the Covid lockdown, after being absent for at least 15 years. There are also robins, several different types of tits, as well as blackbirds and wood pigeons, even an occasional woodpecker that have returned recently. It would be good to help support this development of local wildlife, rather than to further decimate the greenery that helps support the continuing existence of these beautiful creatures in our local area.
				I am wondering whether the Council might request further assessment by the owner with respect to the decaying boundary wall? Is it safe? I would like to know to what extent the boundary wall there may be decaying due to age and general 'wear and tear' as much as due to the 2 trees identified as culpable? Is it perhaps a structurally important weight-bearing retaining wall, needed due to the difference in height between the ground level at the back of Marquis Road and the ground level at the back of York Way? If with repair of the wall, it is still necessary for the trees to be felled, is the owner planning to find more suitable positioning and to plant a range of smaller trees, shrubs and potential hedgerow planting to really improve the amenity and environmental benefit of their back yard?
				Alternatively, to what extent might this tree felling request potentially associated with future plans to perhaps seek planning permission with view to building further extensions? With permitted tree felling at this point in

perhaps seek planning permission with view to building further extensions? With permitted tree felling at this point in time, later on (as in other local applications that I have seen) the applicant would to be able to cite that there is no vegetation to be lost with the planned extension, because there is only a paved back yard with no trees at all.

I feel that it is sad that I can see how a significant number of owners of properties in the Camden area do not bother to plant suitable trees in appropriate places, nor arrange for regular pruning of planted trees and shrubs. This inevitably leads to the kind of situation illustrated on the photographs.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				Front Garden: The apparently unpruned Ceoanthus at the front of the property could easily have been kept at a suitable size by regular pruning. It is a highly visible part of the otherwise rather barren streetscape of York Way and beautiful when it is in flower. Can it not be pruned and retained?
				If not, could the council ask for another suitably small native tree, hedging or shrub to be planted to benefit the local environment and provide much needed greenery and vegetation to improve the well-being of both humans and local wildlife?
2023/0825/T	Ros Franey	28/02/2023 20:34:56	OBJ	The destruction of the green space between the houses in York Way and Marquis Road continues. As well as halting the depletion of trees and gardens at the back of these houses, I would like the Planning Committee please to consider this application in relation to the destruction of green space on the opposite side of York Way, where Islington Council have given planning permission (which i would not oppose) for increasing housing density on the York Way estate. Trees are clearly going to have to be lost from that site - and from our entire neighbourhood.
				In view of the fact that the trees are being removed at 73 York Way because they're apparently damaging garden walls and causing too much shade, I would like Camden to require the owners to plant two new trees at the back, and a smaller shrub at the front to replace them. The net loss of trees in the gardens at the back of us is having a really adverse environmental impact, and the Council's aspiration to improve air quality and green space in our neighbourhoods should be backed - at the very least - by a rule that if one tree goes, another must replace it.
				The front of the terrace of which 73 is a part is already barren, with very few shrubs in the space outside the houses. Removal of a beautiful blue-flowering Ceanothus is upsetting in itself. Ceanothuses do not have to be enormous: it should have been pruned earlier rather than removed now, and I question whether that might not still be an option? If it isn't, there needs to be a replacement shrub.
				But I do hope the removal of the fig and the palm tree at the back of the house is not the precursor to building

But I do hope the removal of the fig and the palm tree at the back of the house is not the precursor to building over the garden. For that reason, I'd be much happier if the trees could be replaced with native trees on a smaller scale that will help the struggling bird-life.

Printed on: 01/03/2023

09:10:07

				Printed on: 01/03/2023
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/0825/T	Susanne Griffin	28/02/2023 22:29:41	OBJNOT	Rear garden:
				I notice that the 'garden' with apparently compromised 'amenity value' due to the two trees, otherwise appears on the photographs to have had paving slabs put all over the outside space, which once was aa garden. I can see that the two trees have been badly sited right up against what looks like a very old wall that looks like the mortar requires repair, just as on many other walls along the road, that don't necessarily have trees close by. What would the most environmentally sensitive and appropriate course of action be in this case?
				I object to the unnecessary removal of trees generally. Despite not being native to the UK, these particular trees have been used for resting and roosting by local birdlife and in summer do help to provide some greenery that is visible from other houses and helps break up the mass of built-up extensions in the in-between space at the rear of York Way and facing towards Marquis Road.
				In particular, I am very concerned for the well-being of the local sparrow population that began to be seen here during the Covid lockdown, after being absent for at least 15 years. There are also robins, several different types of tits, as well as blackbirds and wood pigeons, even an occasional woodpecker that have returned recently. It would be good to help support this development of local wildlife, rather than to further decimate the greenery that helps support the continuing existence of these beautiful creatures in our local area.
				I am wondering whether the Council might request further assessment by the owner with respect to the decaying boundary wall? Is it safe? I would like to know to what extent the boundary wall there may be decaying due to age and general 'wear and tear' as much as due to the 2 trees identified as culpable? Is it perhaps a structurally important weight-bearing retaining wall, needed due to the difference in height between the ground level at the back of Marquis Road and the ground level at the back of York Way? If with repair of the wall, it is still necessary for the trees to be felled, is the owner planning to find more suitable positioning and to plant a range of smaller trees, shrubs and potential hedgerow planting to really improve the amenity and environmental benefit of their back yard?
				Alternatively, to what extent might this tree felling request potentially associated with future plans to perhaps seek planning permission with view to building further extensions? With permitted tree felling at this point in time, later on (as in other local applications that I have seen) the applicant would to be able to cite that there is no vegetation to be lost with the planned extension, because there is only a paved back yard with no trees at all.
				I feel that it is sad that I can see how a significant number of owners of properties in the Camden area do not bother to plant suitable trees in appropriate places, nor arrange for regular pruning of planted trees and shrubs. This inevitably leads to the kind of situation illustrated on the photographs.

Front Garden: The apparently unpruned Ceoanthus at the front of the property could easily have been kept at a suitable size by regular pruning. It is a highly visible part of the otherwise rather barren streetscape of York Way and beautiful when it is in flower. Can it not be pruned and retained?

If not, could the council ask for another suitably small native tree, hedging or shrub to be planted to benefit the local environment and provide much needed greenery and vegetation to improve the well-being of both humans and local wildlife?

				Printed on: 01/03/2023
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/0825/T	Susanne Griffin	28/02/2023 22:29:44	OBJNOT	Rear garden:
				I notice that the 'garden' with apparently compromised 'amenity value' due to the two trees, otherwise appears on the photographs to have had paving slabs put all over the outside space, which once was aa garden. I can see that the two trees have been badly sited right up against what looks like a very old wall that looks like the mortar requires repair, just as on many other walls along the road, that don't necessarily have trees close by. What would the most environmentally sensitive and appropriate course of action be in this case?
				I object to the unnecessary removal of trees generally. Despite not being native to the UK, these particular trees have been used for resting and roosting by local birdlife and in summer do help to provide some greenery that is visible from other houses and helps break up the mass of built-up extensions in the in-between space at the rear of York Way and facing towards Marquis Road.
				In particular, I am very concerned for the well-being of the local sparrow population that began to be seen here during the Covid lockdown, after being absent for at least 15 years. There are also robins, several different types of tits, as well as blackbirds and wood pigeons, even an occasional woodpecker that have returned recently. It would be good to help support this development of local wildlife, rather than to further decimate the greenery that helps support the continuing existence of these beautiful creatures in our local area.
				I am wondering whether the Council might request further assessment by the owner with respect to the decaying boundary wall? Is it safe? I would like to know to what extent the boundary wall there may be decaying due to age and general 'wear and tear' as much as due to the 2 trees identified as culpable? Is it perhaps a structurally important weight-bearing retaining wall, needed due to the difference in height between the ground level at the back of Marquis Road and the ground level at the back of York Way? If with repair of the wall, it is still necessary for the trees to be felled, is the owner planning to find more suitable positioning and to plant a range of smaller trees, shrubs and potential hedgerow planting to really improve the amenity and environmental benefit of their back yard?
				Alternatively, to what extent might this tree felling request potentially associated with future plans to perhaps seek planning permission with view to building further extensions? With permitted tree felling at this point in time, later on (as in other local applications that I have seen) the applicant would to be able to cite that there is no vegetation to be lost with the planned extension, because there is only a paved back yard with no trees at all.
				I feel that it is sad that I can see how a significant number of owners of properties in the Camden area do not bother to plant suitable trees in appropriate places, nor arrange for regular pruning of planted trees and

If I were in a decision-making position, I would like to know what plans the owner has to improve the garden space before giving any permission for wholesale felling of two trees in the rear of the property. Unfortunately I do not have any authority to request such a thing myself and can only kindly request that the Council might consider such matters on my behalf as a concerned citizen, cognisant that Camden will shortly be producing its new local planning guidelines, which will hopefully be able to put more weight on improving environmental well-being generally.

shrubs. This inevitably leads to the kind of situation illustrated on the photographs.

Comment: Response:

Front Garden: The apparently unpruned Ceoanthus at the front of the property could easily have been kept at a suitable size by regular pruning. It is a highly visible part of the otherwise rather barren streetscape of York Way and beautiful when it is in flower. Can it not be pruned and retained?

If not, could the council ask for another suitably small native tree, hedging or shrub to be planted to benefit the local environment and provide much needed greenery and vegetation to improve the well-being of both humans and local wildlife?

				Printed on: 01/03/2023
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/0825/T	Susanne Griffin	28/02/2023 22:29:48	OBJNOT	Rear garden:
				I notice that the 'garden' with apparently compromised 'amenity value' due to the two trees, otherwise appears on the photographs to have had paving slabs put all over the outside space, which once was aa garden. I can see that the two trees have been badly sited right up against what looks like a very old wall that looks like the mortar requires repair, just as on many other walls along the road, that don't necessarily have trees close by. What would the most environmentally sensitive and appropriate course of action be in this case?
				I object to the unnecessary removal of trees generally. Despite not being native to the UK, these particular trees have been used for resting and roosting by local birdlife and in summer do help to provide some greenery that is visible from other houses and helps break up the mass of built-up extensions in the in-between space at the rear of York Way and facing towards Marquis Road.
				In particular, I am very concerned for the well-being of the local sparrow population that began to be seen here during the Covid lockdown, after being absent for at least 15 years. There are also robins, several different types of tits, as well as blackbirds and wood pigeons, even an occasional woodpecker that have returned recently. It would be good to help support this development of local wildlife, rather than to further decimate the greenery that helps support the continuing existence of these beautiful creatures in our local area.
				I am wondering whether the Council might request further assessment by the owner with respect to the decaying boundary wall? Is it safe? I would like to know to what extent the boundary wall there may be decaying due to age and general 'wear and tear' as much as due to the 2 trees identified as culpable? Is it perhaps a structurally important weight-bearing retaining wall, needed due to the difference in height between the ground level at the back of Marquis Road and the ground level at the back of York Way? If with repair of the wall, it is still necessary for the trees to be felled, is the owner planning to find more suitable positioning and to plant a range of smaller trees, shrubs and potential hedgerow planting to really improve the amenity and environmental benefit of their back yard?
				Alternatively, to what extent might this tree felling request potentially associated with future plans to perhaps seek planning permission with view to building further extensions? With permitted tree felling at this point in time, later on (as in other local applications that I have seen) the applicant would to be able to cite that there is no vegetation to be lost with the planned extension, because there is only a paved back yard with no trees at all.
				I feel that it is sad that I can see how a significant number of owners of properties in the Camden area do not bother to plant suitable trees in appropriate places, nor arrange for regular pruning of planted trees and shrubs. This inevitably leads to the kind of situation illustrated on the photographs.

Front Garden: The apparently unpruned Ceoanthus at the front of the property could easily have been kept at a suitable size by regular pruning. It is a highly visible part of the otherwise rather barren streetscape of York Way and beautiful when it is in flower. Can it not be pruned and retained?

If not, could the council ask for another suitably small native tree, hedging or shrub to be planted to benefit the local environment and provide much needed greenery and vegetation to improve the well-being of both humans and local wildlife?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 01/03/2023 Response:
2023/0825/T	Susanne Griffin	28/02/2023 22:29:52	OBJNOT	Rear garden:
				I notice that the 'garden' with apparently compromised 'amenity value' due to the two trees, otherwise appears on the photographs to have had paving slabs put all over the outside space, which once was aa garden. I can see that the two trees have been badly sited right up against what looks like a very old wall that looks like the mortar requires repair, just as on many other walls along the road, that don't necessarily have trees close by. What would the most environmentally sensitive and appropriate course of action be in this case?
				I object to the unnecessary removal of trees generally. Despite not being native to the UK, these particular trees have been used for resting and roosting by local birdlife and in summer do help to provide some greenery that is visible from other houses and helps break up the mass of built-up extensions in the in-between space at the rear of York Way and facing towards Marquis Road.
				In particular, I am very concerned for the well-being of the local sparrow population that began to be seen here during the Covid lockdown, after being absent for at least 15 years. There are also robins, several different types of tits, as well as blackbirds and wood pigeons, even an occasional woodpecker that have returned recently. It would be good to help support this development of local wildlife, rather than to further decimate the greenery that
				helps support the continuing existence of these beautiful creatures in our local area.
				I am wondering whether the Council might request further assessment by the owner with respect to the decaying boundary wall? Is it safe? I would like to know to what extent the boundary wall there may be decaying due to age and general 'wear and tear' as much as due to the 2 trees identified as culpable? Is it perhaps a structurally important weight-bearing retaining wall, needed due to the difference in height between the ground level at the back of Marquis Road and the ground level at the back of York Way? If with repair of the wall, it is still necessary for the trees to be felled, is the owner planning to find more suitable positioning and to plant a range of smaller trees, shrubs and potential hedgerow planting to really improve the amenity and environmental benefit of their back yard?
				Alternatively, to what extent might this tree felling request potentially associated with future plans to perhaps seek planning permission with view to building further extensions? With permitted tree felling at this point in time, later on (as in other local applications that I have seen) the applicant would to be able to cite that there is no vegetation to be lost with the planned extension, because there is only a paved back yard with no trees at all.

I feel that it is sad that I can see how a significant number of owners of properties in the Camden area do not bother to plant suitable trees in appropriate places, nor arrange for regular pruning of planted trees and shrubs. This inevitably leads to the kind of situation illustrated on the photographs.

Front Garden: The apparently unpruned Ceoanthus at the front of the property could easily have been kept at a suitable size by regular pruning. It is a highly visible part of the otherwise rather barren streetscape of York Way and beautiful when it is in flower. Can it not be pruned and retained?

If not, could the council ask for another suitably small native tree, hedging or shrub to be planted to benefit the local environment and provide much needed greenery and vegetation to improve the well-being of both humans and local wildlife?

Total: 16