

Subject:

Planning application 2022/5111/P 22 Elaine Grove London NW5 4QG

We strongly object to this application. Our house, 23 Elaine Grove, is next door & contiguous to No 22. No 22's roof extension raised and affected the party wall between the two houses. Our neighbour failed to give us notice of his extension as required under the Party Wall Act so we were denied the protection of appointing a surveyor in advance to represent our interests in the matter. Our property has been damaged by the changes to the party wall and we have had to obtain from our neighbour reimbursement of the necessary building repairs

In addition to the damage to our property we object to the fact that the extension has raised No 22's roofline in an ugly and unsightly way. The houses in Elaine Grove & Oak Village are small, cottage-style houses. Permission for raising the roofline should not be given and has previously been correctly refused.

Our surveyor's two reports of 24 October 2022 set out clearly and fully the effect of the work on our property. These reports were passed to Camden Planning & we discussed them with Mr Gary Bakall on 11 Nov. 2022, but if you do not have them to hand we could provide copies. The reports were necessarily made *post eventum* because we had had no advance notice of the raising of the roofline & effect on our party wall.

Roderick & Anne Allison 23 Elaine Grove London NW5 4QG