

Dear Sirs,

Re: Planning Application 2023/0282/P & 2023/0650/L

I am writing to you to express my strong objections to the above-mentioned planning application regarding the "demolition and rebuilding of listed garden retaining wall and balustrade".

The proposed works on the foundations and balustrade in the Chester Terrace Gardens are totally unnecessary, at least at this stage. The cracks in the retaining wall and the balustrade are historical and no independent evidence has been produced to date to show that there has been any kind of recent movement or deterioration. On this basis, it is accepted that any repairs that are proven essential can be undertaken with the movement being monitored for the next 2 years. At the end of that assessment, an informed decision can then be taken as to whether the demolition and rebuilding of the retaining wall and balustrade would be required.

Moreover, it is certainly totally unnecessary at this juncture to cut down now over 20 mature trees and shrubs, as is being proposed. These trees not only add character and value to the Listed Nash Terrace but also serve other very practical purposes, such as retaining lower levels of air and noise pollution as well as security screening. It is also a cause for concern the fact that the CEPC has thus far refused to provide a formal undertaking that any mature trees or shrubs that are cut down now will be replaced by similar mature trees and shrubs.

Given what such a proposal would involve and all the very important downsides coupled with the fact that there is no independent evidence in place to demonstrate that such work is even required at this stage I would hope would be serious enough grounds for the application not to be allowed.

Yours faithfully,

E.G. Embiricos

Alberto Aponte

From: Emily Caplan <emilycaplan@me.com>

 Sent:
 25 February 2023 17:08

 To:
 Planning Planning

 Subject:
 2023/0282/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Reference - 2023/0282/P

Hi there,

I would like to complain about the removal of the trees in our street Chester Terrace NW1 4ND.

The Crown are trying to remove multiple trees in our communal gardens, we have independently had them assessed over a two year basis and there is no need for them to be removed. It is a concern for our air quality, but also our environment to demolish over 20 healthy and mature trees.

Furthermore, our houses will be more overlooked and vulnerable to crime.

Best wishes,

Emily



Dear Sirs

I am writing to object to the application referenced above related to the balustrade in Chester Terrace.

The grounds for my objection are as follows:

1. The planned works are unnecessary and will cause extensive and protracted disruption to the residents' peaceful enjoyment of the of the terrace.

The proposed demolition of a large section of the balustrade is unnecessary. I have lived in the Terrace for 22 years. During that time have not observed any change to the condition of the balustrade. I am told there has not been a careful observation of the conditions to establish if the balustrade is in a worsening and unstable state. Targeted remedial works can be undertaken to reinforce any suspect sections of the wall.

- 2. The proposed clearance of the trees and vegetation in the gardens will substantially damage the amenity of the private garden which is a benefit to the residents. Additionally clearance would expose the houses to additional noise and pollution.
- 3. No proper consultation has been held with the residents and the views which have been expressed by the resident's committee have been ignored.

I urge the council to reject the application.

Yours truly John Seifert

5 Chester Terrace NW1 4 ND



2023/0282/P

Good evening,

I would like you to reject the above planning application.

The suggested demolition and rebuilding of a large part of the balustrade is not required. I understand it is not deteriorating and can be easily repaired without causing the havoc that such substantial work would cause, including noise, access to the houses and parking. The terrace would be virtually unliveable probably for over a year.

The idea that the garden should be stripped of its mature trees is appalling. I have young children and the garden gives refuge from the crowds in the park and the trees give vital shade.

Kind regards,

Maryna Prykhodko