
From: Elizabeth Richardson [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 October 2022 14:18
To: Leela Muthoora
Cc: Jenna Litherland; Ramesh Depala; Planning Planning; James Slater (Cllr); Phil Gladstone
Subject: Planning Application: 2021/5066/P re-consultation

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Ms Muthoora

Planning Application: 2021/5066/P re-consultation

Thank you for your email dated 28 September 2022.

We have read the email sent by Ivan and Suzanne Sharrock on 12 October 2022 and rather than repeat points already stated we would just like to say that we agree with what was written in their email.

We note that you have stated that you will not be seeking any further revisions and will be making a recommendation for approval for the revised proposal and retrospective works regarding the above planning application.

As we have repeatedly explained, we strongly object to our neighbours at No11 Burghley Rd using the extension's flat roof as a terrace with the noise and overlooking problems associated, and do not want plants to be grown on the roof which causes shade to our basement flat and help to give reason for the roof to be used as a terrace. If the application is to be approved then we would ask Camden Planning Department to ensure that:-

- the application be subject to a clearly stated planning condition preventing the use of the roof as an amenity area for residential purposes, and
- that, the area from the back door of No 11 Burghley Rd is used purely as a walkway to steps leading to the garden of No 11 Burghley Road, and
- that the proposed access gate 'for maintenance' be removed.

As we have stated previously in our objection letter dated 28th August 2022, this extension, built with 'walk-on glass', has been used in the past as an amenity area without approved planning permission. This happened even though Camden Planning Department had assured neighbouring properties, in writing, that it was not to be used in this way. The applicants would be aware of this restriction but nevertheless used it as a terrace. We feel that the proposed 'maintenance' gate could enable the applicants, frequent access to the part of the roof outside the railings and make it impossible to ensure compliance with a planning condition

preventing residential use. The condition should make it clear that large plants may not be placed on the roof of the extension as they are likely to encourage frequent access over the restricted parts. Infrequent access for bona-fide maintenance should still be possible for workmen without the proposed maintenance gate.

The roof of the extension is still in fact being used on and off though not so frequently and when this happens the people and dog involved might as well be in my garden.

We hope that you will agree to the above and look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely

Elizabeth W Richardson & Philip Gladstone

13A Burghley Road

PS: Fortunately, when the summerhouse (looking straight into our bedroom) was built about 18 years ago at the bottom of the garden of 13 Burghley Road, conditions were attached which stated that it could not be used as a dwelling place and that people could not sleep there overnight. On four occasions we have had to explain these Planning Department Conditions to tenants of No 13B Burghley Rd and new owners. Without conditions, similar difficulties and misunderstanding are likely to arise with the roof of the extension of No 11 Burghley Road. We would like to prevent any misunderstanding by having everything made clear with conditions in writing from Camden Planning Department as to exactly how the roof of the extension of No 11 Burghley Road can and cannot be used.