

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5111/P	Amanda C Lee	02/02/2023 16:17:14	OBJ	<p>We are the leaseholders for the upper maisonette which is directly behind 22 Elaine Grove and in direct line of sight of that residence's roof extension from both our living room and roof terrace.</p> <p>We have major concerns with regards to the roof extension given the full-size exterior door which opens out onto the flat roof. Throughout the summer, we watched as the extension was built and saw the builders, workmen, supervisors and other individuals move in and out of the door to access building materials, have a cuppa and smoke while perching themselves on the roof and looking directly into our maisonette.</p> <p>This roof extension not only [REDACTED], but also the natural light coming into the all important south-facing side of our residence. Our maisonette's only outside space is the roof terrace and now when looking across, our view is largely obstructed and visibly broken by the black-tiled monolith that is the roof extension. It's not in keeping with the roof lines of the other houses on Elaine Grove and Oak Village and of visual detriment to the surrounding area.</p> <p>With the use of the door facing our property, our privacy will be even further impacted along with the potential noise and light pollution which may emanate from its use by the tenants of 22 Elaine Grove. While the door may seem 'invisible' given its integration with the same black tiles as the rest of the roof extension (and its complete absence from the drawing accompanying this retrospective planning application), it's clear that it's a doorway upon closer examination. The bottom metal flashing which is essential for any entryway is visible in the section of the extension where the door is located (lefthand side).</p> <p>We're also aware that there is a relatively high turnover of tenants in the residence at 22 Elaine Grove. This raises further concern with regards to our privacy and the accessibility of the roof extension to short-term tenants who may have less concern for their impact on the long-term residents of the area. There's no recourse if they create noise or impede on the surrounding neighbours' privacy as they don't 'live' at 22 Elaine Grove.</p>

Printed on: 03/02/2023 09:10:07

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5111/P	Jack Trench	02/02/2023 18:52:53	OBJ	<p>Dear Camden Planning,</p> <p>I am the chair of the Mansfield Road TRA. I write both as a resident and as representative for the block (and representing the discussions at our TRA meeting earlier this week).</p> <p>Our block runs along the rear of Elaine Grove, and my home is almost directly opposite the rear of the extension. We are very concerned about the erection of the illegal roof extension for a number of reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- To increasing the ridge line is unsightly and not in keeping with the surrounding houses. Oak Village and the surrounding streets are a fabulous addition to the area and to ruin the aesthetics of this is a big unsightly problem in our opinion. Especially when looking south from our terraces.- The granting of retrospective planning permission will set a precedent for the other houses in the street, if over time numerous homes increase to this line it will have a further detrimental effect on our light, our view, our privacy and the architectural value of Oak Village.- As there is also a rear door in roof extension we are concerned about privacy with the door opening allowing a view directly into our gardens and some [REDACTED] <p>We would urge you not to grant retrospective planning permission. To build up such a structure and assume one could get retrospective planning is an abuse of the system and due process in our opinion.</p> <p>Many thanks,</p> <p>Jack Trench For and on behalf of the Mansfield Road Tenants and Residents Association.</p>