Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 27/01/2023 09:10:24 Response:
Stefanie Gaisser	26/01/2023 15:07:38	OBJ	I am a resident of Savernake Road and a direct neighbour to Gospel Oak School and I am very concerned about the proposed telecoms mast. This is a quiet residential area with a school and a nursery and it is a conservation area on top of that. This is not a place that should be disfigured by a huge and unsightly telecoms mast. There are more suitable places for such a monstrosity. The proposed mast is not in alignment with the local environment, it would exceed the height of existing trees, streetlights, residential houses and the school. It would be visible from a long distance and ruin the view from our houses and even the Heath. In short it would ruin the character of this historical neighbourhood and it would also set a negative precedent for similar proposals in other conservation areas and residential neighbourhoods in Camden. On top of that there will be four new industrial cabinets outside the school. This is a health and safety issue as the footpath is already overcrowded at school drop off and pick up times and any further reduction of space on the footpath would make the area around the school prone to accidents. Furthermore, I think that in this case the benefits do not outweigh the detrimental impact this proposed mast would have on the residents and the integrity of the area. There were only seven other alternative sites considered although there are many existing much more suitable multi-storey buildings and industrial park areas in Camden that should be explored further in accordance with the UK's National Planning Policy Framework Direction e.g. Murphy's Yard. On top of that Gospel Oak Area (in fact the entire Mansfield Conservation Area) already has excellent 3G, 4G and 5G coverage and would therefore not benefit from the proposed telecoms mast (as claimed on the company's own website). It seems that this is only to cover gaps in Dartmouth Park and Kentish Town and not as claimed in the application "a site in this location will fill the gap in service provision and provide high quality, relia
Cassie Blackett-Ord	24/01/2023 20:56:37	OBJ	I am concerned about the proposed location of the mobile phone mast and base station. The cabinets which accompany the mast will cover a significant portion of the pavement which is already very congested around the beginning and end of the school day. This poses a significant problem for those of us who are wheelchair or mobility scooter dependant. Is the suggestion to wheel along on the road. It is concerning.
Cassie Blackett-Ord	24/01/2023 20:56:40	OBJ	I am concerned about the proposed location of the mobile phone mast and base station. The cabinets which accompany the mast will cover a significant portion of the pavement which is already very congested around the beginning and end of the school day. This poses a significant problem for those of us who are wheelchair or mobility scooter dependant. Is the suggestion to wheel along on the road. It is concerning.
	Cassie Blackett-Ord	Stefanie Gaisser 26/01/2023 15:07:38 Cassie 24/01/2023 20:56:37 Blackett-Ord 24/01/2023 20:56:40	Cassie 24/01/2023 20:56:37 OBJ Cassie 24/01/2023 20:56:40 OBJ

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 27/01/2023 09:10:24 Response:
2022/5259/P	Doug Crawford	26/01/2023 14:06:56	OBJ	As a resident of Savernake Rd I strongly oppose the erection of the proposed mast on the following grounds: 1. This is a conservation area and the proposed mast would not be in keeping with the area. As residents we have to abide by planning regulations when making even small amendments to our properties which would impact on the character of the neighbourhood - this is a far greater intrusion! It would fundementally damage the character of the conservation area 2. The proposals indicate that additional cabinets would need to be installed near the school - this is an already conjested area when children are being picked up and dropped off so further restricting pavement space for childern and parents could potentially be dangerous if overcorowding caused spillage onto the road. 3. We already have excellent 3G, 4G and 5G network coverage within the Mansfield Conservation area and hence the proposed mast will not bring any benefits to the residents. Consequently residents will experience environmental degradation without any compensating benefits 4. There are alternative sites (eg Murphys Yard) where the mast could be potentially sited which would have less environmental impact - and which would locate the mast closer to the areas which currently experience poor coverage.
2022/5259/P	Clare malseed	25/01/2023 20:50:27	OBJ	This will be detrimental to the appearance of the Savernake road conservation area. This will dominate the one of the only open skylines on the road and tower above the school playground and nursery.
				There is no benefit of this mast to local residents - this mast is to benefit residents in Kentish Town/ Tufnell Park so should therefore be situated in an area more appropriate.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 27/01/2023 (Response:	09:10:24
2022/5259/P	Adam Rudd	24/01/2023 19:29:30	ОВЈ	Dear Sir/Madam,	
				I live at 17 Savernake Road so the proposed tower would be in direct vision of my front room - the window of which (on second floor) faces the school. The view currently is largely uninterrupted. This tower would completely alter that view and be all my eyes would focus on. There are no other towers like this within my viewpoint from my house.	
				This is a conservation area and there are severe restricted from developing houses with extra floors (most of which would still be of lesser height than this tower) so why would you even consider this proposal? I support these restrictions to ensure the area retains its characteristics to both buildings AND projects such as this.	
				Hampstead Heath is one of London's jewels, enjoyed by anyone and everyone (not just residents) so this area MUST be protected to ensure it does not become littered with towers like this. There are many other sites nearby with additional height that could allow the tower to be built - and use less material as they would already be higher up - such as further down the Overground train track where electrical towers already exist, or the roofs of tower blocks nearby.	
				The 4 cabinets associated with this tower would also block the pavement - a pavement that is very busy already with school kids AND several residents who use mobility vehicles that may well struggle to get past them with other pedestrians there. Why would you allow something that would make it even harder for people with challenges getting around already, even harder.	
				I have perfectly good mobile reception at home. Far better then when in other areas of London, so there is just no need for it. I am on O2. I know neighbours who use other networks, and none of them report an issue with their service. I welcome you to come round and try your own phone at my house. And if you come early enough, you can also have a cup of tea and watch the sunrise, uninterrupted by unnecessary mast from my front room.	
				Please do not allow this project to proceed. It in not needed. It is lazy of the developers not to explore other sites far more suitable. I am not just being a "NIMBY". I genuinely see no reason to grant this application.	
				Thank you for reading my thoughts, Kind regards, Adam	
2022/5259/P	Mr Bernard Looney	26/01/2023 21:49:54	ОВЈ	I live at 4 Rona Road and this mast will be almost in my back garden,in full view. This is a conservation area and it will cause great disruption. Not only and eye sore but incredibly tall with 4 large equipment cabinets on the public footpath., this will greatly impact the local area and not for the better. I object to this as do my neighbours, I feel a more suitable location higher up needs to be found.	

				Printed on: 27/01/2023 09:10:	:24
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2022/5259/P	Alice Bowmer	26/01/2023 17:54:14	PETITNOBJ E	This is not an appropriate location for new phone/radio mast. The telecommunication company have inadequately searched for alternative sites. We already have excellent coverage of 3G, 4G and 5G in our area, we do not require it. Second it does not make sense to place it here and it be of benefit to other neighbourhoods - one main aspect of the power of a mast's service is the distance of its users to the mast. It would be better located in an area that requires it!	
				Not only this, the appearance will be detrimental to this beautiful conservation area which is also a quiet residential neighbourhood.	