		ary of Findings from HPM Conditio		
11014		Total Number of Bottles	677	
HPM		Total Numbers of Bays	68	
		Total Number of Gate Bays	3	
Condition Survey		Total Number of Coping Joints	276	
Summary Sheet		Total Number of Plinth Joints	272	
May-20		Total Number of Piers	16	
Chester Terrace		Total Number of Di Blocks	52	
		Total No. of Piers with Gates	6	
	Defect Ref.	Defect Description	No. of Defects Noted	% of total elements
	1) CJ	Open Vertical Joints Between Coping Stones	258	93% of coping joints
	2) C	Hairline/Fine Cracking to Bottle	243	36% of bottles
	3) S	Fractured/Spalled Bottle	59	9% of bottles
	4) BL	Bottle Loose or Moves Under Pressure	239	35% of bottles
	5) M	Balustrade shows Movement Under Pressure	9	13% of bays
	6) PW	Finish Pitted/Weathered to Bottle	21	3% of bottles
	7)G	Gates Worn/Rusty/Damaged	3	100% of gates
	8) PC	Loose/Displaced Pier or Pier Cap	9	56% of piers
	9) R	Defective Render on Retaining Wall	32	47% of bays
	10) PJ	Open Vertical Joints between Plinth Blocks	187	69% of plinth joints
	11) F	Foundation Movement	19	28% of bays
	12) DB	Displaced Di Block	32	62% of di blocks
	13) PE	Embedded Metal in Pier or Damaged Pier	3	50% of piers with gates
	14) PM	Pier has moved (mis-aligned)	2	13% of piers

The defects highlighted above are those that were observable from a visual inspection only. The existing vegetation in front of the retaining wall or render to its garden side face were still present - therefore, the condition survey of the wall was restricted. Hence, the presence of further defects along the length of retaining wall is likely.