

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/5281/P     | Katy Dent        | 11/01/2023 11:01:44 | COMMNT   | <p>I do not understand that Camden have declared a climate emergency, and are yet trying to scope out very important aspects of the Environmental Impact Assessment for West Kentish Town, which proposes an over dense development with loss of mature green space, that already has flooding problems, problems with crime and is a diverse area with a high deprivation index. There are a high number of people and children that live in the area with significant health problems and disabilities. The area is also very diverse with many people of different faiths. The impact of the development will affect those with protected characteristics, so surely to not do a full assessment is contravening the Equality Act and Camden will be open to legal challenges? It also proposed to build on the only park for the estate, which will effect children's health and wellbeing. Therefore I I strongly object to the following being 'scoped out' of the EIA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Geo-Environmental</li> <li>-Water Resources</li> <li>-Drainage and Flood Risk</li> <li>-Light Pollution</li> <li>-Project Vulnerability</li> <li>-Transport and Access</li> <li>-Waste and Materials</li> </ul> <p>I support improved conditions for council homes and new council homes. However this scheme is too big, and is mainly private 1 and 2 bed flats, which will make the area more expensive to live in, and a less nice place to live in if there are towers everywhere and our green space and parks are gone. There are to be very few, if any, new council homes. I hear also there is nothing structurally wrong with the buildings, so in light of the issues above, it makes more sense to radically refurb the existing buildings rather than build unsafe towers of mainly private flats and ruin the interdependent and support community that we currently live in.</p> |
| 2022/5281/P     | Peter Robbins    | 11/01/2023 15:13:16 | OBJ      | <p>I have lived in this area most of my life and I am in favour of improved affordable homes and the reduction of overcrowding for our neighbours who live on the West Kentish Town Estate and all residents in Camden.</p> <p>I think that analysis of Retro Fitting these properties needs much greater consideration and analysis as this is now seen as the way to go by many councils including nearby Islington. This is better for climate change, reduction in traffic, heavy lorries and pollution especially taking into account that currently the council is proposing this redevelopment will be over 10 years in the demolition and rebuilding of this estate. Furthermore, I am concerned about the gentrification of Camden which these developments lead to, driving our local families and close.</p> <p>Additionally, Camden has an inconsistent approach to home roof extensions in the West Kentish Town area and this needs a major review as would be much better for the local area. Camden needs to keep local families living here who use local schools and are part of the local community. Camden's planning policy are far too restrictive and do not allow roof home extensions which so many of us are keen to have. This include homes facing directly onto the new proposed developed where tower blocks and high apartment development are proposed.</p> <p>Camden must be more flexible and allow for other local families to extend their homes to live and stay in Camden.</p>   |

| <b>Application No:</b> | <b>Consultees Name:</b> | <b>Received:</b>    | <b>Comment:</b> | <b>Response:</b>   |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 2022/5281/P            | Christopher Cook        | 11/01/2023 10:19:35 | OBJ             | <p>I wish to object strongly to the Council's current planning application to EXCLUDE certain areas from the required Environmental Impact Assessment, which are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Geo-Environmental;</li><li>- Water Resources, Drainage and Flood Risk;</li><li>- Light Pollution<sup>4</sup>;</li><li>- Project Vulnerability<sup>5</sup>;</li><li>- Transport and Access; and</li><li>- Waste and Materials.</li></ul> <p>It is to me inconceivable and irresponsible to exclude these matters from such a huge development affecting so many people within and surrounding the site. All of them should be included.</p> |

---