

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5281/P	Alexander Appelbe	06/01/2023 11:42:49	OBJ	<p>Objection to this planning application:</p> <p>1) Object to overdevelopment of the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There are 100 dwellings more than have been recommended as the capacity for the site.</li> <li>- The planned buildings are too large and tall, particularly on the South and East sides of the site (Grafton road and Warden road). Current plans show these overshadowing and overlooking neighbouring buildings and impacting light.</li> </ul> <p>2) Object to the exclusion of: Geo-Environmental, Water Resources, Drainage and Flood Risk, Light Pollution, Project Vulnerability, Transport and Access, Waste and Materials.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This is a very large development for the area and will certainly have impacts in all of these areas, and so they should be properly assessed.</li> <li>- In particular: drainage, transport and access, and waste will certainly be affected to the extent they must be considered.</li> <li>- Also with so many additional homes there must be additional services planned for the area such as schooling (the Carlton School is being closed), doctors and dentists and the like, shops, etc.). The level of services to support these new homes must be assessed.</li> </ul> <p>3) Object to the currently planned duration of the project (15+ years)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This risks creating long term disruption to the area. Can the project be made quicker?</li> </ul>
2022/5281/P	Paul Bunyard	07/01/2023 14:56:42	COMMNT	<p>As a resident of Kentish Town West I can only describe the proposed development as oppressive and potentially detrimental to the lives of the well established communities of Kentish Town. There is nothing in this to promote a positive feeling or pride in the area they seek to destroy.</p>
2022/5281/P	Sophie Blakemore Hirsch	06/01/2023 09:19:02	OBJ	<p>I object the the scoping option as all areas sought to be excluded from the EIA should be included in the EIA. Each is a critical consideration for the propsed scheme both in terms of the scheme itself and the impact that it will have on the surrounding area. During development and afterwards.</p> <p>The scheme itself is already being propped in contravention of the site allocation maximum number of additional units. So would be gross over development. The EIA and its full reach is therefore even more important and should not be watered down or restricted when it comes to judging the merits or otherwise of the scheme and the planning/legal compliance or otherwise of the scheme.</p>