

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4483/P	Catherine	02/01/2023 17:32:32	SUPC	No specific objection on the general project as long as the work is completed in a limited time. Fully supporting the installation of double glazing windows on such traditional properties. The council should support such long overdue improvements when it comes to windows and make the process easier especially when it is done with respectful consideration of the general appearance and character of the area . As a conservation area, there is a real problem with properties not being maintained and being sub standard. Presence of deteriorating and friable asbestos around old windows and other parts of these buildings (roofs; windows etc ) should be a concern and a matter of priority as it puts residents at risk. Any work on buildings should be done in a safe way to avoid exposing neighbours to hazardous dusts.
2022/4483/P	Shaun Wilson	05/01/2023 15:02:05	OBJ	My objection to this revised design is in principal the same as my objection to the first. Whilst the roof line is not compromised the addition of a dormer window at the front is a non starter. These have never been allowed and should not be allowed on the front given the visual sensitivity of the roofs in a conservation area.
2022/4483/P	DPCAAC	05/01/2023 15:08:52	OBJ	<p>DPCAAC Objection</p> <p>No 2 is an end of terrace dwelling with characteristic and attractive end of terrace hipped roof. Twisden Road is listed in the DPCA Appraisal as an exceptionally well-preserved street and roofline exhibiting a pleasing sense of unity.</p> <p>A first attempt to make habitable space in the roof by extending the hip to a gable end was refused earlier this year. This second attempt to form a habitable room in the roof space by squeezing in a large dormer into the small hipped roof is equally unacceptable and would be a discordant element in the terrace. There are other dormers in the terrace but these sit more comfortably on the whole with of the roof.</p> <p>This dormer is in direct conflict with CPG Home Improvements, 2.2.1 Dormers: Dormers should be subordinate in size to the roof slope being extended, the position of the dormer would maintain even distances to the roof margins (ridge, eaves, side parapet walls), the proportion of glazing should be greater than the solid areas. It conflicts with CLP Policy D1, D2, DPNF Policy DC2 and DC3.</p> <p>As with the previous application this dormer would still be visible from Chetwynd Road and will affect the amenity of adjacent no 2 Chetwynd Road by cutting light from their small courtyard garden.</p> <p>On all accounts the proposed dormer would be harmful to the character and appearance of the conservation area and should be refused.</p>