Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 07/12/2022 09:10:09  Response:
2022/4190/P	Justin De Syllas	06/12/2022 11:17:27	OBJ	I wish to register my objection to planning application 2022/4190/P. The council refused planning permission for the previous application for masts on the roof of Crestview for the following reasons: "The proposed electronic communication equipment located at roof level, by reason of its design, size, height, number and location, would result in visual clutter which would detract from the character and appearance of the host property and the Dartmouth Park Conservation and Neighbourhood Areas, and would cause harm to the openness and character of the nearby public parks, as well as, the settings of neighbouring conservation areas and the adjacent Grade II* Listed church building (St. Mary Brookfield) contrary to policies D1 (Design), D2 (Heritage) and A2 (Open space) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017, and policies DC1 (Enhancing the sense of place), DC2 (Heritage assets), DC3 (Requirement for good design) and ES1 (Green and open spaces) of the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan 2020."  These reasons for refusal apply equally to the current application. In addition, inaccuracies in the submission drawings are clearly intended to misinform and should be rejected.
2022/4190/P	L. Pashkaj	06/12/2022 23:38:42	ОВЈ	I strongly object for the following reasons:  1) The property is in a conservation area which is primarily residential and is highly visible from Hampstead Heath and further afield. The block is not particularly large but it is prominent because it is on a ridge, exposing the skyline.  (2) The property is adjacent to the Grade II listed building of St Mary Brookfield (architect William Butterfield). Anything on the roof of the property would dominate this heritage building and negatively impact on its setting.  (3) There are inaccuracies in the elevation and site plans designed to dupe the public.  (4) This is a private property. One must feel safe in one's own home. If telecom workman have easy access 24/7 that infringes privacy and safety, enshrined under the Human Rights legislation.  (5) The proportions of the equipment are excessive in relation to the height of the property. The property is six floors high. The equipment is at least one-third again.  (6) I do not wish to look at this ugly monstrosity.  How dare you impose this amount of stress on the residents, yet again! Respect their opinions, their homes and their choices!

Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:	0,110.00
2022/4190/P	Catharine Wells	05/12/2022 12:51:39	OBJ	The only outstanding application of significance is for the addition of telecommunications equipment to the roof of Crestview. Based on a drawing in the application (attached) and mock ups prepared by a resident (to be shown at the meeting), this equipment would be very intrusive. It would add almost three storeys to the height of the building, which is located on the crest of the hill and is already quite dominant on the horizon. It would be taller than St Mary Brookfield next door, which is a Grade II* listed building.	
				Crestview is within the DP Conservation Area and adjacent to the St Johns CA. There is a strong argument that the development would detract from the character of the conservation area, in breach of our policy DC2.	
				Policy DC2 Heritage assets: Preserve or enhance the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, historic buildings and buildings of architectural merit and their settings, by:	
				(a) in the case of developments within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, including alterations or extensions to existing buildings, ensuring that the development preserves or enhances the character or appearance of the Conservation Area;	
				I strongly object to this application for the reasons below:	
				While the appearance of Crestview itself does not add to the overall character of this conservation area, the additions certainly would not improve that situation and would make the building even more prominent and make it intrude even further into the skyline.  It would also break up the clean lines of Crestview and draw further attention to the building. It would detract from the character, and harm the setting, of St Mary Brookfield. It would be visible from across the conservation area, including from Hampstead Heath. The site is not screened by any trees or other buildings. It would harm the whole setting, many of the important views and the character of the DPCAAC. This is possibly the 4th very similar application to place such equipment on this building - with all previous applications refused, which is therefore another reason for it to be refused	
2022/4190/P	Lucy Boyd	06/12/2022 16:52:41	ОВЈ	Dear Sir/ Madam, As a local resident, I strongly oppose this planning application. The elevation drawings of the proposal show that it would be a very unsightly feature that would negatively impact on the neighbouring area, not least St Mary Brookfield Church, directly opposite. The church is Victorian, designed by the esteemed architect William Butterfield. I believe we should value such buildings, particularly when they are part of a thriving community hub such as St Mary Brookfield, providing valuable services to local families and elderly people. Allowing the views from the church to be blighted could impede fundraising and other activities by the church, with the potential to damage its ability to continue to provide to the local community.  I hope you will consider my comments.  Yours faithfully, Lucy Boyd	

Printed on: 07/12/2022

09:10:09