

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:40	OBJ	I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:45	OBJ	I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.
2022/4190/P	A Evans	29/12/2022 15:23:31	OBJ	<p>Further concerns and reasons for rejecting proposals in Planning Application 2022/4190/P</p> <p>Our security would be severely compromised. Our keys and fob would be held by 'unknown persons' who would have access through our electric gates and premises 24/7. There is no external access to the roof. Residents are concerned for their own safety and the ability to enjoy privacy in their homes.</p> <p>Plots maps submitted by Waldon - offer no explanation on how to interpret the key and plot data they provide. The maps do not show sufficient areas to the West and South of Crestview for a full and proper picture of surrounding cell sites / base stations. They make no reference to or indication of 5G.</p> <p>Reliability of information: In Waldons 'Heritage Statement', the 'listed building' (page 14, Supplementary Information document) appears to be a line of 6 garages in Poynings Road, Islington N19.</p> <p>Other options: There must be other (viable) options which merit serious consideration - unlike the 'token sites' listed in Section 5 'Site Selection Process' (page 10, Supplementary Information document), most of which were exact repeats which Waldon rejected themselves in the previous application.</p> <p>Surely, this is unprecedented? One entire 5G antenna per 3 flats? And the total height of the installation almost half the height again of the dwellings below?</p> <p>How on earth can anyone claim that 'the design is appropriate to the site and the surrounding area'? (page 6, Supplementary Information document).</p> <p>Crestview is a residential block in a conservation area, not a futuristic laboratory. There seems to be no regard for the human residents living immediately below this 'forefront of the next advance in technology' (page 6, Supplementary Information document).</p> <p>The level of inaccuracy and mis-representation in this proposal is alarming. Please consider the conservation area, the skyline, the proximity to and views from Hampstead Heath as well as the threat to the heritage asset and reject this huge and controversial project.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Mr. P. Davison	29/12/2022 23:54:53	OBJ	<p>I am a local business owner (formally local resident from birth) and I am extremely saddened to learn of the proposal to install the large mobile mast installation on the building called Crestview, which is situated in a conservation area directly adjacent to the Grade II Listed Church, St Marys Brookfield which I was actually christened in at the age of 9 months. Having been part of the local community as a local resident and now as a local business owner I am very concerned that there will be a severe distortion of a very popular and much loved area which can be seen from all angles 360 degrees from Hampstead Heath to Highgate Hill, from Brecknock Road Tufnell Park to Archway.</p> <p>I am particularly concerned that the proposed development would cause significant harm to local views of the adjacent beautiful St Mary Brookfield Church, a Grade II Listed Building designed by William Butterfield and consecrated in 1875.</p> <p>The Camden Local Plan 2017, which remains in force although under review, requires any developments to take into account the wider historic environment and buildings, spaces and features of local historic value, whilst the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan 2020 states that it wishes to ensure that the area's village character, rich architectural heritage, attractive green streets, open spaces and natural environment are not only maintained but enhanced. This development would severely compromise these stated aims.</p> <p>The applicant states these masts are required to improve inadequate network coverage in the area. I see no justification in the Waldon submission as to why the location needs to be within the conservation area, nor how any potential benefit would outweigh the substantial visual damage.</p> <p>I OBJECT STRONGLY AND URGE PLANNING TEAMS TO REFUSE PERMISSION. Thank you.</p> <p>Mr. P. Davison Formally resident of 128 Croftdown Road NW5 and 74 St Albans Road NW5 , Now local business owner N19.</p>
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:53	OBJ	I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:50	OBJ	I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:47	OBJ	I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:42	OBJ	I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Ms. J. Smyth	29/12/2022 23:33:06	OBJ	<p>I strongly object for the proposed installation of mobile phone masts on the roof areas of the building Crestview, a residential local block of flats which is located in a beautiful conservation area, within Dartmouth Park, London NW5.</p> <p>The proposed installations will completely ruin and spoil the charming character of this lovely and well kept conservation area. The proposed masts themselves will rise to a similar height to that of the large church opposite, St Mary's Brookfield, which is a Grade II Listed building.</p> <p>The spire of this church is a landmark visible from all sides and far across Hampstead Heath and from Belsize Village, Eton Road, where I know people that can see the skyline up to and including the roof space of Crestview. The proposed masts will definitely ruin the viewpoint and much-loved landscape which would become obscured. It also seems very unfair to impose the masts and the disruption of the installation process on the residents of Crestview who I am very much aware do not agree to the proposed installations on their privately owned building.</p> <p>I am aware that the previous proposals to install mobile phone masts on the roof of Crestview was refused and I am shocked that the mobile companies can continue to cause stress and disruption to the residents all of whom own their building and take great pride and care in keeping it well looked after and managed within the conservation street scene. I urge the local planning authorities to refuse the proposal and ensure the mobile mast companies seek alternative locations that may be more suited outside any conservation areas in the local borough.</p> <p>I confirm once more that I strongly object to this proposed installation.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p> <p>Ms. J. Smyth</p>
2022/4190/P	Rae Fether	29/12/2022 18:37:28	OBJ	<p>I object to this proposal and fully endorse the objections put forward by the Dpcaac. It would create an eyesore on the roof of this building highly visible from within the conservation area and further afield from Hampstead Heath. The setting of St Mary's Brookfield, Grade II listed Church would be unquestionably be harmed.</p>