

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5281/P	Edith Young	28/12/2022 13:10:29	OBJ	To Whom it May Concern,

I OBJECT to this planning application.

The huge number of planned flats are not in harmony with the area at all; the current application proposes 898 flats, this is 13 more than the 885 previously stated. The number of flats in the estate currently is 316 - there will be a 582 increase. This is preposterous; the Camden's Draft Site Allocation plans state that the estate's capacity for new homes as 484. The plan proposes more than 100 flats over this. There seems to be a complete lack of recognition for the context of the site; the area it exists in and is part of. Regulations are being ignored too.

The quality of life of a person living in the area will be negatively affected; the proposal threatens access to light and open views from our homes, both important for mental and physical well being (14 storeys?) .

Having a cohesive and well-thought out public space which has been enjoyed for years by children playing in the street and neighbours sharing a cup of tea and chat outside is also threatened. The long construction period will also disrupt the restful feeling of these streets with noise and traffic, with worsened air quality. This proposal threatens to make traffic a long term problem; there will be a huge number of people to deliver to in an area with already a high population density. The plan will worsen increased traffic caused by the closure of Queen's Crescent, another scheme which evidences lack of thought.

The proposed plan is part of the changing landscape of London, a London that is hostile and alienating to many; unsustainable and rushed developments pushed through with money-making as the main drive. Why bulldoze and start from scratch when it has been shown you can do it sustainably? When the plan states there will be "a mix of affordable and market housing" it does not own up to the fact that the majority will NOT be affordable. Neither will there be a sufficient amount of social housing.

There is a lack of thought for the consequences of such a project, consequences which unsurprisingly won't have to be lived with by those planning and pushing it forward.

What kind of future are we envisioning? Who is made welcome in these futures? These are questions we need to consider.

Please THINK and don't disfigure our area,

Edith Young