					Printed on:	28/12/2022			
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:					
2022/3904/P	Gregory Arnott & Lisa Waughman	26/12/2022 14:10:39	OBJ	property because of odour and noise through: - Extract venting too low (not 1m above roof ridge as required in DEFRA guidance) - Lack of odour and noise mitigation (as required in DEFRA guidance) - Methodology for noise levels not consistent with potential usage hours We live at 31a Tottenham Street W1T4RR; our property backs directly onto the flat roproposed new extract and aircon units will be placed. The existing riser duct is just be bedroom window and we have another floor/living area and terrace above it. The extractlendard below rooms in our property which house a bedroom and living areas. Extract: The application is for installation of new kitchen extract duct at low level to be connecting association with commercial unit on the ground floor. However, in reality what is being proposed is a new system, albeit they intend to utilise antiquated riser duct, which they appear to have left despite having dismantled entire plant. The kitchen in the commercial unit will be completely new, relocated in a new location their licence amended 16/05/22: PREM-LIC\1892 Date Licence Amended: 16/05/2022-APP\PREMISES-MVARY\109836 (Attached). All the plant will be new, the connecting ducting will be new, and the rising duct should be readed.	to object to the application on the grounds that it will cause a severe negative amenity impact on our because of odour and noise through: venting too low (not 1m above roof ridge as required in DEFRA guidance) bedour and noise mitigation (as required in DEFRA guidance) belogy for noise levels not consistent with potential usage hours it 31a Tottenham Street W1T4RR; our property backs directly onto the flat roof area where the new extract and aircon units will be placed. The existing riser duct is just below the height of our window and we have another floor/living area and terrace above it. The extract units are directly was in our property which house a bedroom and living areas. Cation is for installation of new kitchen extract duct at low level to be connected to existing riser duct ation with commercial unit on the ground floor. In reality what is being proposed is a new system, albeit they intend to utilise a decades-old diriser duct, which they appear to have left despite having dismantled entirely the old system and the in the commercial unit will be completely new, relocated in a new location in the premises as per ce amended 16/05/22: CX1892				
				We have previously been advised by the council that the installation of a new system application, through which process the design standard set out in the DEFRA guidant that is: discharging at a high level with sophisticated filtration and noise mitigation menuisance from odour and noise. On inspection of the DEFRA guidance it states, with regard to the existing riser duct of 1. Discharge stack The discharge stack shall: 1. Discharge the extracted air not less than 1m above the roof ridge of any building we housing the commercial kitchen. 2. If 1 cannot be complied with for planning reasons, then the extracted air shall be defined the roof eaves or dormer window of the building. 3. If 1 or 2 cannot be complied with for planning reasons, then an exceptionally high libe required. (Points 1 & 2 above) We note that the site is within 20m (in most cases directly adjacent to or less than 10 separate buildings, housing more than 20 independent residential units (at a conserve extract air discharges about two floors below the roof ridges of our property and surrowell outside meeting the DEFRA requirements, hence not fit for purpose. To use the	idance would be imposed, in measures to 'design out' luct they wish to utilise: Ing within 20m of the building be discharged not less than high level of odour control will in 10m) of more than 7 servative estimate). The surrounding buildings – so the existing riser duct as they				

09:10:10

old piece of ducting.

propose, they would be required to extend it several metres – we would not think it practical to add onto one

Printed on: 28/12/2022 09:10:10

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment:

Response:

(Point 3 above)

The guidance goes on to allow for eventualities and mentions an exceptionally high level of odour control will be required. However, there isn't a single reference to odour control or items intended to mitigate odour in the application, and only a minimal reference to noise. As a new system, we would expect all details, including odour and noise mitigation measures, to be included.

In the noise impact assessment, the sound is modelled as emanating from the top of the existing exhaust riser as a point source. However, the top of the exhaust riser is well below the minimum DEFRA guidance, so will inherently be problematic and does not meet the requirements – the point of source is below our windows. Also, we have already had issues with odour and noise from the duct they intend to use while the previous restaurant was in operation; its position was already problematic. Because of the low-level discharge, even a slight breeze drives odours and noise directly towards our property's windows adjacent and above where the duct vents. Likewise, any change in breeze would shift the noise and odour towards other properties.

This situation is not without precedent in the area: quite recently, a neighbouring property did something similar to what is proposed in this scheme and connected a new kitchen and plant and ducting to an equally antiquated decades-old riser duct. This proved so problematic with both noise and odour, following action from the council, it was subsequently replaced with an approved scheme that also included a completely new and compliant riser duct. (For reference, the Planning Officer was John Sheehy and the Environmental Health Officer was Georgina Seraphim.)

This scheme/planning application does not meet DEFRA guidelines: it vents too low and has not submitted sufficient noise and odour mitigation measures. This would result in severe noise and odour problems for us and the surrounding residential properties.

This application should not be approved as it is so far outside the basic DEFRA guidelines it would inevitably lead to odour and noise issues and subsequent complaints to Environmental Health.

Air Conditioning Units:

The application is for installation of 4 air conditioning units on the roof. We note in the Noise Impact Statement they state:

"The period in which the restaurant is expected to primarily operate falls within the period designated as day / evening (07:00-23:00)"

The daytime, evening and night periods are conventionally taken to be as follows:

Daytime: 07:00 – 19:00
Evening: 19:00 – 23:00
Night: 23:00 – 07:00

The representative background noise levels from these periods (40th percentile) are:

Daytime: 56dB LA90Evening: 50dB LA90Night: 40dB LA90

It is understood that new plant equipment would not operate during the night-time period. As a conservative approach, the assessment of noise from new plant has been made relative to the existing background noise level during the evening period.

However, the premises are licensed for late night as follows:

PREM-LIC\1892

Date Licence Amended: 16/05/2022-APP\PREMISES-MVARY\109836 Day

Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	28/12/2022	09:10:10
				Start time 10:00 10:00 10:00 10:00 10:00 10:00 10:00 End time 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 00:30 23:59 They are licensed to operate beyond the 'evening period' and well into the 'night period' and interpreted the reserving times for clearing up of the premises. By using the night background levels (40db rather than 50db), by their own assessment too loud. I understand that the units should be 10db below ambient, therefore should British Standard 4142:2014, which is referenced in their noise impact statement says 10db or more, then this is stated as a likely indication of a significant adverse impact. These noise levels could possibly be mitigated by enclosing the units. As well as mitiging improve the aesthetic as these units are overlooked by our property and others. They sheltered from their own terrace, however, they are in full view of ours. Best regards, Gregory Arnott & Lisa Waughman Sent by email to elaine.quigley@camden.gov.uk planning@camden.gov.uk: All'onda, 67 Charlotte St_new Premises Licence_amended 16.05.2022-highlighted A St_Plan Basement_05.2022 All'onda, 67 Charlotte St_Plan Ground Floor_05.2022	ent this plant went the units a not be louder that if the difugating the sour note that the	vill likely be re 10dba than 30db. ference is und, it would units are	