

## ${\sf GREATER} \textbf{LONDON} {\sf AUTHORITY}$



	Project / Site Name (including sub- catchment / stage / phase where appropriate)	O2 Finchley Road, London	
	Address & post code	255 Finchely Road, London, NW56LU	
	OS Grid ref. (Easting, Northing)	E 526164	
	OS GITA TEL. (Eastilig, Northling)	N 184818	
taile	LPA reference (if applicable)		
1. Project & Site Details	Brief description of proposed work	Urban regeneration development comprising approximately 1800 units and commercial and residential spaces surrounded by landscaped areas providing a link each end of the site and provide communal areas for residents.	
	Total site Area	57,218 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Total existing impervious area	51,400 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Total proposed impervious area	43,570 m <sup>2</sup>	
	Is the site in a surface water flood risk catchment (ref. local Surface Water Management Plan)?	No	
	Existing drainage connection type and location	Combined sewer drainage to the south of the site.	
	Designer Name	Richard Holmes	
	Designer Position	Associate	
	Designer Company	Pell Frischmann	

	2a. Infiltration Feasibility				
	Superficial geology classification No recorde		d superficial geology (BGS)		
	Bedrock geology classification Lon		don Clay Formation		
	Site infiltration rate		m/s		
	Depth to groundwater level	1.26-1.89 m below ground le		w ground level	
	Is infiltration feasible?	nfiltration feasible?		No	
	2b. Drainage Hierarchy				
ements			Feasible (Y/N)	Proposed (Y/N)	
ang	1 store rainwater for later use		Υ	Υ	
ırge Arr	2 use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas		N	Ν	
d Discha	3 attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release		Υ	Υ	
2. Proposed Discharge Arrangements	4 attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release		Υ	Υ	
2. P	5 discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse		N	N	
	6 discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain		Υ	Υ	
	7 discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.		Υ	Υ	
	2c. Proposed Discharge Details				
	Proposed discharge location	new surface and foul sewers across sit		ers across site	
	Has the owner/regulator of the discharge location been consulted?	Yes			



## GREATER**LONDON**AUTHORITY



	3a. Discharge Rates & Required Storage					
		Greenfield (GF) runoff rate (I/s)	Existing discharge rate (I/s)	Required storage for GF rate (m <sup>3</sup> )	Proposed discharge rate (I/s)	
	Qbar	24.9	$\searrow$	>		
	1 in 1	21.1	520	594	24.9	
	1 in 30	57.2	1240	2051	24.9	
	1 in 100	79.3	1337	3048	24.9	
	1 in 100 + CC		$\geq <$	4605	24.9	
	Climate change allowance used		40%			
3. Drainage Strategy	3b. Principal Method of Flow Control		Hydrobrake Manhole			
e St	3c. Proposed SuDS Measures					
inag			Catchment	Plan area	Storage	
Drai			area (m²)	(m <sup>2</sup> )	vol. (m³)	
3.	Rainwater harvesting		0	$\geq \leq$	0	
	Infiltration systems		0	$\geq <$	0	
	Green roofs		0	5650	0	
	Blue roofs		0	0	0	
	Filter strips		0	0	0	
	Filter drains		0	0	0	
	Bioretention / tree pits		0	0	0	
	Pervious pavements		0	500	0	
	Swales		0	250	0	
	Basins/ponds		0	0	0	
	Attenuation tanks		0		4319	
	Total		0	6400	4319	

	4a. Discharge & Drainage Strategy	Page/section of drainage report		
u	Infiltration feasibility (2a) – geotechnical factual and interpretive reports, including infiltration results	Factual Ground Investgation Report - RSK Geosciences - December 2021		
	Drainage hierarchy (2b)	Section 3.1		
	Proposed discharge details (2c) – utility plans, correspondence / approval from owner/regulator of discharge location	Section 3.2		
ormatic	Discharge rates & storage (3a) – detailed hydrologic and hydraulic calculations	Section 3.3		
4. Supporting Information	Proposed SuDS measures & specifications (3b)	Section 3.5		
ğ	4b. Other Supporting Details	Page/section of drainage report		
Supplies	Detailed Development Layout	Appendix B/AHMM Architects		
4.	Detailed drainage design drawings, including exceedance flow routes	100006 Existing SW Catchment 100008 Proposed SuDS 100010 Proposed DS 100017 Overland Flow Routes		
	Detailed landscaping plans	EAST Landscape Architects		
	Maintenance strategy	Section 3.6		
	Demonstration of how the proposed SuDS measures improve:			
	a) water quality of the runoff?	Section 3.5		
	b) biodiversity?	Section 3.5		
	c) amenity?	Section 3.5		