From:	
Sent:	19 December 2022 11:04
То:	Planning Planning
Subject:	Petition Planning application Referenc 2021/2954/P
Attachments:	Image-003.pdf

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Sirs

1 Museum Street Revisions.

OBJECTION

planning reference 2021/2954/P

Petition attached from Goldsmith Court. Stukeley Street WC2B 5LF

Planning Application Reference Number 2021/2954/P One Museum Street

We the undersigned **object** to the revised proposals for the redevelopment of the ex-Travelodge Hotel and to the treatment of the buildings within the conservation area that form part of the revised scheme. We object because the proposed speculative office tower **is far to tall and bulky** and is very out of character and damaging to the Covent Garden and Bloomsbury Conservation Areas and to all the neighbouring listed buildings. We object to the **extent of demolition proposed** for the buildings within the Conservation Area in West Central St and Museum Street which is against Council policy. We object because of the **unsustainable development** approach that seeks to pull down a structurally sound building and then construct with more steel and concrete an even taller inappropriate building rather than adapting the existing. The proposal will increase CO2 emissions speeding global warming and we object because of the total lack of any **public open space** in the proposal again contrary to Council policy.

SHEET 1/3

Name	Signature	Address
	• ^	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PHILIP GREGON	_	
HILLIP GREGON	_	
	- 0	
	· · ·	
	· · · · ·	

Planning Application Reference Number 2021/2954/P One Museum Street

We the undersigned **object** to the revised proposals for the redevelopment of the ex-Travelodge Hotel and to the treatment of the buildings within the conservation area that form part of the revised scheme. We object because the proposed speculative office tower is far to tall and bulky and is very out of character and damaging to the Covent Garden and Bloomsbury Conservation Areas and to all the neighbouring listed buildings. We object to the **extent of demolition proposed** for the buildings within the Conservation Area in West Central St and Museum Street which is against Council policy. We object because of the **unsustainable development** approach that seeks to pull down a structurally sound building and then construct with more steel and concrete an even taller inappropriate building rather than adapting the existing. The proposal will increase CO2 emissions speeding global warming and we object because of the total lack of any **public open space** in the proposal again contrary to Council policy.

SHEET 2/3

Name	Signature	Address	·	
ROY MOXHAM.	-			
T. Coope	-			
15 T. Cooke	_		•	
MALiott			میند. در میر معتقد کرد. در مدر معتقد کرد.	
CESAL- (`	199	
Monahan				
7. Cours) l			
Lyn. Bar	ker 2	A		
AL PITA	le/nsac	1 10 TO DE	(0
n	L			
A SCHLUMBER	GER			

. .

Planning Application Reference Number 2021/2954/P One Museum Street

We the undersigned **object** to the revised proposals for the redevelopment of the ex -Travelodge Hotel and to the treatment of the buildings within the conservation area that form part of the revised scheme. We object because the proposed speculative office tower **is far to tall and bulky** and is very out of character and damaging to the Covent Garden and Bloomsbury Conservation Areas and to all the neighbouring listed buildings. We object to the **extent of demolition proposed** for the buildings within the Conservation Area in West Central St and Museum Street which is against Council policy. We object because of the **unsustainable development** approach that seeks to pull down a structurally sound building and then construct with more steel and concrete an even taller inappropriate building rather than adapting the existing. The proposal will increase CO2 emissions speeding global warming and we object because of the total lack of any **public open space** in the proposal again contrary to Council policy.

SHEET 3/3

Name	Signature	Address	
CHARLES Sm. TH	I		
Sally de Freitas			
Ru Madarell	P		
Stephen	M,	·	
Hexandre Sames	Coldman Mill	E	