

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Neli Pecheva	10/12/2022 16:46:24	OBJ	The proposed new plan is as bad as the first one. It violates the Mayor's London plan. It will have a huge negative impact on the adjacent conservation areas. The existing transport services, surgeries, schools will suffer with the immense influx of people. The green space per occupant is minimum 9sq.m. If the proposed plan for building 1,800 flats for 5,000 people goes ahead the green space needed to meet the requirement should be 45,000sq.m. leaving only 12,000sq.m. for residential development. What about the sewage system and the waste bin storage and collection? This monstrosity should be scrapped immediately.
2022/0528/P	Neli Pecheva	10/12/2022 16:46:21	OBJ	The proposed new plan is as bad as the first one. It violates the Mayor's London plan. It will have a huge negative impact on the adjacent conservation areas. The existing transport services, surgeries, schools will suffer with the immense influx of people. The green space per occupant is minimum 9sq.m. If the proposed plan for building 1,800 flats for 5,000 people goes ahead the green space needed to meet the requirement should be 45,000sq.m. leaving only 12,000sq.m. for residential development. What about the sewage system and the waste bin storage and collection? This monstrosity should be scrapped immediately.
2022/0528/P	N walmsley	11/12/2022 12:36:56	COMMNT	This proposal will eliminate important local amenities and impose excessive population pressure on this already high density area. It will increase pressure. On the high street, residential streets, roads, utility infrastructure and schools. It is a very bad idea