

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Ian Angus	07/12/2022 12:14:27	APP	<p>Dear Camden Council, CRESTVIEW. Your Ref . 2022/4190/P</p> <p>I write to object to the current proposals for antennae on Crestview's roof. This is in my capacity as Architect to the Fabric of St Mary Brookfield, opposite Crestview, and also as a local resident.</p> <p>In the context of the Conservation Area, in an entirely residential environment, and just north of the Grade 11* Listed St Mary's Church, the proposed antennae are visually intrusive, too tightly bunched and, crucially, much too tall.</p> <p>If it is now seemed practicable to fix to the existing roof access/tank housing, then I strongly suggest that the antennae and their supports be significantly lowered, so as to be less visible, and to be connected visually and architecturally to the existing solid construction of the central housing.</p> <p>This would bring the proposal closer to the intention behind previous comments which criticised the disparate, spread-out locations of the proposed masts around the perimeter.</p> <p>Grouped around and sharing the scale of the tank housing, it would be possible also to confine the equipment close to the central housing, including possibly inside, and to reduce the lengths of surface-run cable routes.</p> <p>However, to summarise, the main objection, and objective, is the excessive height of the current proposal, and the need therefore to reduce the antennae's height - to relate to the scale and height of the tank housing, not much higher than that.</p> <p>I welcome the proposed attachment to the walls of the housing, instead of to the weak roof structure, but I urge the Council to insist that the antennae heights be considerably reduced, to make the proposal more in scale and harmony with the existing roofscape, as well as with the neighbouring residential, historic and protected environment.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, Ian Angus, DipArch.RIBA (AABC)</p>
2022/4190/P	Peter	07/12/2022 13:12:18	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to these proposals. They are technically inaccurate and misleading, as identified in detail by others.</p> <p>Crestview is already a very prominent 'landmark' from all angles, visible throughout much of Dartmouth Park and as far as Parliament Hill, and it does not enhance the landscape being a very unremarkable block. Adding such prominent equipment to the roof would seriously compromise the landscape further and draw even more unwelcome attention to the block.</p> <p>It would also seriously disturb views of the Grade II* listed and architecturally significant St Mary Brookfield Church next door by raising the skyline significantly above the Church's roof, and present a dominant and unpleasant aspect to visitors, churchgoers and community users leaving the Church door.</p> <p>The proposals appear to take no regard whatsoever of their impact on the local community, and should be rejected</p>

Total: 2