

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Dartmouth Park Road Residents Association	05/12/2022 11:48:13	OBJ	<p>The siting and appearance of this proposed development are of serious concern to the Residents Association. In winter Crestview is clearly visible up the entire Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan 2020 places great emphasis on the character of the area and makes clear that any development should preserve the appearance of the area and without causing harm to the significance of its setting. Crestview is located on a crest of Dartmouth Park Hill, on the Dartmouth Park Area. Due to the DPCAC topography this roofscape is highly visible from the Dartmouth Park Area. The proposed development would be quite out of character for the Dartmouth Park Area and dominating the skyline. Crestview is located in a setting of listed buildings, including the Grade II* listed St Mary Brookfield Church. It can be seen through the Dartmouth Park Area, including from Parliament Hill. We also believe the Dartmouth Park Area views from all around of the glorious St Mary Brookfield Church, design of which was consecrated in 1875.</p> <p>The applicant states these masts are required to improve network coverage in the Dartmouth Park Area, is the consent recently granted (2020/2420/P) whereby Telecoms permission for 12 antennas and associated equipment on Grangemill Hill, Ingestre Road less than half a mile away. This will provide coverage for the whole of the Dartmouth Park Area (Dartmouth Park) improving from rural to urban broadband efficiency from negative/outdoors to rural/urban. With the current design in telecommunication requirements as per Camden's Local Plan for the Dartmouth Park Area, there are a number of tall non-residential buildings, not listed buildings which might also be suitable. Our Neighbourhood Plan clearly states: 'To ensure that the area's village character, rich architectural heritage, attractive natural environment are not only maintained but enhanced'. We firmly believe the Planning Application would contravene every aspect of the above and not comply with Camden's Local Plan or DPNF policies. We can see no application on the grounds of public benefit that outweighs the visual harm to enhance our conservation area.</p> <p>Unable to load photo showing impact on the view from Hampstead Heath</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Justin De Syllas	06/12/2022 11:17:27	OBJ	<p>I wish to register my objection to planning application 2022/4190/P. The for the previous application for masts on the roof of Crestview for the fol electronic communication equipment located at roof level, by reason of location, would result in visual clutter which would detract from the char property and the Dartmouth Park Conservation and Neighbourhood Area openness and character of the nearby public parks, as well as, the setti areas and the adjacent Grade II* Listed church building (St. Mary Brook D2 (Heritage) and A2 (Open space) of the London Borough of Camden (Enhancing the sense of place), DC2 (Heritage assets), DC3 (Requirements and open spaces) of the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan 2020."</p> <p>These reasons for refusal apply equally to the current application. In ad drawings are clearly intended to misinform and should be rejected.</p>
2022/4190/P	L. Pashkaj	06/12/2022 23:38:42	OBJ	<p>I strongly object for the following reasons:</p> <p>1) The property is in a conservation area which is primarily residential a Heath and further afield. The block is not particularly large but it is prom exposing the skyline.</p> <p>(2) The property is adjacent to the Grade II listed building of St Mary Br. Anything on the roof of the property would dominate this heritage building.</p> <p>(3) There are inaccuracies in the elevation and site plans designed to d</p> <p>(4) This is a private property. One must feel safe in one's own home. If I 24/7 that infringes privacy and safety, enshrined under the Human Right</p> <p>(5) The proportions of the equipment are excessive in relation to the hei floors high. The equipment is at least one-third again.</p> <p>(6) I do not wish to look at this ugly monstrosity.</p> <p>How dare you impose this amount of stress on the residents, yet again! and their choices!</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	Catharine Wells	05/12/2022 12:51:39	OBJ	<p>The only outstanding application of significance is for the addition of telegraph poles to Crestview. Based on a drawing in the application (attached) and more shown at the meeting), this equipment would be very intrusive. It would detract from the building, which is located on the crest of the hill and is already quite tall. It would be taller than St Mary Brookfield next door, which is a Grade II* listed building.</p> <p>Crestview is within the DP Conservation Area and adjacent to the St John's Church. It is considered that the development would detract from the character of the conservation area.</p> <p>Policy DC2 Heritage assets: Preserve or enhance the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area and buildings of architectural merit and their settings, by:</p> <p>(a) in the case of developments within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, ensuring that the development preserves the appearance of the Conservation Area;</p> <p>I strongly object to this application for the reasons below:</p> <p>While the appearance of Crestview itself does not add to the overall character of the area, the additions certainly would not improve that situation and would make the building more prominent. It would also break up the clean lines of Crestview and draw further attention to it. It would detract from the character, and harm the setting, of St Mary Brookfield. It would be visible from across the conservation area, including from Hamworthy. The site is not screened by any trees or other buildings. It would harm the views and the character of the DPCAAC. This is possibly the 4th very tall building on this building - with all previous applications refused, which would be refused.</p>
2022/4190/P	Lucy Boyd	06/12/2022 16:52:41	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p> <p>As a local resident, I strongly oppose this planning application. The elevation of the building would be a very unsightly feature that would negatively impact on the character of the area. Mary Brookfield Church, directly opposite. The church is Victorian, designed by Butterfield. I believe we should value such buildings, particularly when they are in a hub such as St Mary Brookfield, providing valuable services to local families. Views from the church to be blighted could impede fundraising and other activities. The potential to damage its ability to continue to provide to the local community. I hope you will consider my comments.</p> <p>Yours faithfully, Lucy Boyd</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4190/P	F. Pashkaj	06/12/2022 23:24:01	OBJ	Disgusting that residents are yet again, put through this process! They've made their thoughts very clear from the last time, this continuous onslaught of stress on people's lives is despicable and tantamount to harassment! It doesn't make any difference to wherever you place the masts, the building is exposed from all areas and who wants to see metal rubble all across the skyline? I am disgusted by how many buildings have been defaced by these masts. Leave these people in peace. No means no!
2022/4190/P	F. Pashkaj	06/12/2022 23:24:34	OBJ	Disgusting that residents are yet again, put through this process! They've made their thoughts very clear from the last time, this continuous onslaught of stress on people's lives is despicable and tantamount to harassment! It doesn't make any difference to wherever you place the masts, the building is exposed from all areas and who wants to see metal rubble all across the skyline? I am disgusted by how many buildings have been defaced by these masts. Leave these people in peace. No means no!
2022/4190/P	O D R Butt	06/12/2022 18:09:37	OBJ	<p>I should like to object to the proposed application. I live very nearby, York Rise, and am a regular worshipper at St. Mary's Brookfield.</p> <p>The building is already tall and somewhat of a noticable blot on the skyline. The proposal will significantly raise the height of the building making it more obtrusive and indeed ugly. The height of the building will be between 30-50% taller which is very significant.</p> <p>The local area is attractive and in a conservation area. Please do not permit something that will harm the aesthetics of Dartmouth Park.</p>