Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 24/11/2022 09:10  Response:	0:11
2022/2255/P	Daniel Adiego Gonzalez	20/11/2022 12:41:03	ОВЈ	I have just read the response from Avison Young to my comments on the original proposal (included in Appendix 1 of GOSH Public Consultation Document ¿ Turley). It is completely inadequate. AY states that they do not know the location of my property. I gave this with my feedback ¿ if you provide us with a space to type our address, we will obviously assume that this will be passed on with our feedback. I live in a first floor flat in 31-35 Great Ormond Street. AY note that they discuss each property in turn in their report. This is true: our property is discussed. As my original comment stated, based on AY¿s report: ¿My natural light is going to be severely restricted, meaning I have to use electric lights during the day (according to the daylight report).¿ AY has provided NO RESPONSE to my resulting concern. As my original comment stated: ¿I am a garden designer and often work from home on detailed designs. Doing this without adequate natural light is going to be very problematic and expensive.¿ I believe the point of consultation is that comments need to be RESPONDED TO, not avoided. I would be grateful if AY could spell my name correctly next time. It is Gonzalez, not ¿Gonalez¿. (For accuracy, my surname is Adiego Gonzalez ¿ both words are needed.)	
2022/2255/P	Gordon Adgey	17/11/2022 17:38:49	OBJ	Dear Mr Marfleet  Having attended GOSH CCC¿s ¿Drop-in Session¿ for the Community on Thursday 10th November, I saw no significant changes to the scheme to address my original objections.  Specifically as regards Over Massing and Over Shadowing as regards the scale of the development; Severe Traffic Congestion on Great Ormond Street for 3+ years; severe Loss of Daylight to my and neighbours¿ property.  To me it is an inappropriate development in a residential and conservation area, and I object to this planning application.  Yours sincerely	