Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 24/11/2022 09:10:11 <b>Response:</b>				
2022/4190/P	Adam Preston	18/11/2022 02:49:46	OBJ	Crestview is a residential conservation area and highly visible from Hampstead Heath and further afield. Our block is not particularly large but it is prominent because it is on a ridge, exposing the skyline				
				We are also adjacent to the Grade II * listed building of St Mary Brookfield (architect William Butterfield). Anything on our roof would dominate this heritage building and negatively impact on its setting.				
2022/4190/P	Michael Banks	21/11/2022 14:12:30	COMMNT	Crestview is located in one of London's most attractive residential areas. Visitors often make that comment. But then they tend to add that it is unfortunate that the building itself is an ugly 1960s structure. That being the case, why should a bulky industrial complex be dumped on top of it to draw everyone's attention to it? I object.				
2022/4190/P	Michael Lee	21/11/2022 14:19:06	ОВЈ	I am writing to object to this proposal. Crestview is in a conservation area and next to a Grade 2 star listed building (St Mary Brookfield church). The installation would be an eyesore in the area, visible from the local area as well as from the Heath and other areas. It would also be visible form other conservation areas nearby (e.g., Holly Lodge). It would be an ugly development in a mainly residential area. This development would cause disruption to the residential block, with many elderly residents, as a result of the building works and access required and may compromise the security of the block. I kindly request that this application be rejected.				

24/11/2022 09:10:11

	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on:  Response:	24/11/2022	
2022/4190/P	R D Clarkson	16/11/2022 18:05:56	ОВЈ	Objection to Waldons Telecoms plans  16TH November 2022 I object to the planning application number: 2022/4190/P for Crestview flats, 47 Dartmouth Park Hill, London NW5 1JB, which would significantly blight the environment, residents' lives, and health and property values. My objections are on the following grounds:			
					Inadequate and incorrect information It is difficult to form a precise objection to the proposal because of information is lacking or inaccura Waldons engineering diagrams are inadequate. How can anyone rely on Waldons engineering dia when significant basic information is incorrect; for example showing the wrong number of garages in the contract of	grams	

Crestview? In Waldon's engineering diagrams the not all elevations are provided. Crestview's residents have no cohesive idea of what is proposed or where it would be placed.

It would help to have engineering diagrams and photographs showing all views surrounding Crestview to accompanying any application. How else can residents know what the full proposal incorporates? It is particularly notable that Waldon's has not provided any views from the west of Crestview, that is from within the Dartmouth Park Conservation area, from where the rooftop clutter would look the worst.

#### Conservation Area Issues:

Crestview is within the Dartmouth Park Conservation area. Visual impact from immediate street level will be extensive. Mounting aerials on the edge of Crestview's roof increases the detrimental visual impacts locally and at a distance.

The proposed telecom antenna and equipment on the roof, by reason of their location, number, height and design, would result in visual clutter which would cause harm to the character and appearance of the building, local views and the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area,

Crestview is at the high point of Dartmouth Park Road and Laurier Road, which run through the centre of the Dartmouth Park Conservation area. Crestview dominates the skyline when travelling up either road. Waldon's aerials would be mounted at the edge of Crestview's roof; their equipment would increase, by about a third, the height above Crestview's roof. The visual impact of the roof top clutter would spoil the view locally and from a distance. The equipment would be highly prominent in the street scene; the impact would be substantial and be harmful to Crestview and the surrounding area.

Currently Crestview's roof is a similar height to the roof line of Saint Mary Brookfield church, a grade 2\* listed property, immediately next to Crestview flats. The installation of Waldon's masts and antennae would overpower and dominate Saint Mary Brookfield. The equipment would also be visible from Hampstead Heath, Highgate, Islington and Tufnell Park.

#### Health and Safety:

It appears that health concerns carry little weight, but they are nevertheless considered worth mentioning. Recent authoritative studies show that living in close proximity to mobile base stations can damage people's DNA, causing disease and it is highly likely to have an adverse long term effect. The persistence of unrepaired DNA damage leads to genomic instability which may lead to several health disorders including the induction of cancer. Crestview residents do not want and should not be forced to be within close proximity to such dangerous facilities.

Particularly concerning is that the proposed masts would be sited immediately above residents living in their

Printed on: 24/11/2022 09:10:11

# Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment:

# Response:

homes. We are told that the proposals conform to current international guidelines on RF emission, but it is widely accepted that biological effects occur below current guidelines when people have long-term exposure to 5G. Particularly at most risk are the elderly and the young, both categories of whom live in Crestview including on the upper floors.

It is considered by a growing number of experts that such extensive 5G installations should not be sited immediately on or next to people's homes. Crestview's residents do not want to have their health potentially damaged by being Guinee pigs in a commercial experiment. There is growing concern in recent authoritative publications that long term exposure to 5G equipment can harm people's health.

#### Impact on Residents:

Significant disruption is envisaged both during the installation stage with internal and external building works and into the future when the telecoms companies can effectively do what they want when they choose to do so. General change of equipment and alteration of facilities would happen without residents and owners of Crestview having any control whatsoever. This may be currently legal but I consider it morally corrupt.

Crestview already suffers from problems with intruders causing nuisance and damage to our property and posing a threat to us. Waldons proposal is an additional compounding long-term threat to our safety and security through the enforcement of 24 hour, every day, access to our building.

Our human right to the peaceful enjoyment of our own properties (Human Rights Act – the right to respect for private and family life and home) would be violated. The intrusion of people and vehicles onto our property, the noise disruption and obstruction when strangers have access to our property whenever they want is deeply concerning and frightening to many residents.

In addition, with such prominent equipment installation and a strong prevailing west wind there is a likelihood of on-going noise nuisance from the masts and ancillary equipment and a danger of damage to the roof's structure.

# Financial Impact.

Local estate agents have told us that such an enormous eyesore would put off potential buyers and reduce bids. The current law enforcing such roof proposals is an outrageous financial loss to homeowners.

I ask that this proposal be rejected.

Yours sincerely

R D. Clarkson

				Printed	on:	24/11/2022	09:10:11
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:			
2022/4190/P	Adam Preston	18/11/2022 02:49:42	OBJ	Crestview is a residential conservation area and highly visible from Hampstead Heath and further afield. Our block is not particularly large but it is prominent because it is on a ridge, exposing the skyline			
				We are also adjacent to the Grade II * listed building of St Mary Brookfield (architect William Butter Anything on our roof would dominate this heritage building and negatively impact on its setting.		ield).	