

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4421/P	Richard A Russell	17/11/2022 19:20:53	OBJ	<p>I am writing, as her long-standing friend, legal adviser and attorney, on behalf of and at the request of the owner of no.26 Quickswood, Mrs. Hawkins.</p> <p>The statement that "the owners of no 26 have no objection to the proposals" is false. Mrs Hawkins is as concerned about this proposal as she was about a previous similar application lodged for a first story extension of this property. Unfortunately her objections to that application were lodged out of time, but the application was in any event refused. Mr. Nathan, who we believe to be the owner of no.26, was made aware of Mrs. Hawkins' objections through a conversation with me earlier this year, following his unsuccessful earlier application. I invited him to discuss any future development proposals with me on Mrs. Hawkins' behalf, but he has not contacted me.</p> <p>I note incidentally that Mr Silverman expresses himself as both applicant and owner of the property and agent in this application. That surely cannot be right, particularly as he gives an address in Watford. Unless he has bought the property from him very recently the applicant should be shown as Mr. Nathan.</p> <p>The proposed development of this and the other two properties involved in this linked application (nos 8 and 9 Conybeare under applications 2022/4422P and 2022/4428P respectively) will cause noise and disruption to no 26 during the course of the works and possibly thereafter and in each case a loss of privacy and of the right to light. The combined effect of three developments at once is particularly likely to affect No 26 adversely.</p> <p>However, the development of no 24 Quickswood will in particular have a serious negative impact on the privacy, access to light and quiet enjoyment of no 26 as the drawings appear to show windows that will directly overlook the no 26 garden and reception room at ground floor level and the conservatory and terrace at first floor level. Mrs. Hawkins' conservatory is not directly comparable with the development of 24 currently proposed - being a smaller development with a retained balcony and not occupied on a regular basis.</p> <p>Richard A Russell Legal consultant.</p>
2022/4421/P	Graham sulkin	21/11/2022 22:28:58	SUPPRT	My name is Graham Sulkin I live at number 19 Hawtrey Rd I am in for favor of a total extension on the terrace and I think it's a great idea and I'm totally for it if you need me please contact me anytime
2022/4421/P	Caroline Feigenbaum	22/11/2022 20:21:56	COMMNT	I support this application and believe it to be reasonable and the development has little impact on surrounding properties with a precedent already established on the Chalcott Estate.
2022/4421/P	T Samson	23/11/2022 21:43:22	SUPPRT	As a local resident, I fully support this extension project. There are multiple examples of similar first floor extensions built on the estate, including on the same street. This type of extension fits in with the growing space needs of families in the area and is much less disruptive than basement extensions.