				Printed on: 24/11/2022 09:10:11
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4422/P	Richard Russell	17/11/2022 19:44:58	OBJ	I am writing, as her long-standing friend, legal adviser and attorney, on behalf of the owner of no.26 Quickswood, Mrs. Hawkins.  The statement that ¿the owners of no 26 have no objection to the proposals¿ is false. Mrs Hawkins does not recall having been approached by this applicant or his agent with regard to this development. The owner of no 24 Quickswood (who I understood to be Mr Nathan) was however made aware by me of Mrs Hawkins objection to any proposed development at the first floor level and did not take me up on my request that he should discuss with me, on Mrs Hawkins behalf, any further plans he might have. Whilst not so directly affected by this development as that of 24 Quickswood the development of this and the other two properties involved in this linked application (no 9 Conybeare as well as 24 Quickswood under applications 2022/4428P AND 2022/4421P respectively) will cause increased noise and disruption to no 26 during the course of the works and possibly thereafter and in each case, following completion, a loss of privacy and of the right to light and quiet enjoyment of her property. The combined effect of three developments at once is particularly likely to affect No 26 adversely.  Richard A Russell Legal consultant,