

From: Matthias Suchert
Sent: 18 November 2022 08:28
To: Planning Planning
Cc:
Subject: OBJECTION Ref 2021/2954/P One Museum Street

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

__ **OBJECTION** __ 2021/2954/P One Museum Street

Dear Mr Fowler. , Camden Planning Officers,

Development Management
Camden Town Hall
5 Pancras Square
N1C 4AG

Please would you file my formal objection to the Planning application filed as 2021/2954/P One Museum Street

with following objection criteria:

Height

The proposed building has a much larger mass than any of surrounding area buildings. It nearly equals the height of Centre Point. Centre Point in itself still offers a lesson shared world-wide on what Urban Planners should NOT do. If planing is consented, Camden are about to repeat many of these undesirable qualities in your urban planning approach at this site.

Climate Change, Sustainable Building goals and Air Quality

Goals that Camden have subscribed to, are in many instances prohibited from breaching, are in danger of being broached. Your constituents are very concerned with Climate Change and its effects, yet you continue to adhere to outdated and proven adverse planning concepts that mock these concerns and a reality your young constituents will face in their tomorrow.

Socially

You are failing to adhere to the creation of affordable housing by removing this onus on a Central London development, endangering the already encroached-upon neighbourhood resident population. COVID-19 has shown that - across the entire world - office space is an unsustainable model for work. It will not come back in this form. Please do not allow developers to create outdated solutions for which there is no market.

Offices

The proposal to build over 250,000 square feet of offices. Camden has a dire housing shortage, not an office shortage. Would you kindly - if unable to do so, at least competently - address this misdirection of development.

Safety

The proposal includes a new, unnecessary narrow alley to run between West Central Street and High Holborn. The proposed North - South orientation will ensure this proposed alley is shaded during the day. Camden, especially this area, already has a huge Crime problem, is rife with illicit drug activities, open street consumption and an exploding policing budget. Please do not waste our council taxes in these times by increasing the load on an entirely preventable long-term problem.

Safety for Women and girls in the Capital, especially in the area has been addressed as a huge concern, by campaigners as well as Camden and Government officials. It is time to deliver on these concerns - and at the very least - try to prevent from adding more problematic areas for women. According to your recent census data, the majority - the majority !!- of your constituents and thereby your employers across most age groups are women. Please adjust the planning accordingly, preferably starting with action as opposed to empty words.

Mr. Fowler, I hope you will be able to address all of these points at the Planning meeting and if not able to change these shortfalls towards a positive outcome, refuse the Application.

With kind regards,

Matthias Suchert