From:	Dick Russell
Sent:	17 November 2022 20:05
To:	Planning Planning
Subject:	re planning application 9 Coneybeare 2022/4428P

Dear Sirs

I am writing, as her long-standing friend, legal adviser and attorney, on behalf of the Mrs. Hawkins.

It seems that the period for comments on the above application has expired on a date earlier than the application itself. I have today submitted on line objections on behalf of Mrs Hawkins in relation to the associated applications for 8 Coneybeare (2022/4422P) and 24 Quickswood (2022/4421P).

For the sake of good order I make the same points of objection here in relation to this almost identical application.

The statement in the above planning application that "the owners of   have no objection to the proposals" is false. Mrs Hawkins does not recall having been approached by this applicant or his agent with regard to this development. The owner of no   (who I understood to be Mr Nathan) was however made aware by me of Mrs Hawkins objection to any proposed development at the first floor level and did not take me up on my request that he should discuss with me, on Mrs Hawkins behalf, any further plans he might have. Whilst not so directly affected by this development as that of   Quickswood the development of this and the other two properties involved in this linked application will cause increased noise and disruption to no   during the course of the works and possibly thereafter and in each case, following completion, a loss of privacy and of the right to light and quiet enjoyment of her property. The combined effect of three developments at once is particularly likely to affect No 26 adversely.

Yours faithfully

Richard A Russell