Dear Cameron Banks-Murray,

Comments/Objections to Planning Application 2022/2398/P. 12 Pilgrim's Lane.

Given that a dozen people and local organisations have made strong comments the application needs to go to committee level.

I am dissatisfied with the current responses from Camden regarding this application.

- 1. Under **Site and Surroundings** you discuss the listed buildings to the west but take scant notice of buildings to the east. I live next door at No 14 on the east side.
- 2. Under **Impact on Character and Appearance in the Conservation Area** you concentrate on heritage aspects. Character is more than that. Pilgrim's Lane is a tranquil modest street, lined by trees.

Comments I submitted in August (See attachment) have not been addressed. Taking my points:

- My life will be utterly changed by the demolition of the upper portion of my party wall with No 12. My safety/security is compromised. My bedroom French windows on my back first floor terrace will become easily accessed from the roof of the proposed single storey garage. I will not sleep peacefully at night.
- 2. During the **period of the building works** there will be huge disruption: dust and noise.
- 3. The **loss of back garden at 12 Pilgrim's Lane** is detrimental to the Green Corridor and will have an adverse effect on its natural habitat. The proposed big terrace, which is out of scale with surrounding houses, will provide opportunities for large social gatherings, likely generating noise audible to nearby houses, such as mine.
- 4. Clay Soil. In the application please note the Site Investigation and Basement Impact Assessment on Page 10, Section 3.2 Land Stability. The answer given to Question 7 is:

The area is prone to these effects (seasonal shrink-swell subsidence) as a result of the presence of shrinkable London Clay.

It is fair to conclude that in dry weather the underground excavation at No 12 may well cause disturbances to my garden, which is next to No 12.

Meg Weston Smith

14 Pilgrim's Lane, London NW3 1SN