

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3819/P	Khadija Saadoun	19/10/2022 15:43:27	OBJ	<p>I am objecting very strongly to the proposed planning application being granted for the following reasons:  This planning application will cause extreme disturbance and distress to all the residents in Beaconsfield.  The application has a direct impact on Beaconsfield (my home) and other residential properties.  The development of the property 39-40 Eagle Street does not take into account the neighbouring properties and the intrusion it would make and create on the wellbeing, peace, privacy and security of all the residents to enjoy their homes without fear, distress, disturbance and noise.  Our bedrooms and living rooms back onto 39-40 Eagle Street and this would have an immense and serious impact both during the day and especially at night and weekends to residents by this planning application.  My husband is disabled with a heart condition and we are both senior citizens and there are other residents who are elderly and disabled and spend much of their time at home. In addition there are also children, those who have to work from home and those who have to go to work early in the morning – this development would have a severe, detrimental impact on all of us.  We have a right to wellbeing, peace, respect and to be able to enjoy our homes without noise, antisocial behaviour and actions which would result in severe distress and intimidation to the residents of Beaconsfield. There clearly has not been shown the slightest bit of respect, consideration and thought in this application for the residents of Beaconsfield.  This application would also diminish our right to light (ancient light) especially for the lower floors of the building.  The proposed addition of a floor or any other structure, be it vents, machinery or any other installation throughout the building would cause enormous distress, intimidation and intrusion and have a detrimental impact not only for my husband and myself but also for all of the residents within Beaconsfield; the lower ground floors would also have the additional impact of diminished natural light to which they have an established and right to light.  Careful consideration was not given by the planners, developers and architects to the residents of Beaconsfield and other immediate surrounding buildings which is why this application must not be allowed to go ahead.  The past occupants of 39-40 Eagle Street, had consideration for the residents of Beaconsfield and problems never occurred between residents and the former occupants of 39-40 Eagle Street.  It is very disappointing and clear that the applicants have absolutely no respect and consideration whatsoever for the residents of Beaconsfield and other adjoining properties and that problems would arise and be created on a very long-term basis by this application.  This application must be refused as this would have a severe impact on all in Beaconsfield.  It is our right to be entitled to peace, privacy, wellbeing and light in Beaconsfield.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3819/P	LINDA ANTONIONI	19/10/2022 14:15:42	OBJ	<p>I VERY STRONGLY OBJECT to any of this this planning application being granted as follows:</p> <p>This planning application will cause extreme disturbance and distress to all the residents in Beaconsfield. It does not comply with planning policy and infringes upon the amenity of those neighbouring residential properties, see following points:</p> <p>Any development of the property that is the subject of the application must take proper account to the character and use of the neighbouring properties. In particular, there should be no intrusion upon the ability of residents to enjoy their property without undue disturbance, noise and distress.</p> <p>Bedrooms are backing onto 39-40 Eagle Street. Residents will clearly be seriously inconvenienced by noise, in particular outside normal business hours but also more generally. Some of the residents are senior and/or disabled and spend considerable portions of the day in their properties, as well as at night. Their rights to peaceful enjoyment of their property are to be respected.</p> <p>The proposed addition of any floor/plant/structure or anything else would cause distress for all residents within the block especially those on the ground and 1st floors which would deprive them of an established right to light.</p> <p>The installation of any plant containing any machinery/plant/acoustics or anything else would have severe repercussions on the residents through noise and would have an enormous detrimental impact on the health and wellbeing of the residents of Beaconsfield.</p> <p>There would be intensive and detrimental intrusion and impact upon the residents (my) peace, privacy and enjoyment of my home and also deprivation of light which would cause emotional distress to my life and the lives of other residents.</p> <p>39-40 Eagle Street was built after Beaconsfield and careful consideration by the planners and architect of the building was given, so that the residents of Beaconsfield (especially the residents on the ground and 1st floor) were not deprived of any further natural ancient light. At the time that 39-40 Eagle Street was built planning permission would not have been given in the terms in which it is now sought. That is a very significant factor counting against the grant of any permission now.</p> <p>A media company TV/Radio/Advertising whose prime working hours are 24/7 would not bring any benefits attached to a residential building but cause major problems through noise and antisocial behaviour thus impacting on a major scale the peace, privacy and enjoyment entitled to residents (elderly, children, disabled and those having to go work).</p> <p>It is perfectly clear that the applicants have not had any consideration whatsoever on where they would conduct their business. Most of the media companies are based in an area where they are not attached by a few bricks to residential properties, this clearly is not the case with the applicants in this instance, thus causing major concern for residents in Beaconsfield and an infringement and intrusion on our lives to the right of peace, privacy and enjoyment of our homes.</p> <p>This application is extremely detrimental to the wellbeing of Beaconsfield residents and <b>MUST BE REFUSED</b> for the sake of the health and wellbeing of the residents of Beaconsfield.</p> <p>It is no answer to these objections to seek to place conditions on the use of 39-40 Eagle Street. First, no condition can deal adequately or at all with the infringement on our light. Second, the imposition of condition places the burden upon the neighbouring residents to seek for enforcement action to be taken and for the authority in any event to decide to take enforcement action. Where the proposals are so obviously detrimental the imposition of conditions does not protect the rights of the neighbouring residents.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3819/P	Linda Antonioni	18/10/2022 13:56:56	OBJ	<p>I VERY STRONGLY OBJECT to any of this this planning application being granted as follows:</p> <p>This planning application will cause extreme disturbance and distress to all the residents in Beaconsfield. It does not comply with planning policy and infringes upon the amenity of those neighbouring residential properties, see following points:</p> <p>Any development of the property that is the subject of the application must take proper account to the character and use of the neighbouring properties. In particular, there should be no intrusion upon the ability of residents to enjoy their property without undue disturbance, noise and distress.</p> <p>Bedrooms are backing onto 39-40 Eagle Street. Residents will clearly be seriously inconvenienced by noise, in particular outside normal business hours but also more generally. Some of the residents are senior and/or disabled and spend considerable portions of the day in their properties, as well as at night. Their rights to peaceful enjoyment of their property are to be respected.</p> <p>The proposed addition of any floor/plant/structure or anything else would cause distress for all residents within the block especially those on the ground and 1st floors which would deprive them of an established right to light.</p> <p>The installation of any plant containing any machinery/plant/acoustics or anything else would have severe repercussions on the residents through noise and would have an enormous detrimental impact on the health and wellbeing of the residents of Beaconsfield.</p> <p>There would be intensive and detrimental intrusion and impact upon the residents (my) peace, privacy and enjoyment of my home and also deprivation of light which would cause emotional distress to my life and the lives of other residents.</p> <p>39-40 Eagle Street was built after Beaconsfield and careful consideration by the planners and architect of the building was given, so that the residents of Beaconsfield (especially the residents on the ground and 1st floor) were not deprived of any further natural ancient light. At the time that 39-40 Eagle Street was built planning permission would not have been given in the terms in which it is now sought. That is a very significant factor counting against the grant of any permission now.</p> <p>A media company TV/Radio/Advertising whose prime working hours are 24/7 would not bring any benefits attached to a residential building but cause major problems through noise and antisocial behaviour thus impacting on a major scale the peace, privacy and enjoyment entitled to residents (elderly, children, disabled and those having to go work).</p> <p>It is perfectly clear that the applicants have not had any consideration whatsoever on where they would conduct their business. Most of the media companies are based in an area where they are not attached by a few bricks to residential properties, this clearly is not the case with the applicants in this instance, thus causing major concern for residents in Beaconsfield and an infringement and intrusion on our lives to the right of peace, privacy and enjoyment of our homes.</p> <p>This application is extremely detrimental to the wellbeing of Beaconsfield residents and <b>MUST BE REFUSED</b> for the sake of the health and wellbeing of the residents of Beaconsfield.</p> <p>It is no answer to these objections to seek to place conditions on the use of 39-40 Eagle Street. First, no condition can deal adequately or at all with the infringement on our light. Second, the imposition of condition places the burden upon the neighbouring residents to seek for enforcement action to be taken and for the authority in any event to decide to take enforcement action. Where the proposals are so obviously detrimental the imposition of conditions does not protect the rights of the neighbouring residents.</p>