

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4349/PVL	Victoria Swift	16/10/2022 18:44:49	OBJ	<p>I am opposing this license application</p> <p>Firstly there appears to be an issue with the application as the proposal is for four tables and 12 chairs while the plans show five tables with 12 chairs.</p> <p>I am also concerned that the plan shows tables positioned outside an adjacent business. In fact Hugs and Bites have been using this space at times without license throughout the last two years. I do not feel it is appropriate for diners to be spread out this way as every licensed premise on the street will want to do the same.</p> <p>The area outside 23 Warren St is already congested with street furniture and signage, the tables and chairs (currently without a license) often make it difficult to pass here; pedestrians are forced into the street at a junction with oncoming traffic from Fitzroy Street.</p> <p>These premises are known to Camden Council for being a noise nuisance and for vertical drinking, they have been inconsiderate to their neighbours on a number of occasions. Warren Street residents, mostly situated on upper floors are subject to extremely loud noise due to the 'canyon effect'.</p> <p>For these reasons this application should be refused.</p>

2022/4349/PVL	Linus Rees	17/10/2022 21:23:45	OBJ	<p>Our association strongly objects to this application.</p> <p>The application is seeking permission to place tables and chairs on the footway in addition to the tables and chairs on the application premises forecourt.</p> <p>Warren Street is a busy street with a mix of residential and commercial premises. The footway is not particularly wide and commercial operators should not be taking space away from pedestrians. This would have a very negative impact on the safety and comfort of people walking and using mobility aids.</p> <p>The seating is also proposed to extend beyond the frontage of the premises and to the east in front of a different premises. The amount of outdoor seating asked for is excessive.</p> <p>Furthermore the premises benefits from an alcohol licence. The provision of seating in addition to the seats already on the forecourt of the premises.</p> <p>There are a large number of homes in the immediate vicinity of the premises. The provision of outside seating at this licensed premises would likely give rise to noise nuisance from customers. This is unfair on the residents and would have a negative impact on the quiet enjoyment of their homes.</p> <p>For the above reasons the application should be refused.</p>
---------------	------------	---------------------	-----	--

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/4349/PVL	Gerard O'Donnell	17/10/2022 23:56:45	OBJ	<p>Myself and my family live a few doors down the street from this premises.</p> <p>This premises has breached its licence conditions before and been a source of late night noise and nuisance to its neighbours. On occasion they've place tables and chairs on the complete opposite side of the road on the opposite pavement. And now this application seems to imply they will have seating outside their neighbours premises not just their own.</p> <p>There are frequent noisy private parties held there which go well into the night, and the licence holder does very little to discourage patrons from loitering on the benches outside. Long after closing time those same benches are magnet for late night drinkers and people stopping off to eat and drink and talk in the small hours - disturbing the many families and residents in the mews and in the flats above and around the premises.</p> <p>Any more outside seating would just add to the existing problem.</p>
2022/4349/PVL	Dr B Ackroyd-Parkin	17/10/2022 19:08:25	OBJ	<p>I live in Richardson Mews but my flat fronts onto Warren Street very close to this premises. I am horrified to see this application for yet more disturbance and disruption to the peace and tranquillity of the area in which I live. Hugs and Bites is a business which gives no consideration to local residents. Clientele in the outdoor area which is already used by the business which is part of their curtilage is frequently disruptive and this application will inevitably cause more rowdy and drunken customers disturbing local residents. The hours specified in the application are unreasonably long, and will allow no times of respite for residents. Furthermore, the area requested in the application is more than twice the pavement area in front of the business, and three of the tables on the plan submitted (which is not consistent with the documentation, since four tables are specified, but five are shown on the plan) are in front of the neighbouring property. Also as such they cannot be supervised from the cafe, being separated.</p> <p>It is not clear, moreover, what is meant by the barriers, but it appears that the plan shows that the barriers would block the walkway of the pavement. Moreover, this premises is in a conservation area, and as such is completely at odds with the ambience of this historic area. I therefore object most strongly to this application which I believe should not be granted under any circumstances.</p>