

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3853/P	Richard Simpson for Primrose Hill CAAC	14/10/2022 13:20:59	OBJ	<p>PRIMROSE HILL CONSERVATION AREA ADVISORY COMMITTEE 12A Manley Street London NW1 8LT</p> <p>14 October 2022</p> <p>proposals for Camden Lock Market ¿ 2022/3853/P</p> <p>Strong objection.</p> <p>1. We object to the proposed ferris wheel, on the grounds that by reason of its height it would substantially harm the sense of scale of the Interchange Building, which is Listed, and of the scale of the Lock Yard itself.</p> <p>2. Further, it would substantially harm the setting of the Listed Buildings and appear in long views of the Interchange building with its exceptional and iconic built profile.</p> <p>3. We also object to the siting of the wheel which would disrupt the foot and cycle route which follows the canal towpath and uses the Yard. This is an important route in terms of ¿active travel¿, and is also important as part of the safe routes to school to the Primrose Hill Primary school. The management of visitors to the wheel, the location of queues, ingress and egress do not appear to have been appropriately addressed.</p> <p>4. We object strongly to the proposed shop fenestration in the West Yard, west ¿fa¿ade¿ (DAS 4.2.3). One of the appeals of the Market is the individuality of the different elements ¿ a standard set of shop fronts would harm the character and appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>Richard Simpson FSA Chair</p>
2022/3853/P	Hazel Saunders	14/10/2022 15:03:45	OBJ	<p>This development will erode what is left of any heritage value of this social historic site. The damage to the west yard in the erection of wheel, and a dangerous structure in such a small space. The displacement of the Waterbus boats to Dead Dog tunnell is also hiding away the heritage and the tunnel is a valuable space for the workboats. This application should never be approved.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3853/P	Kate Gemmell	14/10/2022 09:57:11	OBJNOT	<p>I am writing, as Chair of TRACT (Tenants & Residents Associations, Camden Town) to object to the above application from Camden Lock Market Limited for part of the proposal that includes a ferris wheel.</p> <p>The applicant is associated with the development of the other areas of the market and of Hawley Wharf opposite. The market has developed over the past few years into a place best avoided by local residents and a generator of noise, rubbish and anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>We were promised that Hawley Wharf would provide retail to attract residents and Londoners. That it would be high end food and goods. The offer is no different to that of Camden Market opposite. Clearly the economy has had an impact but the types of retail that were put forward at the Community Liaison Group are not what has appeared on the site. Retail units are selling the same type of product as the market and most of the food court units too. There is no differentiation.</p> <p>Why should we think that Camden Lock will be any different?</p> <p>The proposed ferris wheel is a nonsense. It is claimed that it will be temporary. Why should we believe that?</p> <p>The sighting of it impacts the historic surrounding are including the listed bridge and the Interchange Building on Goods Yard. It is suggested that it will attract local residents! There is no persuasive argument for this. The cost of a ticket is high and residents have great views from local parks.</p> <p>The hours of operation are too long on a Sunday. It will allow users to look into previously private property.</p> <p>We have no doubt that alcohol licences will be sought for ferris wheel.</p> <p>It feels like this application is turning Camden into a theme park with little thought to long term sustainable development.</p> <p>For the above reasons we object to this planning application and hope you will review the worst aspects of it i.e. the Ferris Wheel.</p>
