

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3635/P	Yaniv Stein	11/10/2022 12:34:05	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I strongly object to this planning application. It does not comply with Camden councils "preserve and enhance" policy D2 Heritage. Application is in fact detracting the character and appearance of Howiit Road and the surrounding area. If this application is approved it will set a dangerous precedent as surely every free holder in Beslize Grove( an indeed on Howiit road itself) will rush to apply to add another floor to their mansion block thus further destroying the character and desirability of Howitt Road. The council having approved this application will find it very difficult from a legal standpoint not to approve all the similar applications that will surely follow.</p> <p>If this application is approved then I don't think the the term conservation area would still apply to this lovely neighbourhood. Regards-Yaniv Stein , owner 7 Howitt Road.</p>
2022/3635/P	zeki	11/10/2022 12:02:57	OBJ	<p>I do not believe that this new planning application with a few minor modifications does change the substance of the design. By submitting more or less the same application do the developers hope that they might find eventually a more favorable reply.</p> <p>This is a designated conservation area with outstanding architecture.All building edwardian or art deco have more or less the same height and contribute to the unique character of the neighbourhood.Raising the height of the building will have a major impact on the neighbouring houses. It will block the light on the four "villas"on Belsize Park Gardens and on Manor Mansions and on any adjacent houses</p> <p>I object also for the major disruption it will have on the traffic/pollution/inconvenience/noise on an already difficult area .</p> <p>And it will involve yet again the felling of trees so important to our environment.</p> <p>It would give owners of neighbouring buildings the green light to do the same creating a dangerous precedent.</p> <p>I conclusion the new planning application is as intrusive as the previous one and will strongly oppose it</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3729/P	Diana Homa	12/10/2022 00:14:52	COMMNT	<p>The proposal for a “period arched feature” at 42 Willow Road, along the boundary wall in Gayton Crescent is in my view entirely out of keeping with the surrounding area. I see the proposed new entrance from my window and strongly object to the inappropriate and disproportionate style, as well as the newly created position, disrupting the charm of a long garden wall, overhung by an apple tree.</p> <p>It is wholly misleading to say the least, for the proposal and attached illustration SK01 EXISTING, to suggest that the “period arched feature” will be “over an existing entrance”. The so called “existing” entrance was created a few months ago without permission, following approval of an earlier application (2021/1641/P), where the following was clearly stated:  “The boundary walls front and side on Gayton Crescent remain untouched including the existing blue side door which will be sealed from the inside. We want to maintain the exact appearance albeit the need to paint the door a darker colour in keeping with good taste.”</p> <p>The position of the “existing blue side door” is shown in the following link, reflecting the “good taste” acknowledged by the applicant... and the inherent style and character of the conservation area:  <a href="https://postimg.cc/ftNcZYpY">https://postimg.cc/ftNcZYpY</a></p> <p>I firmly disagree with claims that the view “will be very pleasant” and that the feature will make the long wall and the street “more architecturally interesting”... and whilst the applicant refers to “very nice and supportive comments from neighbours”, I am only aware of neighbours who have watched in horror as the height of the wall was considerably increased, mismatched bricks of different colours added, and continuity of the relatively low wall was interrupted with the unwelcome appearance of a disproportionately high and inappropriately styled template of a Gothic door.</p> <p>I would also add that I see nothing comparable in the pictures attached to the proposal, where (dissimilar) arches appear to fit well in their surroundings.</p> <p>I urge the planning committee to oppose this application and to ensure commitment to clearly stated assurances, prior to consent: “...to maintain the exact appearance albeit the need to paint the door a darker colour in keeping with good taste.”</p> <p>Thank you</p>

---

**Total: 3**