

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/2445/P	C.E.Mohr	02/10/2022 21:23:25	OBJ	The amendment to this application seems to be an attempt to turn the rear-extension roof into a balcony by other means. There's no other possible reason for replacing the existing window with a door, since a door is completely unnecessary for "maintenance purposes". If any repairs are needed to the flat roof, a ladder provides all the access required. A door, on the other hand, would allow the owners full use of the roof, affording them a view over and into several neighbouring patios, including mine. I objected to the previous version of this proposal on the grounds that a balcony would irrevocably compromise my privacy; I object to this one for the same reason.
2022/2445/P	Karin	02/10/2022 20:32:16	COMMNT	Hi, I appreciate the freedom for everyone to extend their home as they wish but I must object to this application. There is no reason for a door to be installed on the first floor above the extension for maintenance as the section can easily be accessed via a ladder from the ground floor and there is no reason to have to regularly access that area. Creating a door will encourage use of that area as an unauthorised balcony which was the previous application made by this property. Access onto that area encroaches on the privacy of the surrounding houses and gardens as did the original balcony application and sets a precedent for other extensions to create similar ¿unofficial balconies/access¿
2022/2445/P	H Walters	29/09/2022 23:43:57	OBJ	This is not a minor alteration; it is rather an attempt to dismiss the objections to the previous version of plans. While the original plan had a door with a juliet balcony there, this was strongly objected against by all neighbours as it would lead to overlooking of the neighbouring properties and gardens. The latest version of the plan is rather similar but does not make sense: door leading to the roof! The use of the roof as a terrace in future should be prohibited (see previous number of objections). The direct overlooking of neighbours is still present there; it needs to be considered as highly objectionable. Apart from the overlooking of neighbours' properties and gardens, this alteration is according to my opinion against health and safety regulations. It is possible that the owners continue to present various alterations to the plans on and on, assuming that eventually the neighbours (and planners) will get tired by having to deal with this issue ever so often and will give up.