
From: Elizabeth Richardson [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 August 2022 22:52
To: plannning@camden.gov.uk
Cc: Leela Muthoora; Jenna Litherland
Subject: Revised drawings submitted for 11 Burghley Road 2021/5066/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Planners

Revised drawings submitted for 11 Burghley Road 2021/5066/P

Thank you for your email dated 18 August informing us of the above.

We have now managed, although there have been some technical issues with the Planning website, to take a look at the 9 new drawings submitted from Steven Pollock Architects regarding the above.

There are mistakes on these drawings put on the Camden Planning Website on 18 August 2022 called “Pre-existing” (presumably meaning prior to lower ground floor extension).

- Could the Drawing titled: **00.07 Side Elevation of No 11 as existing as viewed from No 13 before LGF extension** please be removed from the Camden Planning Website. It is incorrect. We have on several occasions explained to various planners in Camden Planning Dept that a trellis never existed on the wall between No 13 and No 11 although the architects, Steven Pollock, continue to put the trellis on as “pre-existing” on the drawings. We have photographs taken just after No 11 Burghley Rd’s first Application in 2017 where it is clear that no trellis existed. The trellis was suddenly erected around the end of 2018. The owners of No 11 assured us that it would be taken down. We enjoy the sun outside our French windows and a trellis blocks out our sunlight. I wrote to Bethany Cullen, Ben Farrant and Ramesh Depala about the newly erected trellis on 18 August 2020 and it was finally taken down. Please refer to this email.
- • Could the drawing titled: **00.05 Rear Elevation as existing before Lower Ground Floor extension** please be removed from the Camden Website. It is incorrect. We have always had French Windows leading to steps into our garden. The Architect has put the French windows/doors in the recent drawings but not in the earlier drawings, perhaps giving the impression that we have made structural changes. We have made no changes to the rear of our flat since we arrived in 1999. Please refer to our first letter of objections written in 2017 in which I mention our French windows and how important this amenity is to us. This drawing should look the same as the drawing submitted on 18 August 2022 with regard to No 13 Burghley Road.

- We also find the drawing titled **00:01 Lower Ground Floor Plan as existing before Lower Ground Floor extension** very misleading. When we bought our flat 13A Burghley Rd in 1999, the then owner of 11 Burghley Rd walked down steps similar to 13B Burghley Rd, directly into his garden. There was no raised platform, etc. We all had privacy as the garden of No 11 is much lower than ours and there were no issues. The raised platform was suddenly built after Mr and Mrs Chamberlain bought No 11 Burghley Rd. We found it intrusive and abandoned our table in our garden as the overlooking meant we no longer had privacy in our garden space. This latest submitted drawing, which we consider misleading, represents the scene in the garden **after** a huge amount of “landscaping” took place. Is it the case that architects are allowed to change structures to suit their next plan and call it pre-existing? The raised platform and landscaping were fairly major changes. Please let us know if the raised platform of No 11 and the landscaping ever received approval from Camden Planning?
- I do not think the plans from the architects clearly show the differences in the levels of our garden, No 13 Burghley Rd and the garden of No 11 Burghley Rd. Our garden is much higher and almost on the level of the roof of the extension. This is why a site visit from planners involved in decisions is essential and I appreciate Leela Muthoora and Jenna Litherland taking the time to do this. It is impossible to sit around a table and discuss these plans unless everyone has seen the situation and how intrusive it is.

We will write another email with regard to the Proposed Drawings submitted to Camden on the 2nd August 2022 but, in the meantime, we would like you to look into the above.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely

Elizabeth Richardson and Phil Gladstone

13A Burghley Rd

London

NW5 1UG