

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3289/P	Sandra Moreno-Henao	17/09/2022 10:01:27	OBJ	<p>I OBJECT IN THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE TERMS to this planning application being granted on the following grounds</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* The planning application indicates that the applicants intend that extensive use will be made of the terrace. Even if extensive use takes place only during the working day it will still intrude upon the amenity, peace, privacy and enjoyment of my property. PLEASE NOTE The windows of Beaconsfield House are approximately a meter or less away from the proposed terrace* The 'terrace' opens up a space for smoking which could allow lit cigarette butts to be blown or thrown into residents' open windows which could cause a cause a fire putting the lives of resident at risk* The terrace would also allow alcohol to be consumed which would cause noise and antisocial behaviour affecting residents of Beaconsfield House having quiet enjoyment of their properties Residents windows would have to be shut to prevent noise penetration into their properties* The applicants cannot say there will be no impact upon the residents of neighbouring properties because they have recognised this in the application. The applicants say "predominant use of the office terrace will be during office hours with occasional use after normal working hours. The applicant is happy to discuss limiting the hours of use by condition at the weekends and, to, say 10 pm on weekdays". The council must therefore consider this application in that the applicant has acknowledged limiting the hours because they realise it will have a serious and detrimental impact upon the neighbouring properties.* Even if there is to be limited use by imposition of a condition, 10 pm is far later than the normal working day so the intention seems clear that the terrace will be used for social and recreational purposes.* Any condition would have to limit the use to working purposes, not social or recreational. Given the proposal that any condition might permit use until 10 p.m., which is far beyond the normal working day, the intention seems clear that the terrace will be used for social and recreational purposes.* Also any condition imposed would have to be monitored and I can foresee endless ongoing disputes with regard to what did, and did not, happen on the terrace* The reference to a healthy and Covid safe working environment - the applicant has statutory duties to ensure that the interior of the office space is safe and healthy, both for general purposes and specifically in relation to Covid (should that again be an issue). It cannot seek to escape those duties by relying upon the use of the existing flat roof as a terrace, in particular when it would have such adverse consequences for the residents of the neighbouring property, Beaconsfield House where residents include the very elderly, children as well as able bodied residents who have to go to work. <p>*This application will have a severe detrimental effect on all the residents of Beaconsfield House and therefore MUST BE REFUSED.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/3289/P	Elena	16/09/2022 13:10:28	OBJ	16.09.2022

As a resident of Beaconsfield I STRONGLY OBJECT to this planning application being granted and kindly ask so this MUST BE REFUSED on the following grounds:

1. It is stated the application is for installation of metal balustrade to existing fourth floor front terrace and replacement of existing window with new double doors. There is no existing terrace presently or what has been used as a terrace. So this space has never been used as a terrace. There is just a roof top. And this is a big difference between a roof top and a terrace.
2. It is referred to the limited use of the terrace. Even if the use of the terrace takes place only during working week and until 10pm it will still be very disruptive upon the amenity, privacy and noise pollution and comfort of living in my property. For instance, I [REDACTED] I am also a [REDACTED] who also works both from home and office.
3. I am also extremely concerned by the point that the terrace opens up an area for smoking which would allow lit cigarettes butts to be thrown thus putting the resident at direct fire risk and could be fatal as we keep windows open. This risk can force us keeping the windows shut when we would normally have them open through the day and night and should have the right to do so for our health.
4. This will also allow use of alcoholic drinks to be consumed at any time of the day or night, thus potentially causing additional antisocial behaviour, loud conversations, laughter other forms of noise pollution etc that may be very disruptive and cause danger to the residents of Beaconsfield.
5. The applicants cannot seek to suggest that there will be no impact, that is not true as they don't live there and will not be present to experience that at all times. The applicants say that predominant use of the office terrace will be during office hours with occasional use after normal working hours that is already the issue to our privacy and rest times. Occasional use after working hours also implies that this will be used for events that means increased noise pollution.
6. Any condition would moreover have to limit the use to working purposes, not social or for events. Given the proposal that any condition might permit use until 10 p.m., which is far beyond the normal working day, the intention seems clear that the terrace will be used for social purposes and events.
7. PLEASE NOTE our windows of Beaconsfield are in extreme proximity to the proposed terrace, are approximately a metre or less away. For fire hazards.
8. Our Beaconsfield is wall to wall to the applicants building, any addition could potentially cause cracks to the building causing construction hazards.
9. Our block of flats has already had an issue with high level of noise pollution that is why half of the street of our property has already been closed for traffic to reduce that and protect the residents. Constructing a terrace on top of a roof and then using it will increase the noise pollution that was already high that additional actions

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>were already taken to reduce. The residents of the building include the disabled ones, very elderly, children, working single parents working from home, as well as able bodied residents who have to go to work.</p> <p>To conclude, I DO NOT accept that any installation and use of the terrace should be permitted because of the impact upon my property and standard of life in terms of wall-to-wall proximity of the building, health hazard, fire safety, building cracks hazards, noise pollution, residents privacy violation, comfort of living (closing windows to noise or smoke etc). The imposition of a condition does not properly or adequately protect my rights because enforcement will be difficult if not completely impracticable. This application will have a severe detrimental effect and major disruption on all the residents of Beaconsfield and therefore MUST BE REFUSED.</p>
2022/3289/P	Karen Ross	18/09/2022 07:59:03	COMMNT	<p>As one of the resident/freeholders of 42 Eagle Street I have serious concerns about the proposed 4th floor terrace as it would be adjacent to the bedrooms of residents and if used at night, would disturb the peaceful area behind the buildings - disrupting sleep and causing distress. While I do live in Central London and accept a lot of what that entails - the evenings and nights are peaceful and calm along the street, and we are very keen to maintain that for the well being and health of the local community.</p>