

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| 2022/2508/P | David and Margaret Jones | 18/08/2022 20:28:48 | OBJ | <p>6.6 Failed to take into account the side of No.1. Broomsleigh Street, which is the same height as the proposed development at 94 Mill Lane. This will result in very minimal light to the back of the property at 96a Mill Lane and 96b Mill Lane, effectively blocking the majority of day light. This is a serious breach of our right of light and not a very minor breach of the 45 degree rule.</p> <p>The comments regarding our property replicating the breach are not relevant:</p> <p>a) We first occupied 96 Mill Lane in 1983 and we have no knowledge of any extension referred to in the proposal.</p> <p>b) In any event, this has no impact whatsoever on the right of light for 94 Mill Lane.</p> <p>We object to this proposal in the strongest terms and await your response.</p> |
