

**From:** Sofie Fieldsend  
**Sent:** 22 August 2022 09:58  
**To:** Planning Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Planning Application 2020/5187/P.

Please upload this objection.

Thanks,

Sofie

---

**Subject:** Planning Application 2020/5187/P.

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

RE: Application 2020/5187/P

Attn.: Ms. Sofie Fieldsend

21 August 2022

Dear Ms. Fieldsend:

I write to reiterate my objections to the above planning application and to request that the re-application be considered by the full Planning Committee, in particular given the contentious nature of the plans, the significant opposition to this and related applications for the same site from the local community and the serious circumvention of planning rules that has already taken place on this site by this experienced developer.

Material damage has been done to the amenity of the local community by this developer effectively denuding the site of trees, shrubs, grass and other vegetation without planning permission and most certainly without consideration for or consultation with the local community. To remove old growth trees and replace vibrant grass with fake plastic matting is frankly unacceptable and the Council should be robust in pushing back against this application as well as further planning violations at the site.

The developer talks about now putting in “real” grass: This should be captured in a Section 106 agreement. The developer indicates they will replace the old growth trees with “new” trees: This should also be contracted with the Council as well as being a charge with the Land Registry. Critically, every single tree removed from the once verdant garden without planning permission should be replaced and required to be in situ for not less than 5 years. This is a Conservation Area.

Finally, given the time and resources the Planning Office and the Council as well as the local community have had to spend on this and the other confusing, sometimes conflicting and occasionally incoherent applications at this site, I would suggest the Council require a Construction Implementation Support Payment be required as part of any planning decisions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kind regards.

Todd Berman