		Project	12 Eldon Grove, London, NW3 5PT Job No. 9006								
BYRINELOOBY			Basement Impact Assessment	Made By	DLC	Date	18/08/2022				
Document Reference		Sheet Title	Campbell Reith Audit	Chkd By	RT	Date	August 2022				
9006 BIA Campbell Reith Audit_ByrneLooby Comment			ByrneLooby Comment	Sheet No.	1 and 2 of 2	Rev	-				
Query No			Comments				BIA Page Ref				
	Introduction This document sets out ByrneLooby's comments in response to the Basement Impact Assessment Audit carried out by Campbell Reith Consulting Engineers for London Borough of Camden, in relation to the proposed basement extension development at										
	12 Eldon Grove, London NW3 5PT. The Audit Reference Number is 13693-53 Rev: D1 dated June 2022. The Audit relates to ByrneLooby Basement Impact Assessment report Ref 9001-BIA- 001 Revision E dated 21.01.2022. This is a 377 page collated document included Appendices A to G. Appendix A and Appendix B include Paddock Geo- Engineering Ground Investigation Papert and Pagement Impact Assessment										
	The Query No relates to the Audit Query Tracker included in Appendix 2 of the Cambell Reith Audit. In the comments below (45/377) gives the BIA Page Reference of the 377page collated document.										
	Reference should also be made to KSR Architects' planning drawings, available on the LB Camden Planning portal. A collated PDF set is also submitted with these comments. ByrneLooby Comments										
1	Screening in accor updated (Version F (PGE) Basement Im	eening in accordance "CPG Basements" is carried out in Section 3.0 of the lated (Version P18-180bia_v2 of January 2022) Paddock Geo Engineering E) Basement Impact Assessment included in Appendix B of the ByrneLooby BIA.									
2	Refer to KSR Architects' drawings 20012 - P090, P200 and P201 for presence and arrangement of neighbouring basements.										
	The outline program course.	mme is s	subject to Planning permission,	, to be co	nfirmed in du	Je					
	An outline construc	tion seq	uence is provided in Section 7	7.5 of the	ByrneLooby	BIA.	(33/377)				
3	For surface water of 001.Rev – submitte	attenuati ed with t	on proposals please refer to S hese comments.	UDs repo	rt 9001-SUD	S-					
4	Further monitoring Assessment and G	of the g round In	roundwater was advised by Po vestigation report first issued i	GE in thei n Septeml	r Preliminary ber 2022.	' Risk					

		Project	12 Eldon Grove, London, NW3 5PT	Job No.	9006			
BYRNELOOBY			Basement Impact Assessment	Made By	DLC	Date	18/08/2022	
Document Reference		Sheet Title	Campbell Reith Audit	Chkd By	RT	Date	August 2022	
Audit_ByrneLooby Comment			ByrneLooby Comment	Sheet No.	1 and 2 of 2	Rev	-	
Query No			Comments				BIA Page Ref	
, ,	However, in PGE's subsequent BIA report (Version P18-180bia_v2 of January 2022), on the basis that the water encountered in the monitoring wells is considered to most likely be surface water inflow and not a groundwater level, PGE have recommended dewatering during construction in the form of sump pumping of the basement excavation.							
5	The ground movement analysis and its methodology are set out in Section 5.7 of PGE's BIA report (Version P18-180bia_v2 of January 2022). ByrneLooby have reviewed this PGE ground movement analysis and and re happy that the methodology is commensurate with the type and scale of the proposed basement development. The results presented by PGE are a conservative worst case "envelope" of predicted movements through all construction stages, and include movements generated by the excavation and the construction of the new underpins.							
	A summary of the ground movement analysis results is presented in Section 5.7.4 of PGE's BIA report, and given the small magnitude of predicted horizontal movements and settlements at neigbouring properties, ByrneLooby concur that the Damage Category of 1 (very slight) is applicable. Calculations are not necessary to support this conclusion. For plans and sections showing the neighbouring properties in relation to the application site, refer to KSR Architects' drawings 20012 - P090, P100, P200 and P201.							
6	ByrneLooby have r BIA in relation to the predicted movement level is appropriate instrumentation and would not recomm	reviewed he PGE g nts pred e. This a d an alla end an a	I the trigger levels set in Appe ground movement analysis. G icted, ByrneLooby confirm tha llows a degree of tolerance o owance for normal thermal/m amber trigger level less than t	endix F of f fiven the su it the 5mm if the moni noisture mo his.	the ByrneLoo mall magnitud amber trigg toring ovements, so	by de of er we	(373/377)	
6	relation to the app P100, P200 and F ByrneLooby have r BIA in relation to th predicted moveme level is appropriate instrumentation and would not recomm	P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201. P201.	site, reter to KSK Architects' d I the trigger levels set in Appe ground movement analysis. G icted, ByrneLooby confirm tha llows a degree of tolerance o owance for normal thermal/m amber trigger level less than t	rawings 2 endix F of f fiven the su it the 5mm if the moni ioisture mo his.	the ByrneLoo mall magnitu amber trigg toring ovements, so	, by de of er we	(373/37	