

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/2445/P	IS	10/08/2022 13:46:11	OBJ	<p>We object to the proposed roof terrace as it will have a directly adverse effect on our privacy and enjoyment of our home. The proposed terrace above the ground floor extension would be at the same level as the first floor of our property and would allow views directly into our bedroom windows from the balcony, as our property is located perpendicular to No. 37. Furthermore our properties are located so closely that, if we open our bedroom windows and the residents of 35-37 are on the proposed balcony then they would likely be able to hear our conversations and we would be able to hear theirs.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed balcony in a large family home, which the property will be once the conversion is finished (please refer to planning application 2021/1692/P), would likely be a source of significant noise as it would project noise up and down the street as it is at the first floor level and there are no walls or other structures to block potential noise unlike the garden of 35-37. Due to the proximity of our respective properties the noise could also affect our ability to sleep or relax if we have the windows open in the summer, which is often necessary due to the increased temperatures we have been experiencing in recent years.</p> <p>The character of the street is that of a quiet residential mews with very little traffic or noise and a balcony as described in the planning application would both be out of character for other houses on the street and has the potential to cause significant disturbance.</p> <p>It should be noted that the same applicants originally included the balcony proposed here, along with an upstairs Juliet balcony in their previous planning application (2021/1692/P), but then amended the plans to exclude the balconies at the end of the consultation process due to a number of objections raised by other residents on Fairfax Place. Therefore, it is concerning that they are trying to make another application for one of the same features they previously withdrew from consideration.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/2445/P	IS	10/08/2022 13:46:14	OBJ	<p>We object to the proposed roof terrace as it will have a directly adverse effect on our privacy and enjoyment of our home. The proposed terrace above the ground floor extension would be at the same level as the first floor of our property and would allow views directly into our bedroom windows from the balcony, as our property is located perpendicular to No. 37. Furthermore our properties are located so closely that, if we open our bedroom windows and the residents of 35-37 are on the proposed balcony then they would likely be able to hear our conversations and we would be able to hear theirs.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed balcony in a large family home, which the property will be once the conversion is finished (please refer to planning application 2021/1692/P), would likely be a source of significant noise as it would project noise up and down the street as it is at the first floor level and there are no walls or other structures to block potential noise unlike the garden of 35-37. Due to the proximity of our respective properties the noise could also affect our ability to sleep or relax if we have the windows open in the summer, which is often necessary due to the increased temperatures we have been experiencing in recent years.</p> <p>The character of the street is that of a quiet residential mews with very little traffic or noise and a balcony as described in the planning application would both be out of character for other houses on the street and has the potential to cause significant disturbance.</p> <p>It should be noted that the same applicants originally included the balcony proposed here, along with an upstairs Juliet balcony in their previous planning application (2021/1692/P), but then amended the plans to exclude the balconies at the end of the consultation process due to a number of objections raised by other residents on Fairfax Place. Therefore, it is concerning that they are trying to make another application for one of the same features they previously withdrew from consideration.</p>
