

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2452/P | Margaret Dickinson | 07/08/2022 17:02:26 | COMMNT | <p>About the Mixed Pond, the changes look to be those mainly related to disabled access which were discussed with MPA and posted at the pond but with one exception in that there appears to be a shed now in the area South East of the Lifeguards' hut near where the showers are. I would question whether this appeared since an initial consultation and whether it is necessary. At an early consultation I was among those who agreed that the planned provisions for disabled access were necessary even though the additional infrastructure will detract from the pond's natural appearance but we asked for the greatest care to be taken to minimise the obtrusive appearance and in particular that the new pathway to the hoist would be designed so as not to completely damage the green area with 2 benches South East of the lifeguards' hut, one of few areas for sitting and a particular favourite with regulars. The plans previously discussed did not involve extensive changes to fences or gates so I hope those mentioned in the application summary refer to the other ponds.</p> <p>About the Women's Pond, I am shocked to see radical changes proposed which will seriously detract from the quality of the area affecting both pond users and passers by and about which consultation appears to have been minimal. Pending proper public consultation I would therefore support the objections made by the KLPA.</p> <p>I have been a regular swimmer in both ponds for many years.</p> |
| 2022/2452/P | Judy Hallgarten | 05/08/2022 14:17:04 | OBJ | <p>The look and feel of Hampstead Heath will be impacted by high fences and not be in keeping with fences across the open space which allow for great open sightlines of extraordinary trees and foliage.</p> <p>I am completely against the permanent stewards hut, a permanent hut will need new infrastructure installed - eg foundations etc with huge negative impact on natural surroundings and spoil the feel of the pond as a freidnly accessible place, more real and uninviting obstacles to entry.</p> <p>Also the lack of planning and considered design that went in to the existing new buildings, was so badly thought through in terms of sustainability and costs of maintenance that adding to this with a new building will add to the already high maintenance and repair costs.</p> <p>The neg impacts of mould, damp, access - please don't add a new building to this litany of badly thought through additions to our lovely space. Please do not stael any more of our natural space available to us.</p> <p>1 location proposed - inappropriate to accessing field, it is at a bottle neck and really confusing. Currently it requires extra barriers, loads of signage and aframe signs up all the time, plus the staff have to come out to explain the situation to everyone.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2452/P | Judy Hallgarten | 05/08/2022 14:17:08 | OBJ | <p>The look and feel of Hampstead Heath will be impacted by high fences and not be in keeping with fences across the open space which allow for great open sightlines of extraordinary trees and foliage.</p> <p>I am completely against the permanent stewards hut, a permanent hut will need new infrastructure installed - eg foundations etc with huge negative impact on natural surroundings and spoil the feel of the pond as a freidnly accessible place, more real and uninviting obstacles to entry.</p> <p>Also the lack of planning and considered design that went in to the existing new buildings, was so badly thought through in terms of sustainability and costs of maintenance that adding to this with a new building will add to the already high maintenance and repair costs.</p> <p>The neg impacts of mould, damp, access - please don't add a new building to this litany of badly thought through additions to our lovely space. Please do not stael any more of our natural space available to us.</p> <p>1 location proposed - inappropriate to accessing field, it is at a bottle neck and really confusing. Currently it requires extra barriers, loads of signage and aframe signs up all the time, plus the staff have to come out to explain the situation to everyone.</p> |
| 2022/2452/P | Judy Hallgarten | 05/08/2022 14:17:24 | OBJ | <p>The look and feel of Hampstead Heath will be impacted by high fences and not be in keeping with fences across the open space which allow for great open sightlines of extraordinary trees and foliage.</p> <p>I am completely against the permanent stewards hut, a permanent hut will need new infrastructure installed - eg foundations etc with huge negative impact on natural surroundings and spoil the feel of the pond as a freidnly accessible place, more real and uninviting obstacles to entry.</p> <p>Also the lack of planning and considered design that went in to the existing new buildings, was so badly thought through in terms of sustainability and costs of maintenance that adding to this with a new building will add to the already high maintenance and repair costs.</p> <p>The neg impacts of mould, damp, access - please don't add a new building to this litany of badly thought through additions to our lovely space. Please do not stael any more of our natural space available to us.</p> <p>1 location proposed - inappropriate to accessing field, it is at a bottle neck and really confusing. Currently it requires extra barriers, loads of signage and aframe signs up all the time, plus the staff have to come out to explain the situation to everyone.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2452/P | Ann Griffin | 05/08/2022 14:33:04 | OBJ | <p>I am objecting to both the fencing and the stewards hut parts of this application. Overall these 2 proposed developments are wholly inappropriate as changes to the Heath and specifically will have a negative impact on the natural surroundings of the ponds.</p> <p>Firstly the high fence proposed will have a negative visual impact and must be rejected. The current/ original fence height has always be adequate and any case being made that increasing the height for security reasons is not sufficient justification compared to negative impact on wildlife and free sightlines to natural/ wild areas</p> <p>Also putting in new fencing will kill of any wildlife in those areas.</p> <p>1. High fence and gates at back - prob nothing we can do about that but sets precedent for same at front</p> <p>2. Permanent stewards hut: building a new permanent hut for ticketing in same location as the current temp trailer would be disastrous. It should remain as a temporary structure.</p> <p>A temporary structure is only needed in high season. It misy have minimum impact with no permanent foundations (use of concrete etc in a natural area)</p> <p>It is wrong location as causes congestion and health and safety risks for pond users particularly those with physical or visual disability as resultant fencing and barriers create unnecessary obstacles and confusion. Better and safer location of this tempoary strvcuture would be better located closer to changing rooms to avoid conflict with the separate entrance to laarge meadow which is not ticketed.</p> |
| 2022/2452/P | Jackie | 05/08/2022 17:53:07 | INT | <p>As a regular user of the Mixed Pond - I wholeheartedly agree with the proposed works. Only issue I foresee is the potential disruption the works timetabling will have on swimming, the natural environment and the wild inhabitants.</p> <p>Please release statements regarding to the general public and through user groups to reassure swimmers of the considerations given to minimising disruptions.</p> <p>Many thanks for your continued efforts to improve and relate to a wider user group.</p> |