

**London office**

1B(c) Yukon Road  
London  
SW12 9PZ  
Tel: 0203 475 2280

**Manchester office**

105 Manchester Road  
Bury  
BL9 0TD  
Tel: 0161 850 2280

# 29 GAINSBOROUGH HOUSE, FROGNAL RISE

## NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Report 17520-NIA-01-RevA

Prepared on 27 July 2022

Issued For:  
**Suzette Shahmoon**  
**Lawn House**  
**Inverforth House**  
**North End Way**  
**London NW3 7EU**



## Executive Summary

---

This noise impact assessment has been undertaken in order to assess a proposed plant installation for residential use at 29 Gainsborough House, Frogna Rise, London.

The proposed plant installation comprises 2 No. Mitsubishi PUMY-SP140VKM Heat Pump Units.

A background noise survey has been undertaken as detailed in the report, in order to determine an appropriate noise emission criterion, in accordance with the requirements of London Borough of Camden.

Calculations were undertaken for the nearest identified receiver, identified as the neighbouring residential flat directly below the plant installation. It should be noted that if there are closer receivers that Clement Acoustics is not aware of, a reassessment will be necessary, and this should therefore be confirmed by the Client.

It has been demonstrated that compliance with the established criterion is feasible, dependant on the following material considerations:

- The plant could be in use at any time over a 24 hour period
- The noise emissions data for the proposed units as obtained from available manufacturer information
- Plant and receiver locations are as established in this report and marked on the attached site plan
- Mitigation is applied as recommended in this report, in the form of an acoustic enclosure

If there is any deviation from the above, Clement Acoustics must be informed, in order to establish whether a reassessment is necessary.

Clement Acoustics has used all reasonable skill and professional judgement when preparing this report. The report relies on the information as provided to us at the time of writing and the assumptions as made in our assessment.

This report is designed to be suitable to discharge typical plant noise planning conditions, as per our original scope of work. The report should not be relied upon for further reasons, such as the detailed design of mitigation measures.

## Contents

---

1.0	INTRODUCTION .....	1
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION .....	1
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY .....	2
3.1	Unattended Noise Survey Procedure .....	2
3.2	Weather Conditions .....	2
3.3	Equipment .....	3
4.0	RESULTS .....	3
4.1	Unattended Noise Survey Results .....	3
5.0	NOISE CRITERIA .....	4
5.1	Relevant Local Policy .....	4
5.2	Local Authority Criteria .....	4
6.0	PLANT NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT .....	5
6.1	Proposed Installation .....	5
6.2	Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	5
6.3	Noise Impact Assessment.....	6
6.4	British Standard Requirements .....	6
7.0	CONCLUSION .....	7

## List of Attachments

---

17520-SP1	Indicative Site Plan
17520-TH1	Environmental Noise Time History
Appendix A	Glossary of Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Acoustic Calculations

Document Revision	Date of Revision	Reasons for Revision	Revision By
0	27/07/2022	First Issue	Matt Markwick MIOA
RevA	27/07/22	Minor amendments to wording in Sec 6	Matt Markwick MIOA

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

---

Clement Acoustics has been commissioned by Suzette Shamoon to measure existing background noise levels at 29 Gainsborough House, Frogнал Rise. Measured noise levels have been used to determine noise emissions criteria for a proposed plant installation in agreement with the planning requirements of the London Borough of Camden.

This report presents the results of the environmental survey followed by noise impact calculations and outlines any necessary mitigation measures.

An acoustic terminology glossary is provided in Appendix A.

## 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

---

The property consists of a split level penthouse apartment within the larger Gainsborough House, a block of flats within the Mont Vernon private estate. The site is bound by Frogнал Rise directly to the east, Frogнал to the west and Mount Vernon to the south. The surrounding area is predominantly residential in nature.

Current proposals are to install 2 No. Mitsubishi PUMY-SP140VKM Heat Pump units, to provide climate control for the flat. It is proposed that the units will be located separately on each of two roof terraces off the living room of the property, as shown on indicative site plan 17520-SP1

The residential flat directly below the property has been identified as the nearest affected receiver. This nearest noise sensitive receiver was identified through observations on-site. If there are any receivers closer to that identified within this report then a further assessment will need to be carried out. Therefore, the closest noise sensitive receiver should be confirmed by the client before the plant is installed or any noise mitigation measures are implemented.

Locations are shown in attached site plan 17520-SP1.

### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY

---

#### 3.1 Unattended Noise Survey Procedure

Measurements were undertaken at one position as shown on indicative site drawing 17540-SP1. The choice of this position was based both on accessibility and on collecting representative noise data in relation to the nearest affected receiver.

The surroundings and position used for the monitoring location are described in Table 3.1.

Position No.	Description
1	The microphone was mounted on a 6 <sup>th</sup> story balcony / flat roof at the front of the building. The microphone was positioned 4 m from all reflective surfaces. <sup>[1]</sup>

**Table 3.1: Description of unattended monitoring locations**

**Note [1]:** The position was considered to be free-field according to guidance found in BS 4142: 2014, and a correction for reflections has therefore not been applied.

Continuous automated monitoring was undertaken for the duration of the survey between 14:30 on 20 July 2022 and 08:30 on 22 July 2022.

The measurement procedure generally complied with BS 7445: 1991: '*Description and measurement of environmental noise, Part 2- Acquisition of data pertinent to land use*'.

The locations of the measurement positions are shown on attached site plan 17520-SP1.

#### 3.2 Weather Conditions

At the time of set-up and collection of the monitoring equipment and during the attended measurements, the weather conditions were dry with light winds. It is understood that the weather conditions during the unattended survey remained dry and sunny with light winds.

It is considered that the weather conditions did not significantly adversely affect the measurements and are therefore considered suitable for the measurement of environmental noise.

### 3.3 Equipment

The equipment calibration was verified, by means of a field verification check, before and after use and no abnormalities were observed.

The equipment used was as follows.

- 1 No. Svantek Type 958 Class 1 Sound Level Meter
- Rion Type NC-74 Class 1 Calibrator

## 4.0 RESULTS

---

### 4.1 Unattended Noise Survey Results

The  $L_{Aeq:5min}$ ,  $L_{Amax:5min}$ ,  $L_{A10:5min}$  and  $L_{A90:5min}$  acoustic parameters were measured at the location shown in site drawing 17520-SP1.

Measured noise levels are shown as a time history in Figure 17520-TH1, with average ambient and typical background noise levels summarised in Table 4.1.

Time Period	Average ambient noise level	Typical background noise level
	$L_{eq:T}$	$L_{90:5min}$
Daytime (07:00 - 23:00)	50 dB(A)	42 dB(A)
Night-time (23:00 - 07:00)	44 dB(A)	38 dB(A)

**Table 4.1: Average ambient and typical background noise levels**

## 5.0 NOISE CRITERIA

---

### 5.1 Relevant Local Policy

The assessment and recommendations in this report have been undertaken in accordance with Policy D14 of the London Plan 2021, which contains the following relevant sections:

*“D14. In order to reduce, manage and mitigate noise to improve health and quality of life, residential and other non-aviation development proposals should manage noise by:*

*5) mitigating and minimising the existing and potential adverse impacts of noise on, from, within, as a result of, or in the vicinity of new development without placing unreasonable restrictions on existing noise-generating uses”.*

### 5.2 Local Authority Criteria

In this instance, the *Local Authority* criteria for noise emissions are as follows:

*“The ‘A’ weighted sound pressure level from the plant, when operating at its noisiest, shall not at any time exceed a value of 10 dB below the external background noise, at a point 1 metre outside any window of any residential property.”*

It is understood that the proposed plant unit(s) will be for residential use, operational at any time.

Based on the results of the environmental noise survey and requirements of *the Local Authority*, Table 5.1 presents the proposed plant noise emission criteria to be achieved at 1 m from the nearest noise sensitive receiver:

Period	Plant Noise Emission Limit $L_{eq,T}$
24 Hours	24 dB(A)

## 6.0 PLANT NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 6.1 Proposed Installation

The proposed plant installation comprises 2 No. Mitsubishi PUMY-SP140VKM Heat Pump Units.

Noise emissions for the proposed plant units, as provided by the manufacturer, are shown in Table 6.1. Loudest modes of operation have been used in order to present a robust worst-case assessment.

Plant Unit	Sound Pressure Levels (at 1 meter, dB) in each Frequency Band								dB(A)
	63Hz	125Hz	250Hz	500Hz	1kHz	2kHz	4kHz	8kHz	
Mitsubishi PUMY-SP140VKM	62	58	56	53	52	46	41	34	56

**Table 6.1: Manufacturer provided noise emissions levels**

The proposed plant location is on two terrace / balconies, (one unit to be placed on each) which is shown on indicative site plan 17520-SP1.

### 6.2 Proposed Mitigation Measures

In order to meet the proposed criteria stated in Section 5.0, it is recommended that an enclosure is installed around the plant. The enclosure will be required to provide sufficient attenuation to achieve a maximum sound pressure level of 49 dB(A) when measured at 1 m in all directions.

Based on the information provided, an enclosure meeting the sound reduction indices as stated in Table 6.2 would be suitable to achieve this. A typical acoustic enclosure formed from min 50 mm acoustic panels, vented via (approx.) 270 mm acoustic louvres would be expected to offer an appropriate level of attenuation (**exact dimensions / specifications should confirmed by the supplier**).

Mitigation	Required Attenuation (dB) in each Frequency Band							
	63Hz	125Hz	250Hz	500Hz	1kHz	2kHz	4kHz	8kHz
Louvred Enclosure	4	5	6	8	11	14	8	1

**Table 6.2: Required attenuation from mitigation**



It is further recommended that plant be installed on suitable anti – vibration mountings as per manufacturer’s installation guidance.

### 6.3 Noise Impact Assessment

The closest receiver has been identified as the window on the residential property directly below the duplex flat (two floors below the plant location) which is a minimum of 10 m from the proposed plant location. To present a robust assessment the receiver has been assumed to be equidistant from the two plant locations and a cumulative level has been calculated.

A degree of screening of the nearest noise sensitive receptor is provided by the building envelope.

Taking into account all necessary acoustic corrections, the resulting noise level at the identified residential windows would be as shown in Table 6.3. Detailed calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Receiver	24 Hours Criterion	Noise Level at Receiver (due to proposed plant)
Nearest Residential Property	28 dB(A)	28 dB(A)

**Table 6.3: Noise levels and project criterion at noise sensitive receivers**

As presented in Table 6.3 and Appendix B, the proposed plant installation with acoustic enclosure would be expected to meet the requirements of the proposed criteria.

### 6.4 British Standard Requirements

Further calculations have been undertaken to assess whether the noise emissions from the proposed plant unit would be expected to meet recognised British Standard recommendations, in order to further ensure the amenity of nearby noise sensitive receivers.

British Standard 8233: 2014 ‘*Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings*’ gives recommendations for acceptable internal noise levels in residential properties. Assuming worst case conditions, of the closest window being for a bedroom, BS 8233: 2014 recommends 30 dB(A) as being acceptable internal sleeping conditions during night-time.

With loudest external levels of 28 dB(A), acceptable internal conditions would be met without taking the attenuation of the window itself into consideration. According to BS 8233: 2014, a typical building facade with a partially open window offers 15 dB attenuation.

It can therefore be predicted that, in addition to meeting the requirements of the set criteria, the emissions from the proposed plant would be expected to meet the most stringent recommendations of the relevant British Standard, with neighbouring windows partially open. Predicted levels are shown in Table 6.4.

Receiver	Recommended Target – <i>For sleeping conditions in a bedroom, in BS 8233: 2014</i>	Noise Level at Receiver (due to plant installation)
Inside Residential Window	30 dB(A)	13 dB(A)




**Table 6.4: Noise levels and BS 8233: 2014 criteria inside nearest residential space**

## 7.0 CONCLUSION

An environmental noise survey has been undertaken at 29 Gainsborough House, Froggnal Rise, London. The results of the survey have enabled criteria to be set for noise emissions from the proposed plant in accordance with the requirements of the London Borough of Camden.

A noise impact assessment has then been undertaken using manufacturer noise data to predict the noise levels, due to the proposed plant, at the nearby noise sensitive receivers.

Calculations show that noise emissions from the proposed units should meet the requirements of the Local Authority with the recommended mitigation installed as stated herein.

<b>Author</b>	<b>Matthew Markwick</b> Principal Consultant BSc (Hons) MSc MIOA		27 July 2022
<b>Reviewed</b>	<b>Andrew Thomas</b> Principal Consultant BSc (Hons) MIOA		27 July 2022
<b>Approved</b>	<b>John Smethurst</b> Director BSc (Hons) MIOA		27 July 2022



17520-SP1 Indicative site plan indicating noise monitoring position and nearest noise sensitive receiver

Date: 27 July 2022




# 29 Gainsborough House, Frognal Rise



## Position 1

Environmental Noise Time History  
20 July 2022 to 22 July 2022



## GLOSSARY OF ACOUSTIC TERMINOLOGY

### **dB(A)**

The human ear is less sensitive to low (below 125Hz) and high (above 16kHz) frequency sounds. A sound level meter duplicates the ear's variable sensitivity to sound of different frequencies. This is achieved by building a filter into the instrument with a similar frequency response to that of the ear. This is called an A-weighting filter. Measurements of sound made with this filter are called A-weighted sound level measurements and the unit is dB(A).

### **L<sub>eq</sub>**

The sound from noise sources often fluctuates widely during a given period of time. An average value can be measured, the equivalent sound pressure level L<sub>eq</sub>. The L<sub>eq</sub> is the equivalent sound level which would deliver the same sound energy as the actual fluctuating sound measured in the same time period.

### **L<sub>10</sub>**

This is the level exceeded for not more than 10% of the time. This parameter is often used as a "not to exceed" criterion for noise

### **L<sub>90</sub>**

This is the level exceeded for not more than 90% of the time. This parameter is often used as a descriptor of "background noise" for environmental impact studies.

### **L<sub>max</sub>**

This is the maximum sound pressure level that has been measured over a period.

### **Octave Bands**

In order to completely determine the composition of a sound it is necessary to determine the sound level at each frequency individually. Usually, values are stated in octave bands. The audible frequency region is divided into 10 such octave bands whose centre frequencies are defined in accordance with international standards.

### **Addition of noise from several sources**

Noise from different sound sources combines to produce a sound level higher than that from any individual source. Two equally intense sound sources operating together produce a sound level which is 3dB higher than one alone and 10 sources produce a 10 dB higher sound level.

### Attenuation by distance

Sound which propagates from a point source in free air attenuates by 6dB for each doubling of distance from the noise source. Sound energy from line sources (e.g. stream of cars) drops off by 3 dB for each doubling of distance.

### Subjective impression of noise

Sound intensity is not perceived directly at the ear; rather it is transferred by the complex hearing mechanism to the brain where acoustic sensations can be interpreted as loudness. This makes hearing perception highly individualised. Sensitivity to noise also depends on frequency content, time of occurrence, duration of sound and psychological factors such as emotion and expectations. The following table is a reasonable guide to help explain increases or decreases in sound levels for many acoustic scenarios.

Change in sound level (dB)	Change in perceived loudness
1	Imperceptible
3	Just barely perceptible
6	Clearly noticeable
10	About twice as loud
20	About 4 times as loud

### Barriers

Outdoor barriers can be used to reduce environmental noises, such as traffic noise. The effectiveness of barriers is dependent on factors such as its distance from the noise source and the receiver, its height and its construction.

### Reverberation control

When sound falls on the surfaces of a room, part of its energy is absorbed and part is reflected back into the room. The amount of reflected sound defines the reverberation of a room, a characteristic that is critical for spaces of different uses as it can affect the quality of audio signals such as speech or music. Excess reverberation in a room can be controlled by the effective use of sound-absorbing treatment on the surfaces, such as fibrous ceiling boards, curtains and carpets.

## APPENDIX B

17520

29 Gainsborough House

### EXTERNAL PLANT NOISE EMISSIONS CALCULATION

Receiver: Nearest Residential Receiver

Source: Proposed plant installation

	Frequency, Hz								dB(A)
	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k	
<b>Manufacturer provided sound pressure level at 1 metre</b>									
Mitsubishi PUMY-SP140VKM	62	58	56	53	52	46	41	34	56
Correction for number of units (2No.)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Correction for reflections, dB	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Correction for screening offered by building envelope	-4	-5	-7	-9	-11	-14	-17	-21	
Reduction required from acoustic enclosure, dB	-4	-5	-6	-8	-11	-14	-8	-1	
Distance correction to receiver, dB (10 m) <sup>[1]</sup>	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20	
<b>Sound pressure level at receiver</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>28</b>

[1] Distance loss calculated assuming Point Source attenuation (typically used where distance is more than 3x the largest source dimension)

<b>Design Criterion</b>	<b>28</b>
-------------------------	-----------

### BS 8233 ASSESSMENT CALCULATION

Receiver: Inside Nearest Residential Window

Source: Proposed plant installation

	Frequency, Hz								dB(A)
	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k	
Sound pressure level outside window	44	38	33	26	19	7	5	2	28
Minimum attenuation from partially open window, dB	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	
<b>Sound pressure level inside nearest noise sensitive premises</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-8</b>	<b>-10</b>	<b>-14</b>	<b>13</b>

<b>Design Criterion</b>	<b>30</b>
-------------------------	-----------