Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date: 21/07/2022		022		
	N/A	/ attach		Consul Expiry				
Officer			Application Nu	mber(s				
Nick Bell			2022/2504/T	2022/2504/1				
Application Address			Drawing Numb	ers				
32 Maresfield Gardens London NW3 5SX								
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature C	&UD	Authorised Off	icer Sig	gnature			
Proposal(s)								
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Ash (T1) - Fell to ground level and poison stump.								
Recommendation(s):	No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a commendation(s):							
Application Type:	Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:								
Consultations								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	04	No. of responses No. electronic	01	No. of	objections	01	
	The council received one consultation response, summerised below.							
Summary of consultation responses:			·					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	 The Belsize Society submitted the following objection: For the Belsize Society: T1 is a tall, well-shaped ash tree, which is visible from Nutley Terrace and contributes to the streetscape and therefore has some amenity value. Trees are particularly important in preserving the leafy character of the Netherhall Neighbourhood and should only be removed as a last resort. The ash tree may benefit from pruning but there would appear to be no good reason to fell the tree. 							

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the removal of an ash tree from the side garden of a residential property that is situated within the Fitzjohns Netherhall Conservation Area.

The tree is highly visible from the public realm and does contribute to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

The ash tree proposed for removal is semi-mature and is far from achieving its ultimate size and form. The tree is highly likely to be self-set which does not automatically devalue the tree but in this instance has resulted in it growing in a position in which it is not afforded the space it requires to achieve its potential. If retained the tree would be under extreme regular pruning pressure; given its young age and relative small size this is not considered sustainable. The future amenity potential of the tree is limited given its species and proximity to structures

It is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to object to the works.

The council does not object to the proposed works.