Delegated Repo		port	Analysis sheet		Expiry Date: 19/04/202 Consultation Expiry Date:			
			I/A / attached					
Officer				Application N				
Nick Bell				2022/0904/T				
Application A	Address			Drawing Num	bers			
59 Netherhall London NW3 5RE				J				
PO 3/4	Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signature			
		3			3			
Proposal(s)								
REAR GARD overhanging r	emoved.	, ,	•	d near side bacl ary with number		its. Only material		
			ction to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a ation area.					
Application Type:		Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area						

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:									
Consultations									
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	08	No. of responses	02	No. of objections	02			
Summary of consultation responses:	 I am an o owner of are situated back gard Netherha all pollard attention acontributed reduction that the abranches causing a agreed will Intended need for the On behalf object to a contribute reduction. On behalf object to a contribute reduction that the abranches causing a agreed will Intended need for the object to a contribute reduction of the properties. The properties are already reduction of the properties are Netherhal between the contribute of the properties are needed. 	wner of the land ed. Special Gard led three at this end in heigh policary on the land works the land ed. 4/P (deep led the two proper ed. Special works the land ed. 4/P (deep led the two proper ed. Special works the two proper ed.	No. electronic vo consultation responsification of Flat 3 59 Netherhall don which the trees, ecifically, many of the are on land I own with ens. I object to the Interest ago and requition. They are health by to the surrounding explication of 59.5 wishes to be a such should be sowners of the trees application. Subject the ended Works. Freeholders of 59 Netherlands to trees are clear emolition of existing healthing). A number of red objections to these to been reached yet by ged by the freeholders and they provide a adjacent properties. They in order to carry out the surrounding the s	Garde the sul trees of others tended uire no y and te environ f any of es to cu ere with specifie should o that, etherha es with ly part ouse a esident common comm	bject of the application question are in my sthat serves 59. Works. The trees we further care or beautiful trees and as. I object to any of the trees. To the eat back any overhand that property and a d. That specification be the subject of arthere is no independent of Planning Application of Planning Application dependent of Planning Application of Planning Application dependent of Planning Application of Planning Application dependent of Planning Application of Planning Applicatio	on y y y y ere xtent ging are n- if n dent to tion ew a sting			
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None received.								

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the pruning of seven lime trees and four sycamore trees that are in the rear garden of residential property that is situated with the Fitzjohns Netherhall Conservation Area. The notification relates to material overhanging a neighbouring property.

The trees is question are of low visibility from the public realm and have been pruned historically. The proposed works are not considered to be harmful to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

Under common law a land owner has the right to prune back any overhanging vegetation without the consent of the owner. Whether or not the proposed works are part of a planning application is not material to the decision making process.

It is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to object to the works.

The council does not object to the proposed works.