

CORRECTION! Planning application 2022/1874P

To: Camden Planning Department

From: Kathleen Northrop, 13 Dartmouth Park Avenue, London NW5 1JL

Please ignore my previous email sent on 17 July at 16:54. I have corrected my objection to Planning Application 2022/1874P in respect of 33 Dartmouth Park Avenue NW5 1JL and I would like to submit the revised version below.

As previously I would ask that my personal details be redacted.

My Objection (Revised Version)

We have seen the intended plans and object to the revised application under which it is proposed that a side building with windows on the boundary to the adjoining property (and which overlooks it) be added. This proposed addition extends out by 4m and to a height of 6.5m.

We note that the submitted drawings are incorrect in that they suggest that there is no building next to this which will be effected when in fact there is. This is surprising, given that the owners seem to be interior designers.

Moreover, the multiple application process that has been employed here might also have the effect of blurring the boundary between what is existing and what is being proposed. Note particularly the claimed hight of the existing party wall.

We also note that we had no idea any planning applications had been made until recently. The current practice is of not notify neighbours and relying instead on the posting of signs on lampposts etc. We have not seen any such signs regarding no 33 apart from the present one, despite walking past the house daily and this therefore could explain the absence of objections to previously submitted applications.

There is a growing trend of planning applications being made for ever more ambitious remodelling schemes in this area and they seem to pay little heed to the effect on neighbours. It is obvious from the plans that No. 33 is no exception and clearly No. 33A, in particular will suffer from loss of light and amenity. More widely however, the fabric of the area is being adversely effected as it's character and strong tradition of community are being undermined.

We ourselves have suffered greatly for the last six years from the consequences of works being carried out to neighbouring properties, both with permission (raised,

outsized patios which dominate and ruin our enjoyment of our own outdoor space) and without it (the undisclosed digging of a deep 1.5m trench alongside the party wall, which has caused damage and remains unresolved). We are left with an ongoing battle which has caused us a lot of stress.

Even when they are made aware of applications, most are not expert enough to understand or interpret architects drawings or their consequences sufficiently and we strongly believe that, while this may not necessarily apply to the owners of No. 33, at present the planning process is open to abuse, with residents put at a severe disadvantage. Their simple concerns are disregarded and the subsequent effect on lives treated as irrelevant. This of course is not to say that we are in no way opposed to development when it is reasonable and considerate.

We would therefore ask that the proposed works be limited to those which were the subject of the original permissions which were themselves gained without an effective notification process having been applied.