

CONSULTATION SUMMARY

Case reference number(s)

2022/1559/P

Case Officer:

Adam Greenhalgh

Application Address:

Anglo-Scottish
100 Chalk Farm Road
Chalk Farm
London
Camden
NW1 8EH

Proposal(s)

Installation of 1 no. 7m trisector antenna and 1 no. GPS node alongside the removal of the existing 5m trisector antenna and any ancillary development thereto.

Representations

	No. notified	0	No. of responses	1	No. of objections	1
Consultations:						

Summary of representations	<p>Site notice displayed 20/05/2022 to 13/06/2022</p> <p>Press advertisement publicised 26/05/2022 (Expiry 19/06/2022)</p> <p>A letter has been received on behalf of One Housing (1H). Objections raised relate to:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Harm to standards of Regent Canal Conservation Area and the setting and appearance of neighbouring Listed buildings.2. Refusal of previous planning application (2016/6215/P) for 'Increase in the height of the existing flagpole antenna, new flagpole antenna, and associated equipment cabinets all at roof level'3. No consideration of alternative, more appropriate sites (which would not involve heritage assets)4. Proposal should be considered alone on its own merits and not on the
-----------------------------------	---

basis of the existing situation.

5. Lack of heritage statement/assessment

Officer response:

1. *Considering the proposed replacement antenna in relation to the existing, lawful antenna and considering the significance of the Conservation Area and neighbouring Listed buildings and due to the context of the building, the location of the antenna and height of the building, it would not cause any harm to the character and appearance of the area and the setting of the Listed buildings. There would be no loss of heritage value at the site, in the streetscene, the wider conservation area nor at any Listed buildings or from any surrounding public views.*
2. *The proposal differs from the proposal under 2016/6215/P because it is for the replacement of an existing, approved antenna (and ancillary equipment) and not for a new antenna in a new location. Two antennae were proposed under 2016/6215/P (including a new antenna in a new location and additional equipment). This is not the case for the current application which proposes a reduction in the amount which is being proposed and seeks to replace an existing, approved antenna in its current location.*
3. *The proposal is for the replacement of existing telecommunications equipment and it is not considered to cause any harm to any planning interests. As a proposal to replace existing equipment at an existing base it complies with para 115 of the NPPF and as it does not cause any harm to any planning interests it is not considered that consideration of alternative sites is necessary in this instance.*
4. *The existing telecommunications equipment which is proposed to be replaced is lawful and it is therefore a material consideration in the assessment of the proposal. It is reasonable to consider the appearance of the existing antenna and equipment when assessing the current proposal.*
5. *The Planning Statement submitted with the application refers to the relevant design and heritage planning policies and sufficient evidence/information has been submitted to enable Officers to conclude that there would be no undue harm to the significance of any heritage assets.*

Recommendation:-

Grant planning permission

