

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2022/1739/P	Konstantinos Mantzoukis	03/07/2022 23:59:09	OBJ	<p>Excessively large rear extension; excessively high (3.7 m) on the boundary wall; loss of view to existing green space. The application states that the extension is compatible to an already existing extension of the 35 Downside Crescent building but this is inaccurate as the building they are referring to is an independent building namely "Lawn Road Cottage" and in any case the total height of the rear wall is far lower than the height proposed for the 33 Downside Crescent rear extension. Overall the proposed extension will result to the devaluation of the adjacent 35a Downside Crescent property.</p>
2022/1739/P	Victor	02/07/2022 18:26:30	OBJ	<p>Hello, I am a freeholder of the First Floor Flat 35 downside crescent which is adjacent to 33 and I would like to have this application fully reviewed by our surveyor first as we did not receive any notice until only a week ago.</p> <p>It is the concern of freeholders of 35 downside crescent that any structural amendments along with our and the neighbouring building could further destabilize the foundation of the building as our ground floor flat has been subject to subsidence damages before.</p>