Fleet House, 8-12 New Bridge Street, London EC4V 6AL Telephone +44 (0)20 7583 8338 Direct Line +44 (0)20 7583 0066 Fax +44 (0)20 7583 5600 Mobile 07860 334400 Email dc@d-cooper.com

Date 1st July 2022

Camden Council Planning 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG



Sent by email only: Elaine.Quigley@camden.gov.uk

Your Ref: Our Ref:

Dear Sirs

Re: Flat 3, 37 Platt's Lane, London, NW3 7NN - (2022/1899/P)

I am writing on behalf of my client, Victoria Barclay, the resident freeholder of 37 Platt's Lane, NW3.

As per my client's submitted objection and I believe c13 additional neighbour objections as well as 2 previous neighbours who still hold strong connections to the area, I reiterate the following:

- 1. Intrusive breach of privacy due to overlooking of flats 1&2, including a diminishment of their respective light.
- 2. View of garden from my client's flat (4) ruined by overdevelopment of the proposed terrace extension.
- 3. Extension highly visible from Briardale Gardens and 3 neighbouring properties
- 4. Extension highly visible from 3 neighbouring properties in Platt's Lane
- 5. Extension would also be seen by 4 neighbouring properties in Clorane Gardens.

This is clearly an ill-thought-out proposal, which is overbearing to its immediate neighbours in No 37 and also to neighbouring properties. It pays no heedance to the local design vernacular, nor the local conservation area principles and blights those living in the same building.

Moreover, the proposals ignores the conclusions of the recent- October 2020 dismissed appeal by the applicant (APP/X5210/W/20/3249286); namely that "...the bulk and prominence of a the proposed extension would represent a worsening of this situation rather than an improvement..." and nor does the applicant now provide the public benefits required in the dismissed appeal: "no public benefits arising from the scheme to weigh against this harm have been identified."

This revised proposal is clearly still over development, to the detriment of the immediate neighbours and locals surrounding the site and it intrudes into their privacy and would have a material negative effect on the character of No 37, thereby creating a high degree of harm to the Redington/ Frognal Conservation Area.



Continued 2

I urge you to turn down this inappropriate development.

Yours Sincerely

David Cooper