| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Printed on: 24/06/2022 09:10:06 Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/0694/P | Justin Cole | 22/06/2022 17:09:33 | OBJ | I am a Circuit Judge but write this objection entirely in a personal capacity as a freeholder of one of the Orestes Mews houses. It would be wrong however if I tried to conceal my knowledge of the law. |
| | | | | Putting a gate in rear fence to allow access to the private road that is Orestes Mews NW6 1AP is unlawful , and would amount to a trespass. |
| | | | | The road is co owned by the freeholders of Orestes Mews, and is not a public highway maintained by Camden. There may be an historic right of way to allow the carpet shop which backs onto the mews to accept deliveries, essential to their survival as a business, but this is a world way to the creation of a new right of way for pedestrians from Mill Lane properties. Allowing such would be unlawful trespass, quite apart from planning issues within your discretion, and the 6 householders of Orestes Mews who are all extremely concerned about this would take immediate legal action against the local authority and the owner of the building without hesitation |
| 2022/0694/P | Justin Cole | 22/06/2022 17:09:38 | OBJ | I am a Circuit Judge but write this objection entirely in a personal capacity as a freeholder of one of the Orestes Mews houses. It would be wrong however if I tried to conceal my knowledge of the law. |
| | | | | Putting a gate in rear fence to allow access to the private road that is Orestes Mews NW6 1AP is unlawful , and would amount to a trespass. |
| | | | | The road is co owned by the freeholders of Orestes Mews, and is not a public highway maintained by Camden. There may be an historic right of way to allow the carpet shop which backs onto the mews to accept deliveries, essential to their survival as a business, but this is a world way to the creation of a new right of way for pedestrians from Mill Lane properties. |
| | | | | Allowing such would be unlawful trespass, quite apart from planning issues within your discretion, and the 6 householders of Orestes Mews who are all extremely concerned about this would take immediate legal action against the local authority and the owner of the building without hesitation |
| 2022/0694/P | Justin Cole | 22/06/2022 17:09:44 | OBJ | I am a Circuit Judge but write this objection entirely in a personal capacity as a freeholder of one of the Orestes Mews houses. It would be wrong however if I tried to conceal my knowledge of the law. |
| | | | | Putting a gate in rear fence to allow access to the private road that is Orestes Mews NW6 1AP is unlawful, and would amount to a trespass. |
| | | | | The road is co owned by the freeholders of Orestes Mews, and is not a public highway maintained by Camden. There may be an historic right of way to allow the carpet shop which backs onto the mews to accept deliveries, essential to their survival as a business, but this is a world way to the creation of a new right of way for pedestrians from Mill Lane properties. Allowing such would be unlawful trespass, quite apart from planning issues within your discretion, and the 6 householders of Orestes Mews who are all extremely concerned about this would take immediate legal action against the local authority and the owner of the building without hesitation |