

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Deba Mithal      | 20/06/2022 17:58:40 | OBJ      | <p>Heavy trucks down quiet residential streets for several years<br/>                     No consideration to the disruption of the surrounding businesses AND residents<br/>                     Would it not be simpler to access from Guildford street - which is a much wider street with fewer residential properties<br/>                     GOSH has - as usual not properly consulted with residents in the area<br/>                     Impact to the Neurology Hospital<br/>                     A much simpler Archway has been proposed that would cause significantly less disruption to businesses and residents alike<br/>                     Outdoor seating of local businesses also disrupted at a time when they are just trying to recover from the pandemic<br/>                     A huge increase in the height of the building<br/>                     Danger to the historic houses opposite the site</p> |

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jordi Canela     | 20/06/2022 00:33:21 | OBJ      | <p>As a resident in the area I want to state my firm opposition to the GOSH redevelopment, which I believe is a terrible idea and has got no consideration for the permanent stress it will put on the local residents once it has been built, not to mention the massive disruption of normal life that the construction works would produce on the families for years.</p> <p>I will state that locating a massive hospital in such a central area surrounded by narrow streets in a congested city goes against all planning logic, deeming the project a trap for the users and the local community.</p> <p>- Concerns for the local community</p> <p>A)The street and its surroundings are already an expensive postcode for accommodation and a massive influx of visitors will only make the problem worse, raising rent prices and potentially reshaping the use of residential buildings in the area to take profit from the massive flux of hospital users: short term accommodation rental through AirBnB or similar services would be profitable for landlords while at the same time push out of the area the current community.</p> <p>B) An increased traffic of ambulances and families' vehicles will increase the congestion on the surrounding streets making transport much more difficult for residents and users alike.</p> <p>C)The extra traffic will also come with more pollution which we are constantly battling against and is a major health concern for the residents. As part of the health care system the hospital's organisation should be as focused on providing pre-emptive measures to illnesses as much as curing them, if not more. It would be ironic that the hospital would become a health hazard by being placed in a congested location with narrow streets that will inevitably bring down the air quality in the area.</p> <p>D) The extra noise coming from traffic, ambulances, and extra numbers of people will also affect the quality life of current residents.</p> <p>E) The dangers of leaks of different types of radiation produced by treatment machines caused by accident is also a worry. The hazardous materials used by hospitals that need special handling (from radioactive materials to liquid hydrogen) are also a matter of worry and concern.</p> <p>- Concerns for the users and their families</p> <p>To the users of the hospital and to their carers and families there is no clear benefit of the hospital being in such a central area, but many downsides can be drawn from it:</p> <p>A) Access to the area is expensive. Being inside the Ultra Low Emission Zone will cause a massive penalty to the families with less means, who would be unable to upgrade to an electric vehicle, or that will have to pay for expensive transport when moving in and out of the hospital as they take turns to stay with the young patients. In the same way the Congestion Charge will have to be paid by visitors. All this will be making it more difficult for patients to keep some normality by maintaining their social connections.</p> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

B) The area is expensive for accommodation and so are the amenities. A more suitable location for the hospital would include areas where the extended family of patients can find cheap temporary lodging and don't turn their visit as a carer to an expensive unwanted holiday.

C)The extension in the current GOSH site will disrupt the care of patients for years, putting pressure on other hospitals during the building works as patients are deferred to other hospitals which are already under pressure and most likely lack the expertise of the current GOSH.

- The benefits of creating a completely new building

There are multiple benefits of building a new hospital in a location outside of the centre of town and perhaps nearer airports or transport hubs:

A) Building a completely new building in a different area will provide even more beds for patients and will cover more areas, spreading the benefits and reducing the stress of concentrating all visits in central London.

B)Building a new hospital while keeping the current one would ensure the continuation of care while the new building works take place.

C) Building an extra hospital in a new location will provide economical development to that area, whereas I can only see the opposite in our congested and already highly competitive zone.

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jessica Norton   | 20/06/2022 17:58:46 | OBJ      | <p>I have only just learned of the works that will be carried out at Great Ormond Street Hospital, and have several objections. I would have responded earlier, but I have not seen notifications regarding these arrangements on the surrounding streets, so have been unaware of what has been going on.</p> <p>I have lived in the area for 17 years, know many of the local businesses and residents, and frequent the bars, restaurants, cafes as a part of daily life.</p> <p>I have seen the planned convoy route, and it seems to snake around the, not at all easy to negotiate, streets of lambs conduit street, great ormond street and boswell street. With the volume of expected heavy good vehicles, this will seriously threaten the bars and restaurants along the route (especially those with outdoor spaces, such as Ciao Bella, the Lamb pub, Tuttis cafe and the Perseverance). The pollution, noise and congestion will make this, previously desire-able and lively area, into an unpleasant, more polluted, noisy space that noone will want to visit.</p> <p>I am also hugely concerned about the road safety aspect of this route, given that the roads are narrow and tricky, and the convoy will travel along a school route, and past hospital buildings. It is inevitable that there will be road traffic incidents involving either pedestrians or cyclists if this is to continue for 5 years.</p> <p>I strongly object to the planned route, and believe that an alternative has been suggested and thrown out without any real thought. This needs to be considered again, urgently.</p> <p>As well as the construction vehicles issue, I have seen the scale of the new development in images this morning, and am aware that this will completely block the light reaching my friends and colleagues on Great Ormond Street (as well as being completely out of keeping with the surrounding buildings and history). This is a special area, that needs to be preserved as such, and such a vast building blocking up all access to light in the middle of the street is unacceptable.</p> <p>Many Thanks</p> <p>Jessica</p> |

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jonathan Unwin   | 20/06/2022 12:51:52 | OBJ      | <p><b>WARNING: VAULTS UNDER BOSWELL STREET!!</b></p> <p>I am writing on behalf of Bevan Hose Management Company, the freehold owner of Bevan House, Boswell Street, and representing the 33 leaseholders and tenants of that address.</p> <p>We have grave concerns about this application, regarding the proposed design, scale and demolition/construction methodology.</p> <p>The scale of the proposed new building is well out of proportion with and entirely unsympathetic to its historic environs in Bloomsbury. This will have a negative impact on the character of this most 'human-scaled', inner-city neighbourhood; Prince Charles' phrase 'monstrous carbuncle' might easily apply here. Furthermore, such a large structure will inevitably severely negatively impact levels of light available to nearby businesses and homes, denying them their right to light and turning this relatively narrow street into a gloomy canyon.</p> <p>Of major concern to those on Boswell Street are the plans to direct the heavy demolition and construction trucks southwards down Boswell Street. This is a narrow mixed residential/business street with many properties occupying both ground and basement levels. These will be severely affected by the noise, vibration and pollution generated by the dozens of proposed truck journeys, lasting for months, if not years. Moreover, as well as being an environmental hazard, these trucks pose a physical risk to the many pedestrians who use this street.</p> <p>Finally, at Bevan House, we are particularly concerned about the physical damage that these trucks might inflict on the five brick arched vaults that run from the lightwell of the Bevan House several feet out under the road. While we have recently reinforced these vaults to withstand 'normal' traffic, there is no guarantee that they can bear the repeated passage of inordinate ultra-heavy trucks. We are currently seeking advice from independent structural engineers and lawyers with regard to this, and where liability for any damage to property or vehicle may lie.</p> <p>If enacted, the Council's current proposals to narrow the pavements on the west side of Boswell Street, would not only make a narrow pavement narrower still, and therefore an increased hazard to pedestrians, particularly those in wheelchairs or with buggies (and with the numerous hospitals just at the end of the road there are many of these), but also pushing the road closer to Boswell House will only push the trucks further over these Georgian brick vaults, and increase risk of their collapse.</p> <p>In conclusion, we would therefore put in a plea for the current proposals to be rejected and no permission given, unless the height of the new structure is significantly reduced, and an alternative route for the trucks be identified and used. (It is understood that, with a little remodelling, there is such an alternative route via Powis Place.)</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Daron DSouza     | 20/06/2022 19:00:05 | OBJ      | <p>Regarding application 2022/2255:</p> <p>I am writing as I have only recently learned about the planning application. I think a much more deeper and extensive conversation needs to occur with residents about the process by which the GOSH works are undertaken.</p> <p>I certainly believe GOSH is an important part of our community, but so too are the residents. I urgently request that Camden Planning take the opportunity to ensure that the proposal does not adversely and detrimentally affect the social and economic basis of the area.</p>   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Margaret Doyle    | 20/06/2022 22:58:24 | OBJ      | I object to the proposal in its current form. It lacks any sense of proportion and demonstrates no understanding or respect for the community which lives and works in WC1 and supports GOSH at every opportunity. It is appalling that so many resources have already gone in to a proposal which increases the floor area from 5806sq m to 18303sq m with no increase in accommodation for patients or staff. Of course a dedicated cancer centre is a good thing but to list alongside that (as the traffic report does) a sense of identity and more welcoming reception area as the principal benefits of the scheme encapsulates the extent to which the proposal is devoid of any attempt to balance the needs of the existing residential and business community with the redevelopment. The height is overwhelming and unnecessary particularly given the failure of the scheme to provide extra beds. Lambs Conduit St is precious to our community: it has special local character which would be destroyed by the current proposal particularly during the construction phase, and the proposal for traffic to use it. There is a viable alternative at Powis Place within the hospital precinct which could with a little adaptation be used. Street level is where the impact counts; here is where the mass of the building and the traffic it will inevitably engender will dominate. Patients will not be able to use public transport. The green on the roof is of no benefit to the residential or business community of the area. |
| 2022/2255/P     | Wiliam Spaulding  | 20/06/2022 23:56:32 | OBJ      | Dear planners,<br>The community has not been properly consulted in this matter. I urge you to meet with the many knowledgeable members of our community to avert the sure disaster that the present proposals will cause. The convoy route certainly must be re-routed through the Hospital grounds down Powis Place, with the aid of an archway.   |
| 2022/2255/P     | Wiliam Spaulding  | 20/06/2022 23:56:38 | OBJ      | Dear planners,<br>The community has not been properly consulted in this matter. I urge you to meet with the many knowledgeable members of our community to avert the sure disaster that the present proposals will cause. The convoy route certainly must be re-routed through the Hospital grounds down Powis Place, with the aid of an archway.   |
| 2022/2255/P     | William Spaulding | 21/06/2022 00:20:32 | OBJ      | Dear Camden Council and GOSH redevelopment planners, I am quite surprised to discover the dearth of community consultation which characterises this project. None of us doubt the human-life value of the proposed Cancer Centre, but it is quite evident to me that this importance has not been balanced with the project's destructive effects on the community. The planned route alone is evidence of this. We are told that no alternative is available, an inexplicable statement, since one does indeed exist. Why the convoy can't go through the Hospital grounds from Guilford Street through Powis Place, with the help of an archway, hasn't been explained for all I can tell. Years of lasting damage to the community would be avoided by a re-routing along these lines. Merchants, residents and visitors alike depend on the freedom from noise, filth and traffic chaos that this neighbourhood affords. I urge the Council and the Hospital planners to hold in-depth consultations with the many knowledgeable members of the community in order to avert this disaster. Thank you. William Spaulding   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | William Spaulding | 21/06/2022 00:20:36 | OBJ      | Dear Camden Council and GOSH redevelopment planners, I am quite surprised to discover the dearth of community consultation which characterises this project. None of us doubt the human-life value of the proposed Cancer Centre, but it is quite evident to me that this importance has not been balanced with the project's destructive effects on the community. The planned route alone is evidence of this. We are told that no alternative is available, an inexplicable statement, since one does indeed exist. Why the convoy can't go through the Hospital grounds from Guilford Street through Powis Place, with the help of an archway, hasn't been explained for all I can tell. Years of lasting damage to the community would be avoided by a re-routing along these lines. Merchants, residents and visitors alike depend on the freedom from noise, filth and traffic chaos that this neighbourhood affords. I urge the Council and the Hospital planners to hold in-depth consultations with the many knowledgeable members of the community in order to avert this disaster. Thank you. William Spaulding |
| 2022/2255/P     | William Spaulding | 21/06/2022 00:20:39 | OBJ      | Dear Camden Council and GOSH redevelopment planners, I am quite surprised to discover the dearth of community consultation which characterises this project. None of us doubt the human-life value of the proposed Cancer Centre, but it is quite evident to me that this importance has not been balanced with the project's destructive effects on the community. The planned route alone is evidence of this. We are told that no alternative is available, an inexplicable statement, since one does indeed exist. Why the convoy can't go through the Hospital grounds from Guilford Street through Powis Place, with the help of an archway, hasn't been explained for all I can tell. Years of lasting damage to the community would be avoided by a re-routing along these lines. Merchants, residents and visitors alike depend on the freedom from noise, filth and traffic chaos that this neighbourhood affords. I urge the Council and the Hospital planners to hold in-depth consultations with the many knowledgeable members of the community in order to avert this disaster. Thank you. William Spaulding |
| 2022/2255/P     | Lucia Jaffer      | 20/06/2022 23:37:33 | COMMT    | I am disappointed to learn of the huge building to be erected by Great airman Street is such a beautiful, historical part of London.<br>I understand the need for the hospital expansion but does it have to be at the local residents and indeed local community's expense? There are many other parts of London more suiting to a large building such as this monstrous plan.<br>Queens Square and the surrounding area are one of the most beautiful parts of London and this will be lost FOREVER!<br>I'm also very sad that as part of this expansion we will lose The Mary Ward Centre, which I have been using my whole adult life (I'm 52).<br>Please please think about London, Londoners and their future.<br>How boring London will become with no communities, history or interesting buildings.  |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Debbie Radcliffe<br>for Bloomsbury<br>Residents Action<br>Group | 20/06/2022 00:53:51 | OBJ      | <p>The Bloomsbury Residents Action Group (BRAG) was founded in 2016 to remind decision-makers that the streets south of the Euston Road, in the wards of Kings Cross, Bloomsbury and Holborn &amp; Covent Garden are home to a very large number of permanent residents. It is not just an area of institutions. Residents also rely on and support local businesses, especially those in the hospitality industry.</p> <p>BRAG objects to the proposed redevelopment of Great Ormond Street Hospital, as currently designed and out for consultation. We fully support the residents and businesses who object to the massive scale of this development in their local neighbourhood, which will be hugely detrimental to their quality of life and the operation of their businesses.</p> <p>Great Ormond Street is a place where people LIVE, and have lived for centuries, it is not only the location of a world famous and much loved hospital. There has to be a balance.</p> <p>We acknowledge that GOSH is a landmark institution and a valuable local, national and international medical resource, but its redevelopment, to this scale, in this particular location will cause serious harm to the nearby residential and business community.</p> <p>Harm includes loss of light to the mostly four storey properties immediately opposite the hospital, impact on the listed heritage buildings, as well as the unacceptable negative impact of demolition and construction on residents' day to day existence.</p> <p>The application site lies in the Bloomsbury Conservation Area and the importance of the setting seems to have been totally ignored. There are unique listed properties along Great Ormond Street and Lamb's Conduit Street that will be seriously affected by the re-development. Queen Square as well as Great Ormond Street will be overshadowed and over-dominated by the increased height of the proposed hospital re-development, the design of which is insensitive and totally disregards heritage, community and residential amenity.</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Lucia Jaffer  | 20/06/2022 23:37:35 | COMMNT   | <p>I am disappointed to learn of the huge building to be erected by Great Ormond Street is such a beautiful, historical part of London.</p> <p>I understand the need for the hospital expansion but does it have to be at the local residents and indeed local community's expense? There are many other parts of London more suited to a large building such as this monstrous plan.</p> <p>Queen's Square and the surrounding area are one of the most beautiful parts of London and this will be lost FOREVER!</p> <p>I'm also very sad that as part of this expansion we will lose The Mary Ward Centre, which I have been using my whole adult life (I'm 52).</p> <p>Please please think about London, Londoners and their future.</p> <p>How boring London will become with no communities, history or interesting buildings.</p>   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane Richardson  | 17/06/2022 20:47:26 | OBJ      | <p>COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/2255/P<br/>GREAT ORMOND STREET HOSPITAL CCC</p> <p>1 I was resident in Lamb's Conduit Street for over 25 years, I am very attached to the area, and have been a fairly frequent visitor to the area (my visits would have been more frequent but for Covid!).</p> <p>2 I strongly object to GOSH's plans for a Child Cancer Centre on grounds of its design and the effect of the process for its construction.</p> <p>Design</p> <p>3 The design would overwhelm Great Ormond Street and its heritage buildings, wrecking the character of the area.</p> <p>4 It would exclude light from residential buildings opposite. And contrary to what it said in the application, using other rooms in your home or local cafes or pubs would not "compensate" for loss of light in your home. The loss of light would also affect local businesses such as Espresso Room, The Perseverance and Tuttis.</p> <p>5 Residents opposite would suffer loss of privacy, from having people using the new building able to look into their front facing rooms, made worse from the need to use lighting, and net curtains would make the light problem worse.</p> <p>Construction Process</p> <p>6 The proposed route for construction traffic is wholly unacceptable. The area is already busy from early morning till late at night with pedestrians, including children going to and coming from school, patients, families and staff at all three hospitals and other visitors such as shoppers, cyclists making use of the north-south route of Lamb's Conduit Street, delivery lorries for businesses in the area, cars, taxis, etc etc. It is an area with many residents and small businesses. The junction of Gt Ormond St and Lamb's Conduit St is already very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists; the construction plan will make it considerably worse.</p> <p>7 Using the proposed route would:<br/>cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists, because of the narrow streets and tight corners, and the risk of lorries mounting the pavements;<br/>it would adversely affect local residents, and patients, families and staff at local hospitals and other visitors, and local businesses, both on the route and nearby, because of the increased traffic congestion and further deterioration of air quality, noise and vibration.<br/>it would damage heritage and other buildings, because of vibration;<br/>northbound cyclists along Lamb's Conduit Street will have a major diversion along the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, up Millman St and along Guilford St (and some, no doubt will carry straight on, whether on the road or the pavement!);<br/>the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, and its heritage buildings, and Millman St will be seriously affected by northbound traffic from Lamb's Conduit Street having to divert along them.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>8 GOSH site is already cramped and the only way for there to be further significant development is for an increase in the height of buildings, which would permanently damage a historic area. The air quality, noise,</p> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

traffic congestion, and vibration are already a problem to local people and patients, families and staff at all the hospitals in the area. GOSH CEO said on 16 June 2022 “We see every day the impact that a busy polluted road on our front doorstep has on our patients, families and staff.” He failed to acknowledge that local residents are so affected, that GOSH already contributes to the problem, and that the plans for a CCC will significantly increase the problem. If GOSH wishes to remain a world class hospital it must find a new site which provides room for expansion, better access for families, and better air quality.

Jane Richardson

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane Richardson  | 17/06/2022 20:47:31 | OBJ      | <p>COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/2255/P<br/>GREAT ORMOND STREET HOSPITAL CCC</p> <p>1 I was resident in Lamb's Conduit Street for over 25 years, I am very attached to the area, and have been a fairly frequent visitor to the area (my visits would have been more frequent but for Covid!).</p> <p>2 I strongly object to GOSH's plans for a Child Cancer Centre on grounds of its design and the effect of the process for its construction.</p> <p>Design</p> <p>3 The design would overwhelm Great Ormond Street and its heritage buildings, wrecking the character of the area.</p> <p>4 It would exclude light from residential buildings opposite. And contrary to what it said in the application, using other rooms in your home or local cafes or pubs would not "compensate" for loss of light in your home. The loss of light would also affect local businesses such as Espresso Room, The Perseverance and Tuttis.</p> <p>5 Residents opposite would suffer loss of privacy, from having people using the new building able to look into their front facing rooms, made worse from the need to use lighting, and net curtains would make the light problem worse.</p> <p>Construction Process</p> <p>6 The proposed route for construction traffic is wholly unacceptable. The area is already busy from early morning till late at night with pedestrians, including children going to and coming from school, patients, families and staff at all three hospitals and other visitors such as shoppers, cyclists making use of the north-south route of Lamb's Conduit Street, delivery lorries for businesses in the area, cars, taxis, etc etc. It is an area with many residents and small businesses. The junction of Gt Ormond St and Lamb's Conduit St is already very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists; the construction plan will make it considerably worse.</p> <p>7 Using the proposed route would:<br/>cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists, because of the narrow streets and tight corners, and the risk of lorries mounting the pavements;<br/>it would adversely affect local residents, and patients, families and staff at local hospitals and other visitors, and local businesses, both on the route and nearby, because of the increased traffic congestion and further deterioration of air quality, noise and vibration.<br/>it would damage heritage and other buildings, because of vibration;<br/>northbound cyclists along Lamb's Conduit Street will have a major diversion along the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, up Millman St and along Guilford St (and some, no doubt will carry straight on, whether on the road or the pavement!);<br/>the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, and its heritage buildings, and Millman St will be seriously affected by northbound traffic from Lamb's Conduit Street having to divert along them.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>8 GOSH site is already cramped and the only way for there to be further significant development is for an increase in the height of buildings, which would permanently damage a historic area. The air quality, noise,</p> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

traffic congestion, and vibration are already a problem to local people and patients, families and staff at all the hospitals in the area. GOSH CEO said on 16 June 2022 "We see every day the impact that a busy polluted road on our front doorstep has on our patients, families and staff." He failed to acknowledge that local residents are so affected, that GOSH already contributes to the problem, and that the plans for a CCC will significantly increase the problem. If GOSH wishes to remain a world class hospital it must find a new site which provides room for expansion, better access for families, and better air quality.

Jane Richardson

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane Richardson  | 17/06/2022 20:47:36 | OBJ      | <p>COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/2255/P<br/>GREAT ORMOND STREET HOSPITAL CCC</p> <p>1 I was resident in Lamb's Conduit Street for over 25 years, I am very attached to the area, and have been a fairly frequent visitor to the area (my visits would have been more frequent but for Covid!).</p> <p>2 I strongly object to GOSH's plans for a Child Cancer Centre on grounds of its design and the effect of the process for its construction.</p> <p>Design</p> <p>3 The design would overwhelm Great Ormond Street and its heritage buildings, wrecking the character of the area.</p> <p>4 It would exclude light from residential buildings opposite. And contrary to what it said in the application, using other rooms in your home or local cafes or pubs would not "compensate" for loss of light in your home. The loss of light would also affect local businesses such as Espresso Room, The Perseverance and Tuttis.</p> <p>5 Residents opposite would suffer loss of privacy, from having people using the new building able to look into their front facing rooms, made worse from the need to use lighting, and net curtains would make the light problem worse.</p> <p>Construction Process</p> <p>6 The proposed route for construction traffic is wholly unacceptable. The area is already busy from early morning till late at night with pedestrians, including children going to and coming from school, patients, families and staff at all three hospitals and other visitors such as shoppers, cyclists making use of the north-south route of Lamb's Conduit Street, delivery lorries for businesses in the area, cars, taxis, etc etc. It is an area with many residents and small businesses. The junction of Gt Ormond St and Lamb's Conduit St is already very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists; the construction plan will make it considerably worse.</p> <p>7 Using the proposed route would:<br/>cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists, because of the narrow streets and tight corners, and the risk of lorries mounting the pavements;<br/>it would adversely affect local residents, and patients, families and staff at local hospitals and other visitors, and local businesses, both on the route and nearby, because of the increased traffic congestion and further deterioration of air quality, noise and vibration.<br/>it would damage heritage and other buildings, because of vibration;<br/>northbound cyclists along Lamb's Conduit Street will have a major diversion along the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, up Millman St and along Guilford St (and some, no doubt will carry straight on, whether on the road or the pavement!);<br/>the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, and its heritage buildings, and Millman St will be seriously affected by northbound traffic from Lamb's Conduit Street having to divert along them.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>8 GOSH site is already cramped and the only way for there to be further significant development is for an increase in the height of buildings, which would permanently damage a historic area. The air quality, noise,</p> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

traffic congestion, and vibration are already a problem to local people and patients, families and staff at all the hospitals in the area. GOSH CEO said on 16 June 2022 “We see every day the impact that a busy polluted road on our front doorstep has on our patients, families and staff.” He failed to acknowledge that local residents are so affected, that GOSH already contributes to the problem, and that the plans for a CCC will significantly increase the problem. If GOSH wishes to remain a world class hospital it must find a new site which provides room for expansion, better access for families, and better air quality.

Jane Richardson

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane Richardson  | 17/06/2022 20:47:41 | OBJ      | <p>COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/2255/P<br/>GREAT ORMOND STREET HOSPITAL CCC</p> <p>1 I was resident in Lamb's Conduit Street for over 25 years, I am very attached to the area, and have been a fairly frequent visitor to the area (my visits would have been more frequent but for Covid!).</p> <p>2 I strongly object to GOSH's plans for a Child Cancer Centre on grounds of its design and the effect of the process for its construction.</p> <p>Design</p> <p>3 The design would overwhelm Great Ormond Street and its heritage buildings, wrecking the character of the area.</p> <p>4 It would exclude light from residential buildings opposite. And contrary to what it said in the application, using other rooms in your home or local cafes or pubs would not "compensate" for loss of light in your home. The loss of light would also affect local businesses such as Espresso Room, The Perseverance and Tuttis.</p> <p>5 Residents opposite would suffer loss of privacy, from having people using the new building able to look into their front facing rooms, made worse from the need to use lighting, and net curtains would make the light problem worse.</p> <p>Construction Process</p> <p>6 The proposed route for construction traffic is wholly unacceptable. The area is already busy from early morning till late at night with pedestrians, including children going to and coming from school, patients, families and staff at all three hospitals and other visitors such as shoppers, cyclists making use of the north-south route of Lamb's Conduit Street, delivery lorries for businesses in the area, cars, taxis, etc etc. It is an area with many residents and small businesses. The junction of Gt Ormond St and Lamb's Conduit St is already very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists; the construction plan will make it considerably worse.</p> <p>7 Using the proposed route would:<br/>cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists, because of the narrow streets and tight corners, and the risk of lorries mounting the pavements;<br/>it would adversely affect local residents, and patients, families and staff at local hospitals and other visitors, and local businesses, both on the route and nearby, because of the increased traffic congestion and further deterioration of air quality, noise and vibration.<br/>it would damage heritage and other buildings, because of vibration;<br/>northbound cyclists along Lamb's Conduit Street will have a major diversion along the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, up Millman St and along Guilford St (and some, no doubt will carry straight on, whether on the road or the pavement!);<br/>the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, and its heritage buildings, and Millman St will be seriously affected by northbound traffic from Lamb's Conduit Street having to divert along them.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>8 GOSH site is already cramped and the only way for there to be further significant development is for an increase in the height of buildings, which would permanently damage a historic area. The air quality, noise,</p> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**    **Comment:**    **Response:**

traffic congestion, and vibration are already a problem to local people and patients, families and staff at all the hospitals in the area. GOSH CEO said on 16 June 2022 “We see every day the impact that a busy polluted road on our front doorstep has on our patients, families and staff.” He failed to acknowledge that local residents are so affected, that GOSH already contributes to the problem, and that the plans for a CCC will significantly increase the problem. If GOSH wishes to remain a world class hospital it must find a new site which provides room for expansion, better access for families, and better air quality.

Jane Richardson

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane Richardson  | 17/06/2022 20:47:45 | OBJ      | <p>COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/2255/P<br/>GREAT ORMOND STREET HOSPITAL CCC</p> <p>1 I was resident in Lamb's Conduit Street for over 25 years, I am very attached to the area, and have been a fairly frequent visitor to the area (my visits would have been more frequent but for Covid!).</p> <p>2 I strongly object to GOSH's plans for a Child Cancer Centre on grounds of its design and the effect of the process for its construction.</p> <p>Design</p> <p>3 The design would overwhelm Great Ormond Street and its heritage buildings, wrecking the character of the area.</p> <p>4 It would exclude light from residential buildings opposite. And contrary to what it said in the application, using other rooms in your home or local cafes or pubs would not "compensate" for loss of light in your home. The loss of light would also affect local businesses such as Espresso Room, The Perseverance and Tuttis.</p> <p>5 Residents opposite would suffer loss of privacy, from having people using the new building able to look into their front facing rooms, made worse from the need to use lighting, and net curtains would make the light problem worse.</p> <p>Construction Process</p> <p>6 The proposed route for construction traffic is wholly unacceptable. The area is already busy from early morning till late at night with pedestrians, including children going to and coming from school, patients, families and staff at all three hospitals and other visitors such as shoppers, cyclists making use of the north-south route of Lamb's Conduit Street, delivery lorries for businesses in the area, cars, taxis, etc etc. It is an area with many residents and small businesses. The junction of Gt Ormond St and Lamb's Conduit St is already very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists; the construction plan will make it considerably worse.</p> <p>7 Using the proposed route would:<br/>cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists, because of the narrow streets and tight corners, and the risk of lorries mounting the pavements;<br/>it would adversely affect local residents, and patients, families and staff at local hospitals and other visitors, and local businesses, both on the route and nearby, because of the increased traffic congestion and further deterioration of air quality, noise and vibration.<br/>it would damage heritage and other buildings, because of vibration;<br/>northbound cyclists along Lamb's Conduit Street will have a major diversion along the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, up Millman St and along Guilford St (and some, no doubt will carry straight on, whether on the road or the pavement!);<br/>the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, and its heritage buildings, and Millman St will be seriously affected by northbound traffic from Lamb's Conduit Street having to divert along them.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>8 GOSH site is already cramped and the only way for there to be further significant development is for an increase in the height of buildings, which would permanently damage a historic area. The air quality, noise,</p> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

traffic congestion, and vibration are already a problem to local people and patients, families and staff at all the hospitals in the area. GOSH CEO said on 16 June 2022 “We see every day the impact that a busy polluted road on our front doorstep has on our patients, families and staff.” He failed to acknowledge that local residents are so affected, that GOSH already contributes to the problem, and that the plans for a CCC will significantly increase the problem. If GOSH wishes to remain a world class hospital it must find a new site which provides room for expansion, better access for families, and better air quality.

Jane Richardson

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane Richardson  | 17/06/2022 20:47:50 | OBJ      | <p>COMMENTS ON PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/2255/P<br/>GREAT ORMOND STREET HOSPITAL CCC</p> <p>1 I was resident in Lamb's Conduit Street for over 25 years, I am very attached to the area, and have been a fairly frequent visitor to the area (my visits would have been more frequent but for Covid!).</p> <p>2 I strongly object to GOSH's plans for a Child Cancer Centre on grounds of its design and the effect of the process for its construction.</p> <p>Design</p> <p>3 The design would overwhelm Great Ormond Street and its heritage buildings, wrecking the character of the area.</p> <p>4 It would exclude light from residential buildings opposite. And contrary to what it said in the application, using other rooms in your home or local cafes or pubs would not "compensate" for loss of light in your home. The loss of light would also affect local businesses such as Espresso Room, The Perseverance and Tuttis.</p> <p>5 Residents opposite would suffer loss of privacy, from having people using the new building able to look into their front facing rooms, made worse from the need to use lighting, and net curtains would make the light problem worse.</p> <p>Construction Process</p> <p>6 The proposed route for construction traffic is wholly unacceptable. The area is already busy from early morning till late at night with pedestrians, including children going to and coming from school, patients, families and staff at all three hospitals and other visitors such as shoppers, cyclists making use of the north-south route of Lamb's Conduit Street, delivery lorries for businesses in the area, cars, taxis, etc etc. It is an area with many residents and small businesses. The junction of Gt Ormond St and Lamb's Conduit St is already very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists; the construction plan will make it considerably worse.</p> <p>7 Using the proposed route would:<br/>cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists, because of the narrow streets and tight corners, and the risk of lorries mounting the pavements;<br/>it would adversely affect local residents, and patients, families and staff at local hospitals and other visitors, and local businesses, both on the route and nearby, because of the increased traffic congestion and further deterioration of air quality, noise and vibration.<br/>it would damage heritage and other buildings, because of vibration;<br/>northbound cyclists along Lamb's Conduit Street will have a major diversion along the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, up Millman St and along Guilford St (and some, no doubt will carry straight on, whether on the road or the pavement!);<br/>the eastern end of Gt Ormond St, and its heritage buildings, and Millman St will be seriously affected by northbound traffic from Lamb's Conduit Street having to divert along them.</p> <p>Conclusions</p> <p>8 GOSH site is already cramped and the only way for there to be further significant development is for an increase in the height of buildings, which would permanently damage a historic area. The air quality, noise,</p> |

| Application No:   | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|---|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P   | Stefania         | 19/06/2022 19:22:57 | OBJ      | <p>traffic congestion, and vibration are already a problem to local people and patients, families and staff at all the hospitals in the area. GOSH CEO said on 16 June 2022 "We see every day the impact that a busy polluted road on our front doorstep has on our patients, families and staff." He failed to acknowledge that local residents are so affected, that GOSH already contributes to the problem, and that the plans for a CCC will significantly increase the problem. If GOSH wishes to remain a world class hospital it must find a new site which provides room for expansion, better access for families, and better air quality.</p> <p>Jane Richardson</p> |
| <hr/> <p>1. The design of the proposed extension: the proposed building will be too high for the street and will adversely affect the character of the neighbourhood. Additionally, the homes opposite the hospital (the home where I live) will not meet the BRE guidelines for sunlight. This is unfair and unjust. Natural light is vital for mental health of the residents. Furthermore, by eliminating the source of natural light, residents will be required to use consistent artificial light - in turn driving up the costs of electricity.</p> <p>2. The method of demolition/construction: noise and air pollution and potential damage to our homes will make living on Great Ormand Street very difficult.</p> <p>3. The lack of genuine and in-depth community consultation: the developers claim to have done a thorough "community consultation" but this is not completely true. Myself, and many of my neighbours are only hearing about the extent of the plans now. Having less than a month to register comments is not enough time given the minimal information provided until recently.</p> <hr/> |                  |                     |          |   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Debbie Radcliffe<br>for Bloomsbury<br>Residents Action<br>Group | 20/06/2022 00:53:56 | OBJ      | <p>The Bloomsbury Residents Action Group (BRAG) was founded in 2016 to remind decision-makers that the streets south of the Euston Road, in the wards of Kings Cross, Bloomsbury and Holborn &amp; Covent Garden are home to a very large number of permanent residents. It is not just an area of institutions. Residents also rely on and support local businesses, especially those in the hospitality industry.</p> <p>BRAG objects to the proposed redevelopment of Great Ormond Street Hospital, as currently designed and out for consultation. We fully support the residents and businesses who object to the massive scale of this development in their local neighbourhood, which will be hugely detrimental to their quality of life and the operation of their businesses.</p> <p>Great Ormond Street is a place where people LIVE, and have lived for centuries, it is not only the location of a world famous and much loved hospital. There has to be a balance.</p> <p>We acknowledge that GOSH is a landmark institution and a valuable local, national and international medical resource, but its redevelopment, to this scale, in this particular location will cause serious harm to the nearby residential and business community.</p> <p>Harm includes loss of light to the mostly four storey properties immediately opposite the hospital, impact on the listed heritage buildings, as well as the unacceptable negative impact of demolition and construction on residents' day to day existence.</p> <p>The application site lies in the Bloomsbury Conservation Area and the importance of the setting seems to have been totally ignored. There are unique listed properties along Great Ormond Street and Lambs Conduit Street that will be seriously affected by the re-development. Queen Square as well as Great Ormond Street will be overshadowed and over-dominated by the increased height of the proposed hospital re-development, the design of which is insensitive and totally disregards heritage, community and residential amenity.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Rob Lewis        | 19/06/2022 15:34:02 | OBJ      | <p>I write with respect to planning application 2022/2255 regarding the demolition and replacement of the existing GOSH Frontage Building.</p> <p>Despite my love of GOSH generally, I must object.</p> <p>Firstly I should state that whilst GOSH claim in their Statement of Community Engagement document that consultation has been comprehensive and taken place over many years this is incorrect. I was not aware of any of this until a few days ago. Nor were the other residents I know.</p> <p>I also sit as a Trustee on the Board of Queen Square Gardens (QSG) and a fellow Trustee is a representative and employee of GOSH. He never told the Board about these plans either. They were intentionally kept very hush hush.</p> <p>It feels like GOSH has been keeping this quiet and trying to rush the application through in August whilst people are on holiday, which is not a responsible or appropriate way of working with the community.</p> <p>As for local authority planning notices and signage, there are no signs on Queen Square whatsoever despite the impact it will have a huge impact on residents here.</p> <p>Given the vast number of residents who would be affected negatively by these proposals if approved, the low level of awareness and resulting lack of participation is a big problem.</p> <p>As for the designs themselves they are not appropriate for a small residential road like Great Ormond Street which is part of the 18th century Bloomsbury Conservation Area.</p> <p>Heritage England say that the proposed redevelopment would be a major negative transformation of the street and do irrevocable damage to the area - and I 100% agree. It is totally inappropriate and must be completely redesigned to be in keeping with the area.</p> <p>Heritage England also note the plans are so tall they block the protected view from Primrose Hill to St Paul's. This cannot be allowed to happen. The design needs be redesigned to avoid this defect and lowered to reflect the low buildings on the other side of the street.</p> <p>There is already significant noise pollution generated by hospital buildings, and given Camden Local Plan 2017 states that it will only grant permission for noise generating development, if it can be operated without causing harm to amenity, the failure to provide the detailed specification of the air conditioning equipment and decision to site it on the 6th floor is ridiculous.</p> <p>Further the council has said that any planning application must provide the AC system's full technical specifications to the Council. This is missing from the application and so the application as it stands is incomplete and defective and must not proceed.</p> <p>We already have really big sound issues from the hospital including the MRI and CT Scanner trucks on Queen Square and Barclay House which are breach planning requirements and waking up residents at night.</p> |

Application No:      Consultees Name:      Received:

Comment:      Response:

We need these issues fixed but also need to ensure that the new development is within acceptable limits too. The lack of a specification and the decision to do a report which ignores the noise effects on buildings that have line of sight means the acoustic report is defective and needs to be re-commissioned before the application can even be considered.

As far as the construction programme, Camden says that it will always minimise disruption during the on demolition and construction phases of any new development by putting conditions on the way the development is done. The current proposed programme does not do this. The proposed one way system with 1000s of lorries darting up and down small residential streets creates huge disruption for up to five years.

It is also dangerous. Many of these streets have crowded pavements, and at peak times customers at restaurants and pubs often spill out onto the road. It will surely on be a matter of time that someone is killed by one of the vast number of trucks that will be sent down these tiny residential streets. If the plans are approved as stands, I would expect more dead pedestrians and cyclists around Great Ormond Street than any other area of Camden – and we have already seen way too many tragedies in Camden.

I am told residents have recommended that construction traffic could enter by creating a route from the main service yard on Guildford Street (which is a B-Road) to Powis Place.

GOSH have apparently admitted this is possible, but state they are worried about losing a few consulting rooms. Given they have recently taken over even more buildings in Queen Square, and given the danger of residents, visitors and children being run over on Lamb Conduit Street, Great Ormond Street and Queen Square, it would seem the loss of a few consulting rooms and hospital beds for five years is a small price to pay to ensure the programme is actually safe.

Even worse, the proposed one way system will likely mean that if an accident ever happens (e.g. a truck runs over a pedestrian) the one way system will become immediately snarled up and it will be impossible for ambulances to reach the hospital, or for emergency services to reach the accident.

So GOSH should be asked to reconsider the size and design of the building, the method of demolition construction, and re-evaluate the air conditioning set-up and commission a proper report that third parties can review.

The application at the moment is unacceptable, incomplete and defective.

I love GOSH.

It is a respected institution that we all love but this planning application does beg the question – why would GOSH spend £195m building a new building that does not have any more capacity than the current building when it could be spending these kinds of sums building a brand new hospital on a dedicated site?

In the long term, it is not viable for a hospital like Great Ormond Street to be on a small residential street in Bloomsbury. That might have been appropriate when GOSH was started as it only had ten beds. But at its current scale, surely it is time to have a dedicated site with clean air, gardens and external playgrounds? The time has come to consider the children, and look at a brand new site that will serve sick children better.

Best,

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**                    **Comment:**    **Response:**

Rob Lewis

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Vivien Conacher  | 19/06/2022 16:13:45 | COMMNT   | <p>My comment relates to the 'Statement of Community Engagement', as a 10+ year resident in the building OPPOSITE the hospital site.</p> <p>I have been sent information about the proposal by the Residents Liaison Group, and when able to, I have attended RLG meetings, one of the webinars, and engaged with the CCC website... but really, the only time I have seen clear information about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the huge scale of the final GOSH design,</li> <li>- the one-way site access using ONLY Great Ormond Street &amp; the loss of resident parking/disabled parking, or</li> <li>- the specific data that ZERO windows opposite the hospital will meet BRE guidance for sunlight,</li> </ul> <p>is on this Camden Planning Records site as part of the submitted proposal where we've had LESS THAN A MONTH to read and decipher all the lengthy reports, make sense of it all, and register our comments and objections.</p> <p>I can see how ANY local resident would be completely bamboozled if they'd only just heard about the redevelopment. And many people in this neighbourhood are only hearing about the scope of the plans just now. People in my building had absolutely no idea. God help them if they can't navigate technology/websites easily (e.g. older residents) or if reading lengthy reports is beyond them (e.g. if English is their second language, or they are dyslexic or have reading/sight impairments) - the group that has been directly engaged with about this development is such a small representation of the real community who will be impacted by this development.</p> <p>If people OPPOSITE the building site, are only learning of the redevelopment just now, surely all the 'community engagement' referred to in the report constitutes surface-level box checking. To be honest, I have had more direct contact with door-knockers from our local Mormon chapter than I have had from the engagement team on this project.</p> <p>This street is my home, my long-time neighbours are like family to me, the Bloomsbury area is so special and we feel like you have completely ignored us in this redevelopment proposal. Real community takes a long time to form, but can be uprooted very suddenly and gone forever if our homes become impossible to live/work in with any quality of life.</p> <p>If the activity outlined in this 'Statement of Community Engagement' is enough to tick the box for this redevelopment, this is truly depressing. I would like to comment that I do not believe the 'engagement' is genuine and hasn't even skimmed the surface of the group of neighbours in this area. What makes me say this?...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Of the flyers referred to in the report, I can only recalling seeing ONE delivered in my building...</li> <li>- a public consultation with 17 total responses is reflective of poor/ineffective communication and is not a large-enough pool to represent the views of this varied neighbourhood. There are more than 17 people in my building... and you do not have feedback from any of us! It's not a consultation if you haven't consulted anyone!</li> <li>- clear plans and visuals have not been delivered in an accessible way to residents - not everyone can read lengthy reports on a website,</li> <li>- numerous assumptions about the homes / buildings directly opposite the hospital site have been published and could have easily been substantiated with in-person or direct contact (which would be an assumed part of 'community engagement'),</li> </ul> |

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**

**Comment:**    **Response:**

- there have been no impact assessments re: damage to buildings and basement spaces opposite the hospital from the continuous vibrations & use of HGVs during building work

Finally, as asked in the feedback form as part of the public consultation, the developers claim that they are "keen to create a building that is both a good fit with the local institutional architecture and respects neighbouring residential buildings", if this were true, you would have engaged with this community genuinely with complete information about the demolition/building process and plans showing the scale of the proposed building. If the community had been truly 'engaged' with, there would be an understanding about the way we live/work in the buildings opposite, what we value about our lives in this neighbourhood, and how drastically we will be impacted by this redevelopment during and after the build.

I urge Camden Council to conduct a more thorough and genuine community consultation before any plans are approved, with accessible information including visuals, and direct contact with residents living closest to the building site.

---

| <b>Application No:</b> | <b>Consultees Name:</b>      | <b>Received:</b>    | <b>Comment:</b> | <b>Response:</b> |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 2022/2255/P            | UCLH NHS<br>Foundation Trust | 20/06/2022 14:29:04 | OBJ             | Dear Sir         |

Great Ormond Street Children's Hospital, Frontage Building Great Ormond Street London WC1N 3JH  
(application reference: 2022/2255/P)

University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UCLH) operate two hospitals immediately to the west of the site of the above proposals, separated only by the jointly owned Powis Place, a private roadway. The two UCLH hospitals are:

- National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery. This is the UK's largest dedicated neurological and neurosurgical hospital; and
- Royal London Hospital for Integrated Medicine, which support those with chronic and complex medical conditions to live well and feel better.

UCLH objects to the planning application for the above property (application number 2022/2255/P) as it currently stands.

Our objections are centred around the use of and access to Powis Place as the main GOSH public entrance during the proposed three year demolition and construction period. We consider that the proposals for this will result in significant risk of harm to patients, particularly arrivals needing urgent and immediate treatment. There are also material concerns relating to the safety of ambulant GOSH and UCLH patients using Powis Place.

The Design and Access Statement (Section 6) states "During the deconstruction and construction period the main public entrance of GOSH will be relocated to a side access within Powis Place. These proposals will be subject to a separate application." The need for GOSH to alter the main public entrance is driven solely by the delivery of the scheme that is the subject of this planning application. As such, it is our view that it should form part of this application, given the impact on our adjacent hospitals and their patients. Without this the Demolition and Construction management plan and related application documents are incomplete and we cannot be sufficiently assured as to the effect on our patients and smooth running of our hospital.

Our specific objections are as follows:

- A high volume ambulant patient entrance on Powis Place will conflict with the emergency, blue-light route for critically ill patients for both the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (NHNN, operated by UCLH NHS Foundation Trust), and Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH)
- Given the frequency of access being required, and the fact that many times we do not receive sufficient notice to warn ambulant visitors on Powis Place of an impending ambulance entrance, it would not be safe for this entrance to be used for ambulant patients and their visitors or families
- Due to the clinical presentation of the patients in ambulances arriving at NHNN, critically ill patients have to be offloaded by ambulance teams and UCLH staff often have to provide urgent treatment outside of the hospital. Under the current proposal, this would be in full view of visitors and patients using GOSH's planned ambulant entrance. We do not believe that this is in the best interests of either Trust's patients and has implications for the privacy and dignity of patients of both Trusts.
- A safe route for pedestrians should allow no interaction with vehicles, except in extreme circumstances.

Application No:    Consultees Name:    Received:

Comment:    Response:

The current proposals do not provide safe passage for pedestrians due to conflict with emergency vehicle access.

- Installing a barrier at the entrance of Powis Place as a proposed solution to some of the above issues would create a number of challenges:
  - o GOSH patients/families/visitors in cars will likely use this entrance as a drop-off which will cause congestion at the entrance of the road and jeopardise the ability for blue light ambulances to reach their destination creating unnecessary delays to patient treatment. This may lead to clinical harm to patients of the NHNN, or GOSH
  - o It will need to be manned 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, in order to ensure the barrier can be removed on arrival of a time-critical patient arriving at the entrance. The entrance would not be able to be unmanned at any point
- The proposal to make Great Ormond Street a one-way street during the construction process is of great concern and will mean delays to treatment for critically ill patients. This is also likely to increase confusion and congestion on Great Ormond Street and the surrounding roads (including Queen Square) which will have other detrimental impacts on patient access to the NHNN and Royal London Hospital for Integrated Medicine.
- Construction traffic entering the site from the highway, and subsequently leaving the site and joining the one way system will create delays with vehicles travelling down Great Ormond Street, particularly of concern for the emergency ambulances attending NHNN. It will be impossible to keep clear access for blue-light route ambulances into Powis Place, even with traffic marshals, putting patients at risk. We are concerned as to how these challenges will be managed during temporary road closures to ensure continued access for NHNN.
- The construction phase is due to continue to 2026 and will create much noise, nuisance, vibration and dust (potentially containing aspergillus spores) impacting surrounding occupiers. We would like to know how this will be mitigated? What communications procedure will be in place to give adequate notice of noisy works to neighbours?

We have requested that GOSH reconsider the use of their hospital entrances on Guilford Street or Lamb's Conduit Street/Guilford Place as suitable alternative entrances for ambulant patients (thus preserving the separation of emergency and ambulatory flows for GOSH). GOSH have not yet provided a formal response to this request, but it should be noted that they are engaged with us to resolve the issues we outline here, although we are yet to find a clear resolution.

Our hope is that continuing constructive dialogue with GOSH will address our concerns and allow us to withdraw our objection. However as things stand we must object to the current application, given the impact on our patients.

Yours sincerely

Laura Churchward  
 Director of Strategy  
 For and on behalf of University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

| Application No: | Consultees Name:    | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Christopher Edwards | 16/06/2022 11:50:58 | OBJ      | <p>The scheme is obviously a good idea in principle, and I don't object to the scheme itself.</p> <p>But I strongly object to the the proposed traffic re-routing during the works. What is suggested is crazy and dangerous. Lambs Conduit Street is a beautiful quiet street, used by lots of pedestrians, but more importantly is a key north-south cycle route used by hundreds if not thousands of cyclists each day. There is not really an alternative possible cycle route other than on hugely dangerous main roads (in particular the often fatal for cyclists Holborn area).</p> <p>The HGVs for this construction should surely gain access via Guildford St, or Old Gloucester St and Boswell St? Do not change Lambs Conduit St, or force cyclists to share it with HGVs or be rerouted.</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Neville McKay       | 17/06/2022 15:10:15 | OBJ      | <p>I am writing to object to the Demolition and Construction Management plans which propose the routing of heavy vehicles along Lamb's Conduit Street, Great Ormond Street and Boswell Street - all of them quiet streets.</p> <p>Most importantly, Lamb's Conduit Street is on an important north-south cycle route between Kings Cross and Holborn. The presence of HGVs will endanger cyclists and the restriction to one way northbound will seriously inconvenience them for a considerable period of time.</p> <p>I ask Camden to refuse the permission to proceed with this plan and for other routes via Guilford Street to be chosen instead.</p>   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:            | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Isobel<br>McDermott-Spencer | 16/06/2022 18:11:28 | OBJ      | <p>These plans relate to a massive development in the heart of the historic and unique area of Bloomsbury. It was billed as 'a new children's cancer unit', which no-one can object to, at face value. However, the scale of what is planned goes far beyond what might reasonably be expected for a specialist unit being added to an existing hospital. Having lived in Lambs Conduit Street for over 20 years, my husband and I know the area well. We see many problems with the plans as currently set out, but they largely fall under three main headings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The sheer scale of the proposed development. The planned building could in no way be described as 'in proportion' with its surroundings. This will overshadow (literally) every property, home, business, or recreation space in the vicinity. I imagine that standing in Great Ormond Street in this potential future will feel like standing next to a huge cruise ship - something so out of proportion with the world around it that it is deeply disconcerting. The impact on the local environment will be obvious. First, the complete absence of sunlight for homes and businesses over a significant area - an appalling loss of accepted natural amenities. Second, the radical and unacceptable change to a very special area. This is an area with a historical and cultural significance, which enjoys a special 'village feel'. It has many green spaces, trees, and historic buildings. Any large modern buildings have been built to fit the context and not to over-dominate the 'human scale' of their surroundings. These areas are few and far between in London. This oasis has a unique character and, as such, has a real value to Camden, making this part of the Borough something to be proud of and to showcase. Doing anything to detract from its current status would see Camden killing the goose that lays many of its golden eggs. Scale back the plans to something that is in keeping with the Bloomsbury environment and can co-exist comfortably with the local community.</li> <li>2. Disruption and distress caused by the build. Apparently there are better ways of managing the building phase. At the moment it appears that 70 or so lorries will be accessing the site each day via Lambs Conduit Street. (I understand that an alternative, less-disruptive access route is possible, but not being fully explored). And this phase will last for at least 5 years. The pain and distress caused to those living or working on or near the route will be immeasurable. On top of that are the problems that will be caused to the local cafes, pubs and restaurants, who rely heavily on pavement seating where their customers can enjoy the local oasis of calm in the midst of a busy city. Who will want to eat or drink outside while lorries thunder by every few minutes? Some of these long-established businesses may not survive five years of this disruption to their ability to trade. And finally on this point, the physical damage to the many historic and listed buildings on and around the route should be considered. Ongoing vibration from lorries plus the expected ground shaking building activity will not be without cost to the local heritage buildings.</li> <li>3. Impact of the additional commercial facilities. My understanding is that the new building will also house a large new cafe/restaurant and new retail outlets. These have nothing to do with the 'children's cancer unit' we were told was being planned. The area already has retail and drinking/dining facilities - all on a local, independent and human scale. The area does not need additional facilities and especially not those backed by a level of financial and resource to introduce unfair and unhelpful competition. If such large-scale additional facilities are being justified in terms of servicing the needs of the hospital alone, I would question the need for them to be positioned at the front of the building, and, indeed, the fact that they are needed at all for the simple addition of a 'children's cancer unit'. The staff of GOSH currently use the facilities of the local area very happily - they frequent the cafes and sandwich shops on Lambs Conduit Street, and hence support the local businesses. Does GOSH intend to keep all of this money within its own ecosystem and in addition to try to take the business provided by the many local workers and residents who currently use the Lambs Conduit Street cafes. This does not seem to be in Camden's best interest, and certainly not of the local community - businesses, employees or residents.</li> </ol> <p>In summary. Camden should look very carefully at what is being planned and its short- and long-term impact</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
|                 |                  |                     |          | <p>on a very special area. Camden can be proud of how it has protected the buildings, heritage and community of Bloomsbury, preserving something rare - a balanced, vibrant, mixed community within a beautiful and historic setting, in the heart of London. No-one can object to the idea of a cancer unit at Great Ormond Street, provided its impact on the local community is proportional. This development has the look of a Trojan Horse of 'care for sick children', concealing a huge commercial development that will devastate the local area.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Philip Bear      | 20/06/2022 20:45:13 | OBJ      | <p>I am a longtime resident of Great Ormond Street (40 years). I have only this last Sunday 19th June become aware of the construction management plan, outlining their proposals concerning the redevelopment of part of GOSH. I was not informed of these proposals, nor were any of the large number of neighbours that I have conversed with regarding these matters. This reconstruction will have an immeasurable impact on the daily lives of the residents in the local area, yet our opinions have not been sought at any point. Only after attending a meeting of the newly formed 'Great Ormond Street Group' - GOSP - have I become fully aware of the serious disruption that this development will bring to the lives of my family, neighbours, local businesses, schools and the adjoining streets and homes. I'm particularly concerned about the weight of traffic that will be channeled down Guildford Place, Lamb's Conduit Street and Boswell Street over a prolonged period of time. I would ask that you engage with our group, and the increasing number of people they represent, to work towards finding solutions to our very real concerns.</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Miles Copeland   | 20/06/2022 23:31:07 | COMMNT   | <p>As a resident with a family of over 20 years on Lambs Conduit St, I am writing to express my concerns and shock to the above proposal. The proposed development of GOSH will seriously impact the living conditions of all the residents &amp; businesses during the time of works.</p> <p>Camden Council and GOSH haven't contacted me and I have only been made aware of this situation by fellow residents. I live within 50 metres of the proposed development and I am very concerned how this is going to effect this vibrant and well established neighbourhood of central London. The height of the development, the route of the building work's traffic and the noise &amp; pollution is a major concern.</p> <p>A proper consultation and discussion with residents would have been appreciated.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Sonia            | 20/06/2022 13:31:43 | OBJ      | <p>Hello</p> <p>I received a letter for GOH on 16 June 2022. It is not dated or signed but informed me of the planning application.</p> <p>I wanted to object to this application or part of it and see that comments have a deadline today. I think this is unreasonable as there should be more time for consultees to comment.</p> <p>In any case, I would be grateful if you would register my objection to the height of the proposed building. I really think that any new build should not exceed the existing level, particularly in view of the listed houses opposite.</p>  |

| <b>Application No:</b> | <b>Consultees Name:</b> | <b>Received:</b>    | <b>Comment:</b> | <b>Response:</b>   |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 2022/2255/P            | John and Wendy Goldring | 19/06/2022 10:27:11 | COMMNT          | <p>We live in Lamb's Conduit Street. We are obviously sympathetic to any application which will ultimately lead to improved treatment for children. However, on this occasion we do object to this application on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This is a massive development. It is out of character with the conservation area. Its effect on the listed buildings in Great Ormond Street will be very serious indeed, not least in terms of light.</li><li>2. We have a further, significant concern. If this development is to go ahead, a better means of accessing the site needs to be devised. Having lorries continually going off Guildford Street and into Lamb's Conduit Street and Great Ormond Street for a period of about five years will have a devastating effect on the local businesses, not least those cafes/restaurants with outside tables and chairs.</li></ol> |

---

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Crispin Burdett  | 19/06/2022 23:47:04 | INT      | <p>I am a resident of Milman Court and was previously a resident of the Orde Hall St, on the ground floor of the end building cornering Great Ormond St directly opposite the current frontage building and redevelopment site. As such I understand the impact this development will have both from the point of view of Great Ormond St aspect and from the point of view of living in an address that has previously been next to a major GOSH redevelopment (where residents' issues during the development were handled v poorly). I have lived in the locality for over 20 years.</p> <p>I am a great supporter of GOSH, my late mother having been a Nursing Sister and Theatre Director in the late 40s to early 60s. I grew up with "GOSH" being a byword for pride and excellence.</p> <p>I object to this specific development on the following grounds</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The mass of the proposed new building is significantly too great for the site <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) it will damage severely the amenity at ground level on Great Ormond St.</li> <li>b) The impact on light for those properties on Great Ormond st, will be severely detrimental</li> <li>c) many of the properties on Great Ormond St are listed and a building on this scale will damage the setting of these listed buildings inappropriately</li> <li>d) the valuable view along Great Ormond St towards Queen Sq and St George's church will be dominated by the new building in a way that will be detrimental and diminish the value of that view.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2) The plan to manage the redevelopment from Great Ormond St is unrealistic and will have a devastating impact on the local community for very many years (probably the best part of a decade given past form). From their consultation (see below) GOSH hospital knows this is the broadly held view of the community. There is a viable alternative to service the redevelopment from Guilford St/Powis Place, which GOSH have rejected and insists cannot be achieved. They should be put to the test on this by funding the feasibility of this by borough-appointed consultants. The redevelopment will remove heavily used parking places and 100% replacement of these during the redevelopment must be a condition of the redevelopment plan (which would be avoided by Guilford St access).</li> <li>3) The recent consultation with the community has been cursory and inadequate. Some years ago when this phase was being first developed there was a serious attempt to consult with the local community through the Residents Liason Group and indeed I was asked by GOSH to chair a panel of those who lived in properties adjoining the redevelopment site to give a view on three bids. This was part of a then effective consultation. Since then the preferred plan has changed significantly, the mass and height being out of all proportion with the surrounding buildings. Since then the consultation has consisted of heavily managed online meetings and the Resident Liaison Forum has been terminated by GOSH. Thus the consultation on this phase has consisted of talking to the community significantly less than was the norm when this development was not being applied for. If you shut down your established channel of communication with the community, possibly because you don't want to be told what they say, it is difficult to give credence to the claim to have consulted properly.</li> </ol> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Sheryl Tait      | 20/06/2022 13:17:58 | COMMNT   | <p>The plan appears to have ignored the impact this will have on local businesses (many of them small independents) the health of those living and working locally and the safety of hundreds of children who use these smaller roads as routes to local schools every day. Apart from the sheer volume of HGV traffic this will create and the danger this will bring to children and cyclists especially, the increased noise and pollution levels as a result are also of great concern. These are roads are reasonably safe for cycling and walking at present and should remain so, other options must be considered.</p> <p>The size of the proposed building is far larger than any of the surrounding buildings and will completely overwhelm the houses opposite, blocking natural light and having an impact on the well-being of those living and working in these premises. Privacy will be compromised and the sheer size of the building will have an adverse affect on the character of the neighbourhood. Is it not more appropriate to find another site that has less of a detrimental impact on the local neighbourhood and can also accommodate further expansion in the future?</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Sheryl Tait      | 20/06/2022 13:18:01 | COMMNT   | <p>The plan appears to have ignored the impact this will have on local businesses (many of them small independents) the health of those living and working locally and the safety of hundreds of children who use these smaller roads as routes to local schools every day. Apart from the sheer volume of HGV traffic this will create and the danger this will bring to children and cyclists especially, the increased noise and pollution levels as a result are also of great concern. These are roads are reasonably safe for cycling and walking at present and should remain so, other options must be considered.</p> <p>The size of the proposed building is far larger than any of the surrounding buildings and will completely overwhelm the houses opposite, blocking natural light and having an impact on the well-being of those living and working in these premises. Privacy will be compromised and the sheer size of the building will have an adverse affect on the character of the neighbourhood. Is it not more appropriate to find another site that has less of a detrimental impact on the local neighbourhood and can also accommodate further expansion in the future?</p> |

| <b>Application No:</b> | <b>Consultees Name:</b> | <b>Received:</b>    | <b>Comment:</b> | <b>Response:</b>                |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| 2022/2255/P            | Paul Crozier            | 20/06/2022 14:38:13 | OBJ             | Application number: 2022/2255/P |

I am writing to raise objection to planning application 2022/2255/P on the following grounds

Negative impact of noise from plant equipment  
 Negative impact of development on traffic parking and road safety

The goals of the development and benefit it brings to children are laudable. My objections are tied to the negative impacts that plans as they are set out will have on the wider community surrounding the development in order to achieve those goals.

The increase in traffic (heavy construction traffic) as set out in the CMP will have a detrimental impact on the quality of life for children and families in the nearby area.

Whilst the Air Quality Assessment document seeks to minimise the impact of traffic and construction volumes on air quality, the fact is that increased traffic will add to poor air quality in an area already severely impacted.

The same traffic is proposed to take a route effectively dividing the area between Guildford Street and Theobalds road in two - heavy volumes of noisy traffic will inevitably discourage children and their families from accessing parks (Queens Square, Alf Barnett Playground, Corams) near their homes and make journeys to and from school and leisure activities inhospitable. This in an area where 97% of the population suffers from lack of access to nature, where obesity levels and inactivity levels are rising amongst children Year 4 to Year 6 and where many families (within hundreds of metres of the development) live in overcrowded housing. The CMP as set out does little to acknowledge, understand, or mitigate the risks to the wider communities public health

Furthermore the route of traffic will have a clearly detrimental impact on residents quality of life (with high volumes of traffic over a number of years) The route also blights the businesses along that route with noise and volumes of traffic adding to removal of parking options that will discourage customers.

All of these impacts have the potential to be exacerbated by planned regeneration works to the Tybalds Estate (close to development site, causing further increased volumes of noise, traffic, pollution and competing for road use) compounding the issues for residents and businesses alike. Many residents of the estate are those most severely impacted by the issues of deprivation highlighted above and stand to be most negatively affected by the regeneration to their estate as well as this development over a number of years.

The CMP as it stands seems to take a general position of 'we'll look at this later'. The negative impacts on the community as set out above are significant and urgent in the ways they will affect life for people in the area. None of those impacts appear in line ith Camdens goals of regeneration and renewal. Nor are they in line with work to increase activity levels and public health across the area. Without changes - ones understanding the impact on the community and bringing imagination and resources to bear on mitigating those impacts - these proposals should not be approved.

Paul Crozier  
 Director

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Susan Seymour    | 17/06/2022 16:58:33 | OBJ      | HCA<br>As a cyclist who uses this area I am concerned by the closure of quiet routes and the increase in construction traffic. The road closures conflict with Camden's active travel policy and doesn't take into sufficient account the safety and convenience of cyclists and pedestrians.<br>I understand that local residents, councillors and others have asked GOSH to look at alternative methods of access to the site, for example, directly from Guilford Street, but GOSH have rejected this. Camden should to refuse the planning application until a safe DMP/CMP is submitted.   |
| 2022/2255/P     | Henry Lamprecht  | 19/06/2022 14:45:28 | COMMIT   | In principle I am not against the plans of GOSH and recognise the vital work.<br><br>I have three observations on this planning application.<br><br>1) The deconstruction and construction management plan is of great concern. The proposed plan to bring heavy vehicles down Guildford Place, partly down Lambs Conduit Street, down Great Ormond Street and then out through Boswell street is of great concern to locals. It is a residential area and many businesses are based around that area. This will cause tremendous disruption and create a dangerous situation. From the plans it is clear that the alternative to have the access from Guildford Street and access through Powis Place would be infinitely better. I am not unsympathetic about the constraints caused by the constricted site and changing the phasing of the redevelopment will no doubt have an impact on the plan timescale but GOSH needs to be a good neighbour to us as residents. This alternative should be the preferred option.<br><br>2) The airconditioning units and associated potential noise nuisance. I would urge the Planning Department to at the very least condition a noise reduction strategy with acoustic mitigation or for GOSH to move the plant to the basement.<br><br>3) I recognise the precedent for height in the area but there is a concern about sight lines of protected views of St Paul's that needs to be fully explored. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Henry Lamprecht  | 19/06/2022 14:45:31 | COMMNT   | <p>In principle I am not against the plans of GOSH and recognise the vital work.</p> <p>I have three observations on this planning application.</p> <p>1) The deconstruction and construction management plan is of great concern. The proposed plan to bring heave vehicles down Guildford Place, partly down Lambs Conduit Street, down Great Ormond Street and then out through Boswell street is of great concern to locals. It is a residential area and many businesses are based around that area. This will cause tremendous disruption and create a dangerous situation. From the plans it is clear that the alternative to have the access from Guildford Street and access through Powis Place would be infinitely better. I am not unsympathetic about the constraints caused by the constricted site and changing the phasing of the redevelopment will no doubt have an impact on the plan timescale but GOSH needs to be a good neighbour to us as residents. This alternative should be the preferred option.</p> <p>2) The airconditioning units and associated potential noise nuisance. I would urge the Planning Department to at the very least condition a noise reduction strategy with acoustic mitigation or for GOSH to move the plant to the basement.</p> <p>3) I recognise the precedent for height in the area but there is a concern about sight lines of protected views of St Paul's that needs to be fully explored.</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Stu keegan       | 21/06/2022 00:13:43 | OBJ      | <p>I have lived in close proximity to the three previous Gosh construction project all of which the council doesnt seem to appreciate the impact of all this continuous building projects over the last 20 years plus. Aside from the building design of the proposed current construction the impact of the construction site traffic is of great concern for me especially as there is a possible alternative access point in Guildford street access point which would have less impact for the local community..</p> <p>I am having cancer treatment and partially sighted and feel this will add stress to</p> <p>Feel this will add further to my difficulties in the neighbourhood. The hospital is definitely an important asset but I feel there needs to be more considerations to the local community.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Stu keegan       | 21/06/2022 00:13:49 | OBJ      | <p>I have lived in close proximity to the three previous Gosh construction project all of which the council doesnt seem to appreciate the impact of all this continuous building projects over the last 20 years plus. Aside from the building design of the proposed current construction the impact of the construction site traffic is of great concern for me especially as there is a possible alternative access point in Guildford street access point which would have less impact for the local community..</p> <p>I am having cancer treatment and partially sighted and feel this will add stress to</p> <p>Feel this will add further to my difficulties in the neighbourhood. The hospital is definitely an important asset but I feel there needs to be more considerations to the local community.</p>  |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Stu keegan       | 21/06/2022 00:13:54 | OBJ      | <p>I have lived in close proximity to the three.previous Gosh construction project all of which the council doesnt seem to appreciate the impact of all this continuous building projects over the last 20 years plus. Aside from the building design of the proposed current construction the impact of the construction site traffic is of great concern for me especially as there is a possible alternative access point in Guildford street access point which would have less impact for the local community..</p> <p>I am having cancer treatment and partially sighted and feel this will add stress to<br/>           Feel this will add further to my difficulties in the neighbourhood. The hospital is definitely an important asset but I feel there needs to be more considerations to the local community.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Stu keegan       | 21/06/2022 00:13:59 | OBJ      | <p>I have lived in close proximity to the three.previous Gosh construction project all of which the council doesnt seem to appreciate the impact of all this continuous building projects over the last 20 years plus. Aside from the building design of the proposed current construction the impact of the construction site traffic is of great concern for me especially as there is a possible alternative access point in Guildford street access point which would have less impact for the local community..</p> <p>I am having cancer treatment and partially sighted and feel this will add stress to<br/>           Feel this will add further to my difficulties in the neighbourhood. The hospital is definitely an important asset but I feel there needs to be more considerations to the local community.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Stu keegan       | 21/06/2022 00:14:04 | OBJ      | <p>I have lived in close proximity to the three.previous Gosh construction project all of which the council doesnt seem to appreciate the impact of all this continuous building projects over the last 20 years plus. Aside from the building design of the proposed current construction the impact of the construction site traffic is of great concern for me especially as there is a possible alternative access point in Guildford street access point which would have less impact for the local community..</p> <p>I am having cancer treatment and partially sighted and feel this will add stress to<br/>           Feel this will add further to my difficulties in the neighbourhood. The hospital is definitely an important asset but I feel there needs to be more considerations to the local community.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Rebekah Flanagan | 19/06/2022 13:43:23 | OBJ      | <p>I have been a resident near GOSH for years. Speaking to other residents today, most are misinformed about the scale of project planned and have not been consulted as suggested in the application documents. I am a Parent concerned about the increase of Traffic, Noise, and Air Quality, which will all be made worse; and emissions here are already failing - for at least five years of my child's life!<br/>           Increase noise levels will directly affect our ability to work from home in the way we do currently, and be detrimental to us financially.<br/>           The one way traffic system ( and restricted parking) will impact our daily lives and businesses severely, especially when we have no other option but to travel by car, adding time and increased petrol costs just to exit our home and go out to work.<br/>           The proposed massively enlarged GOSH building, will take away the little light we have, and post renovation none of the street windows will meet BRE guidelines for daylight. And nowhere in the plans is there adequate justification for this increase.<br/>           Resident support for the hospital and it's work is and will always be unwavering, and whilst we accept improvements must be made, the current Planning Application for GOSH would impact cruelly on our lives here, and demonstrates no support or care for us nor our children's well-being in return.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:    | Received:           | Comment:       | Response:  |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Michaela Balie      | 19/06/2022 19:44:23 | PETITNOBJ<br>E | <p>The proposed redevelopment of the hospital will prove to be a massive inconvenience to the residents of Great Ormond Street. A normal amount of patience is required during any construction period, however, a 5-year-construction period breaches any normal level of patience and is a clear violation of paying residents rights and comforts. Residents lives will now revolve around construction whims and will most definitely affect the quality of leisure time as noise and air pollution will be a hazard for an unacceptable amount of time. Beyond the before-mentioned concerns, it will directly affect the amount of light our building will receive.</p> <p>However well intended the development is, there needs to be a measure of consideration of the people who are voiceless during the planning of this development, but still pay their council taxes.</p>  |
| 2022/2255/P     | Nathan Muruganandan | 21/06/2022 09:41:50 | OBJ            | <p>I am writing both as a resident for nearly twenty years and as a Director for Bevan House Management Company, the freehold owner of Bevan House, Boswell Street, which represents the 33 leaseholders and tenants of that address.</p> <p>Whilst we are supportive of the GOSH redevelopments, the cost to residents and businesses liberty and enjoyment of the area is severely affected.</p> <p>Specifically, a major concern is the plan to direct the heavy demolition and construction trucks through Boswell Street. The council has expanded the pavement on the street in the past few years to dissuade HGV and the similar vehicles, from driving through an area with a high concentration of resident properties and local businesses. The GOSH plan indicates more noise, vibrations and pollution generated by the dozens of proposed truck journeys may last for years. This is not in keeping with the quiet, community feel of Bloomsbury. Moreover, as well as being an environmental hazard, I am worried about the vehicles posing a physical risk to pedestrians.</p> <p>Saliently, I am very concerned about the physical damage these trucks will inflict on the five brick arched vaults that run from the lightwell of Bevan House and under the road. Under building and lease guidelines, we reinforced these vaults recently to withstand 'normal' traffic. However there is no guarantee that they can bear the repeated passage of inordinate ultra-heavy trucks. We are currently seeking advice from independent structural engineers and lawyers with regard to this, and where liability for any damage to property or vehicle may lie. Moreover, the alternative route for the trucks via Powis Place appears to be disregarded which is unfair.</p> <p>The plan appears to be ill-thought and with little consideration for residents enjoyment of Bloomsbury. The volume of traffic in the area will be enormous and ruin what is a vibrant community spirit. I urge the planners to restrict traffic volumes, keep street pavements as they are and use alterative transport routes out of the Bloomsbury area to ensure equitable distribution of noise and traffic pollution whilst GOSH is redeveloped.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment:       | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | david Saunders   | 21/06/2022 18:29:26 | OBJ            | <p>Dear Sir/Madame</p> <p>My name is David Saunders I am a resident of the surrounding area of Lambs Conduit St and frequent the street each and every day. A number of concerns have been raised in regard to the above planning and i wanted to add my name to this concern.</p> <p>My concerns include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the impact/escalation of pollution on the surrounding area as a result of the development</li> <li>- the extreme rise in traffic coming through lambs conduit st and great ormond st</li> <li>- the noise that will occur as a result of constant building work</li> <li>- the height of the plan is too high for the area</li> <li>- the lack of concern for the local residential community</li> <li>- the lack of concern for the local business community</li> <li>- the obvious tactics that this application team has made to make the local community unaware of the planning and their silence as to what their plans are.</li> </ul> <p>This proposal must be delayed so that it can be fully understood by the community and so that we must understand the short term effect of the building work as well as the long term effect of the building then standing.</p> <p>I want to see the hospital thrive and I want the best of care for all the patience who are taken care of in the hospital however I am also concerned for my friends and neighbours in the community who will in no doubt be deeply affected by the current plan being proposed. 5 years construction of this magnitude and havoc it will cause on the day to day lives of my community is not acceptable for such a long period of time.</p> <p>Your sincerely David Saunders</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Michaela Balic   | 19/06/2022 19:44:29 | PETITNOBJ<br>E | <p>The proposed redevelopment of the hospital will prove to be a massive inconvenience to the residents of Great Ormond Street. A normal amount of patience is required during any construction period, however, a 5-year-construction period breaches any normal level of patience and is a clear violation of paying residents rights and comforts. Residents lives will now revolve around construction whims and will most definitely affect the quality of leisure time as noise and air pollution will be a hazard for an unacceptable amount of time. Beyond the before-mentioned concerns, it will directly affect the amount of light our building will receive.</p> <p>However well intended the development is, there needs to be a measure of consideration of the people who are voiceless during the planning of this development, but still pay their council taxes.</p>   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment:       | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Michaela Balie   | 19/06/2022 19:44:34 | PETITNOBJ<br>E | <p>The proposed redevelopment of the hospital will prove to be a massive inconvenience to the residents of Great Ormond Street. A normal amount of patience is required during any construction period, however, a 5-year-construction period breaches any normal level of patience and is a clear violation of paying residents rights and comforts. Residents lives will now revolve around construction whims and will most definitely affect the quality of leisure time as noise and air pollution will be a hazard for an unacceptable amount of time. Beyond the before-mentioned concerns, it will directly affect the amount of light our building will receive.</p> <p>However well intended the development is, there needs to be a measure of consideration of the people who are voiceless during the planning of this development, but still pay their council taxes.</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Michaela Balie   | 19/06/2022 19:44:40 | PETITNOBJ<br>E | <p>The proposed redevelopment of the hospital will prove to be a massive inconvenience to the residents of Great Ormond Street. A normal amount of patience is required during any construction period, however, a 5-year-construction period breaches any normal level of patience and is a clear violation of paying residents rights and comforts. Residents lives will now revolve around construction whims and will most definitely affect the quality of leisure time as noise and air pollution will be a hazard for an unacceptable amount of time. Beyond the before-mentioned concerns, it will directly affect the amount of light our building will receive.</p> <p>However well intended the development is, there needs to be a measure of consideration of the people who are voiceless during the planning of this development, but still pay their council taxes.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | ALEC<br>FORSHAW  | 18/06/2022 09:59:01 | OBJ      | <p>PLANNING APPLICATION REF. 2022/2255/P</p> <p>I have lived at 49 Great Ormond Street for 29 years. This old house is directly opposite the main entrance to the hospital and likely to be significantly affected by the proposed development.</p> <p>I wish to register my objections on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- harm to heritage assets by reason of excessive height, bulk and massing</li> <li>- harm to local townscape by reason of overwhelming scale and poor detailed design</li> <li>- harm to residential amenity by reason of severe loss of daylight to habitable rooms of nearby properties</li> <li>- sustainability by reason of failing to re-use existing structures</li> <li>- loss of trees</li> <li>- failure to provide improvements to the public realm</li> <li>- unacceptable land use by reason of new cafe and retail which will compete with existing provision in the immediate area</li> <li>- excessive and unacceptable harm during construction by reason of disruption, noise, vibration and pollution for local residents and businesses, together with danger to pedestrians and cyclists</li> </ul> <p>From a personal point of view my house contains a ground floor music room which I use together with colleagues for practice, rehearsals and occasional small recitals. It is regularly used in the Bloomsbury Festival for lunchtime chamber music concerts, and other events such as poetry and literary readings. The disturbance during construction will make these activities almost impossible.</p> <p>I consider that the cumulative harm caused by the proposals far outweighs the benefits of the proposal. It should be noted that the benefits are not local, whereas the harm caused is very much to the local community and environment.</p> <p>Moreover there is no convincing case that the benefits have to be and can only be provided on the application site. It is significant that the NHS accord no priority and are giving no funding to the scheme. There is a strong case that specialist care for children with cancer should be more evenly distributed around the UK, rather than concentrated in central London.</p> <p>GOSH occupies a cramped and restricted site with poor vehicle access. If the current proposed expansion is really necessary for the continuation of GOSH, then it should move or disperse its operations. Such a decision has been taken by Moorfields Eye Hospital which is relocating to a large less encumbered site.</p> <p>I have submitted a more detailed appraisal of the proposals to the case officer and asked for this to be uploaded onto the Portal.</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:                      | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Shirley Sheppard and Debbie Radcliffe | 20/06/2022 00:24:25 | OBJ      | <p>As co-owners of a flat in Great Ormond Street, immediately opposite the existing hospital, we write to object to the unacceptable height and massing of the proposed designs for the re-development of GOSH.</p> <p>Although a large structure, the hospital is currently of a tolerable scale to co-exist reasonably well with the residential properties opposite, and the street itself can just about cope with the amount of traffic that goes down it - providing access for ambulances, patients' and residents' vehicles, delivery and tradesmen's vans etc, all of which have to contend with the limitations of a narrow, historic street.</p> <p>The height of the proposed hospital building will turn the street into a dark, narrow urban corridor and completely change the character of the area, creating a huge shadow over Queen Square and harming the reputation of the world famous hospital in the process. If the current site is inappropriate and demolition is necessary for a hospital of this nature to operate efficiently in the 21st century, it seems logical that a more suitable site is chosen, where there are fewer restrictions caused by being located in an equally world famous heritage area.</p> <p>The extent of disruption that will result from the proposed demolition and reconstruction of a hospital building on this scale will also have a massive negative impact on the quality of life of all residents who live nearby.</p> <p>Shirley Sheppard and Debbie Radcliffe</p> |
| 2022/2255/P     | Jane King                             | 19/06/2022 19:33:02 | OBJ      | <p>GOSH have been enlarging their empire for many years now but the previous buildings have fronted on to Guilford Street with their height more or less fitting in with their neighbours. This time however they have gone too far with a proposed building which is totally unsuitable for the restricted site. It will loom over the old buildings to the south of the street in this historic neighbourhood, which is too narrow for the traffic which already has to use it. The demolition and construction work will make residents' lives miserable for years and will cause increased pollution, the last thing a children's hospital needs. This would be mitigated somewhat if vehicles used Guilford Street but does not alter the fact that the site cannot stand such a massive building. If the Cancer Centre needs to have this amount of space, why does GOSH not copy institutions like UCH and King's College and find alternative sites?</p>   |
| 2022/2255/P     | Tom Bell                              | 19/06/2022 17:13:02 | COMMNT   | <p>The use of Great Ormond street as an entrance to building site is utterly mad , there are residents and businesses that will suffer badly. Why not Guildford st.</p> <p>The height of the proposed building in a already dense area is not acceptable, blocking light.</p> <p>The noise factor from the building and proposed air con on roof will contribute to illness.</p> <p>All this from a hospital build is not really acceptable.</p> <p>Sincerely,<br/>Tom</p>   |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: | Response:   |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|
| 2022/2255/P     | Tom Bell         | 19/06/2022 17:13:06 | COMMNT   | <p>The use of Great Ormond street as an entrance to building site is utterly mad , there are residents and businesses that will suffer badly. Why not Guildford st.<br/>The height of the proposed building in a already dense area is not acceptable, blocking light.<br/>The noise factor from the building and proposed air con on roof will contribute to illness.<br/>All this from a hospital build is not really acceptable.</p> <p>Sincerely,<br/>Tom</p>   |
| 2022/2255/P     | Tom Bell         | 19/06/2022 17:13:08 | COMMNT   | <p>The use of Great Ormond street as an entrance to building site is utterly mad , there are residents and businesses that will suffer badly. Why not Guildford st.<br/>The height of the proposed building in a already dense area is not acceptable, blocking light.<br/>The noise factor from the building and proposed air con on roof will contribute to illness.<br/>All this from a hospital build is not really acceptable.</p> <p>Sincerely,<br/>Tom</p>   |
| 2022/2255/P     | Maggie Owen      | 20/06/2022 12:21:40 | COMMNT   | <p>I want to express my grave concern at the proposed demolition/construction work due to take place on Great Ormond Street.<br/>I'm a trader in the area, on Rugby Street, and whilst not directly in the 'line of fire' I acknowledge the impact this disruption will have on trade on Lambs Conduit Street and indirectly, my business. It's a fragile trading ecosystem and we've weathered many storms, but the scale and duration of this project is so lengthy and huge, it will be totally disruptive.<br/>I fail to understand why the alternative, less disruptive route through Powis Place has not been chosen and why bringing all the traffic along Lambs Conduit Street and into Great Ormond Street is preferred.<br/>It will be a nightmare.</p> <p>\\</p> |

| Application No: | Consultees Name:  | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2022/2255/P     | Debbie Radcliffe<br>for Bloomsbury<br>Residents Action<br>Group | 20/06/2022 00:53:47 | OBJ      | <p>The Bloomsbury Residents Action Group (BRAG) was founded in 2016 to remind decision-makers that the streets south of the Euston Road, in the wards of Kings Cross, Bloomsbury and Holborn &amp; Covent Garden are home to a very large number of permanent residents. It is not just an area of institutions. Residents also rely on and support local businesses, especially those in the hospitality industry.</p> <p>BRAG objects to the proposed redevelopment of Great Ormond Street Hospital, as currently designed and out for consultation. We fully support the residents and businesses who object to the massive scale of this development in their local neighbourhood, which will be hugely detrimental to their quality of life and the operation of their businesses.</p> <p>Great Ormond Street is a place where people LIVE, and have lived for centuries, it is not only the location of a world famous and much loved hospital. There has to be a balance.</p> <p>We acknowledge that GOSH is a landmark institution and a valuable local, national and international medical resource, but its redevelopment, to this scale, in this particular location will cause serious harm to the nearby residential and business community.</p> <p>Harm includes loss of light to the mostly four storey properties immediately opposite the hospital, impact on the listed heritage buildings, as well as the unacceptable negative impact of demolition and construction on residents' day to day existence.</p> <p>The application site lies in the Bloomsbury Conservation Area and the importance of the setting seems to have been totally ignored. There are unique listed properties along Great Ormond Street and Lambs Conduit Street that will be seriously affected by the re-development. Queen Square as well as Great Ormond Street will be overshadowed and over-dominated by the increased height of the proposed hospital re-development, the design of which is insensitive and totally disregards heritage, community and residential amenity.</p> |