

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1954/P	David	18/06/2022 13:39:16	COMMNT	<p>From the plans this seems to obstruct our access to the right of way at the back of Weech road.this is accessed via the footpath at the side of Weech hall which the cabinets encroach on and seem to partially block.</p> <p>The mast is large, imposing and unsightly.</p> <p>I believe that this is not a lawful development.</p> <p>The cabinets look as if they may well block the light of the ground floor flat.</p> <p>Therefore I object to this</p>
2022/1954/P	Shimshon Torn-Hibler	17/06/2022 12:31:48	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to strongly object to the erection of this tower. I own the freehold of 116 and 118 Fortune Green Road. The Monopole and the accompanying equipment will adversely affect the health of my tenants. Additionally it will clearly substantially reduce the value of my houses. If Camden Council approves it, I will hold Camden Council responsible for all damages that will be incurred.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Shimshon~</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1954/P	Lois George	16/06/2022 17:59:44	OBJ	planning@camden.gov.uk Friday 18th June 2022

I am writing to strongly object to Planning Application Ref.2022/1954/P On the following grounds, adverse visual impact, height, poor design, it breaches GDPO legislation, plus, the poorly understood long term health implications of high-intensity radio-frequency particularly on the children in the nurseries and schools in the local area.

Firstly, for an application of this impact and prominence in the area I would like it noted that I feel there has been inadequate consultation. A lack of notices in any form has been detrimental to alerting all those who will be affected by this proposal a chance to comment if they wished to do so. This has been an inadequate consultation process.

Secondly, this proposal will affect the visual amenity of many people in the many roads to the N, S,E. and W. of the site not just the few roads around Weech Hall. Including Hampstead cemetery which is of historic importance which would be adversely impacted by this proposal. Although we are not in a conservation area we are at the gateway to the Redington and Froggnal conservation area and the mast would impact and infringe on its character too.

Thirdly, the planning application is in breach of GDPO which 'does not permit installation, alteration or replacement of a mast if the mast is within 20 metres of the highway.' Weech Hall is a 4 storey, 1930's block of flats which is 12.5 metres high and LESS than 20 metres from Fortune Green Road. This is stated In GPDO in recognition of public safety and the adverse visual impact of telecommunications infrastructure which this planning proposal breaches.

Fourthly, I have concerns regarding 5G on the impact of health and the environment which research has been inconclusive in. this matter but the World Health Organisation Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified all frequency radiation, (of which mobile signals are a part) as ' possibly carcinogenic.' Surely the organisation putting up the 20m mast is required, by Camden, to produce a statement which certifies 'the cumulative exposure, when operational, will not exceed International Commission on non-ionising radiation protection guidelines.' No document has been submitted with this application. Why?

Fifthly, Surely the safety of residents should be prioritised by Camden, particularly our babies, toddlers and children. As has been identified by the UK Govt. Research - the Stewart Report-2020 advocated a precautionary approach to telecommunications development identifying children are more susceptible to telecommunication radiation.

In the immediate area of Weech Hall we have 2 nurseries, a tuition centre and a childrens' play centre all within 1 - 3 minutes of the proposed mast.

In conclusion, I hope all the above points will be taken into account by the council when looking at this hastily thrown together application which seeks to provide what is not needed or wanted in this area. Whose design pays no regard to the 1930's building or it's surrounding. It is intrusive and oppressive. The cabinets are so high they will partially block some of the windows of the ground floor of Weech Hall. The mast cabinet and mast appear to block the access to some flats in Fortune Green Road and the access/right of way to the rear of houses 9 to 13 in Weech Road.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>Not to mention it is in breach of legislation put there for people's safety.</p> <p>On all these and the above points I hope this planning proposal will be rejected.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Lois George.</p>
2022/1954/P	Jason Fernandes	18/06/2022 09:28:02	OBJ	<p>As a long-term resident of Weech Hall I am shocked and appalled by the fact that we were not notified of this application which will have a big impact on us both in the medium-term while the works are going on and in the long-term once completed.</p> <p>The works themselves are no doubt likely to be noisy, disruptive and is completely inconsiderate to put the masts in an area surrounded by many residents given all other mast installations I've seen in London have been placed at a large distance from buildings. Further, there is absolutely no need whatsoever for these masts given the signal quality in the area is perfectly fine.</p> <p>The resulting works will be a complete eyesore and not in keeping with the area, disrupt the natural beauty and discourage people from moving here. They would not be in keeping with the character of the surrounding properties nor of the area as a whole.</p> <p>I strongly object to these works taking place and I sincerely hope the council will take notice of the voice of the people on this and deny this application. I know that the other residents I've spoken to within the block and in the area feel the same.</p>
2022/1954/P	Mr V M Fernandes	18/06/2022 11:26:26	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object strongly to the proposed installation of a telecommunication mast adjacent to Weech Road.</p> <p>The small, inconspicuous notice, about the proposal hanging from a tree can hardly be regarded as a consultation about the proposal. This suggests that the Council is in collusion with the applicant to install the mast by stealth and with complete disregard to the views of local residents particularly of Weech Hall.</p> <p>The Council should be ashamed of its!</p> <p>These masts should be installed in open areas, away from residential properties. The 20 meters high mast would not only be a blight on Weech Hall and the immediately surrounding area because of the scale and appearance but potentially harmful to the health of residents.</p> <p>The Council should note that masts installed in neighbouring Barnet and Brent (and other Councils) are all located well away from residential buildings, usually in open areas such as parks. There is sound reason for this and Camden Council should take note.</p> <p>All the residents of Weech Hall (and, I am sure, other local residents) are strongly against the application. It should have been rejected outright and not put forward for consultation. We trust that the Council will do the right thing and reject the application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1954/P	Karen Palmer	18/06/2022 12:32:31	OBJ	I am a leaseholder of a flat in Weech Hall. I object to this planning application. The proposed mast is unnecessarily close to buildings, and specifically Weech Hall. Plenty of other sites are available which would not be near a residential property such as Weech Hall. I am not aware of any other masts in the area which are positioned so close to domestic properties.
2022/1954/P	Jason Werter	18/06/2022 21:40:49	OBJ	<p>I am writing to voice my strong opposition of the proposed phone mast on Fortune Green Road, which would be directly next to my shop. As well as the adverse visual impact it will have on the area, I am deeply concerned about the known health hazards of these masts, especially in such a densely populated area with close proximity to two nurseries and a busy park.</p> <p>As an Estate Agent I am well aware that having this phone mast directly outside Weech Hall and Joan Court will render these properties unsellable as well as the retail shops and flats above them. Potential buyers simply won't buy or rent a property in the vicinity of this mast. There has to be far more suitable locations than this for a phone mast.</p> <p>There has been very poor communication and consultation about this and many local residents were completely unaware and shocked that this is even being considered in this location. The notification outside my office was only put up on Monday 13th June allowing only 5 days to object.</p> <p>I reiterate my strong opposition and ask for Camden Council to reject the proposal.</p>