

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0116/P	steve collis	18/06/2022 10:48:08	COMMNT	I support this application for the following reasons: The design is consistent with the design of existing roof extensions at nos 24-32 Elliott Square, The set back is in keeping with that of the Quickwood houses which also back on to Adelaide Road. As a result the roof extension will be far less imposing both when viewed from below and in terms of looking out on to neighbouring houses. There is no invasion of any other property's privacy, Taking a longer term view, 1 and 2 above ensure a more aesthetically desirable outcome as more properties take advantage of this roof extension potential, The proposed design and build materials improve both the energy and emissions efficiencies of the property, contributing to a lower carbon footprint.
2022/0116/P	Pahrens	19/06/2022 18:28:57	COMMNT	I support this application. I think it enhances the building's appearances and as they are consistent with existing roof extensions, and consistent within a row will continue to enhance the square. My existing roof has little insulation and major works will be needed to correct this in any case.
2022/0116/P	melanie laub	17/06/2022 14:35:35	NOBJ	i have no objections as the design is consistent with the design of the existing roof extensions at Nos 24-32 Elliot Square , this will give the square a cohesive appearance The residents have come together on these extensions and this should a model used going forward in the square the highly insulated new top floors will improve the overall energy efficiency of these properties which is important
2022/0116/P	Mr Sheikh	17/06/2022 14:05:41	SUPPRT	I support the proposed development. The addition of a floor adds much needed space to these modern looking homes. The design is consistent with those homes that have already been granted permission to add a further floor on the opposite side of the square. The addition of an outside sitting area supports wellbeing whilst not interfering with the aesthetics of the square.
2022/0116/P	Mr Sheikh	17/06/2022 14:05:37	SUPPRT	I support the proposed development. The addition of a floor adds much needed space to these modern looking homes. The design is consistent with those homes that have already been granted permission to add a further floor on the opposite side of the square. The addition of an outside sitting area supports wellbeing whilst not interfering with the aesthetics of the square.
2022/0116/P	Lucinda	17/06/2022 16:19:59	COMMNT	I support this application for the following reasons: The design is consistent with the design of existing roof extensions at nos 24-32 Elliott Square, The set back is in keeping with that of the Quickwood houses which also back on to Adelaide Road. As a result the roof extension will be far less imposing both when viewed from below and in terms of looking out on to neighbouring houses. There is no invasion of any other property's privacy, Taking a longer term view, 1 and 2 above ensure a more aesthetically desirable outcome as more properties take advantage of this roof extension potential, The proposed design and build materials improve both the energy and emissions efficiencies of the property, contributing to a lower carbon footprint.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0116/P	Catherine Burd	17/06/2022 14:03:17	SUPPRT	<p>We have recently completed the construction of a new floor to our house at No 30 Elliott Square, and so fully support others in the square that wish to do the same. The fact that they are following a similar design principle will give a consistency and quality to the square, and in our view these extensions actually enhance the appearance and proportions of these terraced houses. We also feel that the mansard type design is much more sympathetic to the existing buildings and would be less bulky than a straight up extension in line with PDR guidelines, which we would be totally opposed to.</p> <p>Finally, we welcome the fact that the applicants have come together to agree a single, consistent design that follows our precedent and understand that this has now become the default design for such extension in the square.</p>
2022/0116/P	Jonathan Shamash	20/06/2022 21:24:37	SUPPRT	<p>I would like to support the proposed application - it is in The design is consistent with the design of existing roof extensions at nos 24-32 Elliott Square,</p> <p>As the additional storey will be set back it will be less noticeable - and use of the existing design will ensure conformity. I note that there is no invasion of any other property's privacy,</p> <p>The proposed design and build materials improve both the energy and emissions efficiencies of the property, contributing to a lower carbon footprint.</p>
2022/0116/P	natasha delliston	19/06/2022 11:02:34	SUPPRT	<p>I support this application for the following reasons:</p> <p>The design is consistent with the design of existing roof extensions at nos 24-32 Elliott Square,</p> <p>The set back is in keeping with that of the Quickswood houses which also back on to Adelaide Road. As a result the roof extension will be far less imposing both when viewed from below and in terms of looking out on to neighbouring houses. There is no invasion of any other property's privacy,</p> <p>Taking a longer term view, 1 and 2 above ensure a more aesthetically desirable outcome as more properties take advantage of this roof extension potential,</p> <p>The proposed design and build materials improve both the energy and emissions efficiencies of the property, contributing to a lower carbon footprint.</p>